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## Chapter 1. Introduction

The City of Bellingham initiated this study to evaluate and identify a suite of multimodal solutions to support the future development and changing needs of land uses in the LincolnLakeway study area. The study area's close proximity to jobs, services, and housing makes it an attractive area to live or locate a business. However, the area is bisected by I-5, with only a few north-south and east-west connections. The connections, such as Lakeway Drive, Lincoln Street, and Samish Way not only serve area residents and businesses, but also provide for important citywide connectivity for pedestrians, bicycles, transit, and vehicles. As the area continues to evolve and grow with expansion of retail businesses, the City's civic campus, schools, and more multi-family housing options, the need to provide improved transportation mobility and safety for all modes is critical.

## Project Goals and Purpose

The purpose of the study was to document existing, proposed, and possible land uses in the study area and analyze the effect that new development may had on the local and state multimodal transportation system for people walking, biking, riding transit buses, and driving passenger, service, and freight vehicles. Existing conditions on the transportation system were documented to provide a 2020 baseline and changes on the local and state multimodal transportation system were forecasted to 2040 using microsimulation software with inputs from the 2018 Whatcom Council of Governments (WCOG) regional travel demand model. Findings were measured, analyzed, and documented with recommendations for mitigation and improvements, where needed.

Alternative scenarios were examined using microsimulation software for vehicle traffic circulation and intersection control (signals or roundabouts) at intersections within the study area, pedestrian and bicycle mobility, and implications for Whatcom Transit Authority (WTA) public transit bus route performance measures. Ultimately, short-term, mid-term, and longterm multimodal transportation system improvements were identified and recommended, which will allow Public Works to program local funding as well as seek state and federal grant funding for construction in future years. This study allows WSDOT to justify funding for improvements to State highway facilities and WTA to justify funding for transit-supportive improvements on City streets.

The goals of this multimodal transportation study include, but are not limited to:

- Public Safety: Identifying safety improvements for people using all modes of transportation (walking, biking, transit, driving, and delivering freight).
- Mode Shift: Identifying transportation improvements intended to support and enhance transportation trips made by walking, biking, and riding transit, balanced with the need maintain system performance for both private automobiles and freight vehicles.
- Mobility Enhancement: Identifying cost-effective and feasible methods to reduce I5's impact as a mobility barrier to east-west trips made by walking and biking.
- Multimodal Integration: Support continued and improved integration with state facilities and transit (WTA) operations, etc.

The results of this study allows Bellingham to identify capital transportation improvements at a finer scale than what is currently identified in the citywide Multimodal Transportation Chapter (pages 32-35; Tables A - D) of the Bellingham Comprehensive Plan, but in a more cohesive manner rather than the current reliance on one-time Transportation Impact

Analyses (TIAs) that are only required for major developments. This allows Bellingham to require proportionate share funding participation toward identified capital improvements in the study area from all new developments, as well as forming the basis for public-private funding partnerships in the future.

## Stakeholder and Public Outreach Process

The Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study officially kicked off at the beginning of March 2020, but on March 13, 2020 Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued a statewide "Stay at Home" order due to the global COVID-19 pandemic unfolding around the world. Traditional personal transportation mobility throughout Bellingham fell to all-time historic lows and the project team had to immediately pivot from plans for multimodal data collection, neighborhood meetings, and a public open house to other methods to collect data, as well as engage the general public and the residents of neighborhoods surrounding the study area.

## Community Survey

After March 13, in-person meetings were not allowed, but because many more people were spending more time at home during the "Stay at Home" order, the project team developed an online community survey. A citywide press release was issued on May 11, 2020 with a link to the online Community Survey, which was emailed to Neighborhood Association Presidents and Representatives on the Mayor's Neighborhood Advisory Commission. The survey was made available in Spanish language by request, paper copies were produced and distributed to the residents of the Lakeway Estates senior mobile home park on Lincoln Street, and staff worked with Community Relations staff at Western Washington University (WWU) to reach students living in apartment complexes within the study area.

The online survey was open from May 11 to June 1, 2020 and received 558 individual responses. In June and July 2020, the project team and a WWU Master's Degree recipient with a thesis focused on surveys of Pacific Crest Trail through-hikers sifted through all 558 survey responses and tabulated the data into meaningful summaries, tables, and graphics. The Community Survey Final Report was published on August 7, 2020, posted on the project web page, and a link to the final report was emailed to Neighborhood Associations. A summary of findings is provided on pages 18 - 20 of the Community Survey Final Report. The top category for needing improvements was Maintaining sidewalk space for people walking ( $65 \%$ ), followed by Maintaining existing vehicle lane widths ( $47 \%$ ). The least important improvement was Providing dedicated new space for bicyclists (32\%) and Widening sidewalk space for wheelchairs (26\%).

These are interesting responses considering that they are not consistent with the stated goals and purpose of the study. Currently, there is no physical space for bicyclists on Lakeway Drive west of Puget Street and the existing sidewalks on Lakeway Drive are the absolute minimum to meet federal ADA standards. The 2012 Pedestrian Master Plan and 2014 Bicycle Master Plan include recommendations for improvements on the Lakeway Drive underpass beneath I-5 and these were studied with WSDOT staff involvement in the 2016-2017 Lakeway Drive Bikeway Study. The need for pedestrian and bicycle improvements on the Lakeway Drive underpass beneath I-5 is also identified in the 2019 WSDOT Active Transportation Plan. In 2021, the Bellingham City Council approved a citywide ADA Transition Plan titled Mobility For All, which is required by state and federal government. In 2021, WTA is also completing a long-range transit plan titled WTA 2040, in which pedestrian and wheel chair accessibility to WTA transit stops is a primary consideration. These responses make clear that many people do not seem to be familiar with existing goals or long-range transportation plans approved by Bellingham, WTA, WSDOT, and WCOG or the focal shift in the transportation industry away from auto-oriented roads to multimodal corridors. In future public presentations, the region's multimodal goals should be more clearly
highlighted so that public expectations will register with multi-agency goals, policies, and transportation plans.

## Neighborhood Association Meetings

Bellingham has very active and organized Neighborhood Associations that hold monthly meetings. The original study scope included both public meetings with Neighborhood Associations in the study area, as well as two public open houses. As mentioned above, inperson gatherings and public meetings have not been allowed since mid-March 2020. Many Neighborhood Associations have been using online meeting platforms to conduct meetings, although attendance appears to be relatively low compared to in-person meetings. The project team worked with several surrounding Neighborhood Associations to present the multi-agency Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study and the WSDOT I-5 Operations \& Transportation Demand Management Study to neighborhood residents and allow them to ask questions and provide comments to the project team The presentations are available on the Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study web page.

City and WSDOT staff made presentations to four Neighborhood Associations, as follows:

- October 8, 2020 - Samish Neighborhood Association
- October 28, 2020 - York Neighborhood Association
- December 8, 2020 - Puget Neighborhood Association
- June 14, 2021 - Sehome Neighborhood Association


## Engage Bellingham

In addition to collecting public input through the Community Survey, in summer 2020, the City of Bellingham purchased a license to community engagement software from a company called "Bang The Table" and branded the local version as "Engage Bellingham." After the City and WSDOT made presentations at online Neighborhood Association meetings, City staff created a project page for the Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study on the Engage Bellingham platform.

The Engage Bellingham platform was made available to the public from January to March 2021 and allowed the public to engage interactively within the project study boundaries by adding location pins, making comments, and asking questions of City, WTA, WSDOT, and WCOG staff. The project team collected 215 individual comments from the Engage Bellingham platform from January to March 2021 and kept the platform page current with new features and options for public engagement as the study progressed. The study recommendations for transportation improvements were presented in short, narrated power point presentations posted on Engage Bellingham from September 7 through 23, 2021. The final report was completed during the first half of October 2021.

## Calendar Summary of Public Engagement

- March 1, 2020 - Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study Began
- March 16, 2020 - Governor Inslee "Stay at Home Order"
- May 11, 2020 - Press Release for Community Survey
- June 5, 2020 - Community Survey Ends
- August 6, 2020 - Final Report for Community Survey
- October 8, 2020 - Samish Neighborhood Association
- October 28, 2020 - York Neighborhood Association
- December 8, 2020 - Puget Neighborhood Association
- January 21, 2021 - Engage Bellingham Phase 1 (Interactive Map \& Comments)
- March 5, 2021 - End of Engage Bellingham Phase 1
- June 14, 2021 - Sehome Neighborhood Association
- June 17, 2021 - Multi-Agency Staff Walking Tour of Study Area
- September 7, 2021 - Engage Bellingham Phase 2 (Recommendations \& Comments)
- September 23, 2021 - End of Engage Bellingham Phase 2
- October 11, 2021 - Final Report published
- October 12, 2021 - Presentation to Transportation Commission


## Study Methodology

The Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study focuses on the arterial street corridors and intersections surrounding the Lakeway Drive corridor between Ellis Street and Puget Street and the Lincoln Street corridor between Elwood Avenue and Fraser Street.

The study area is bound by lowa Street to the north, N State Street and Ellis Street to the west, Ridgemont Way to the south and Puget Street to the east. The study area includes 16 streets and 31 intersections, as identified in Figure 1, and as listed below.

- Ellis Street-York Street between E Maple Street and N State Street
- E Maple Street between Ellis Street and N Samish Way
- Bill McDonald Parkway between 32nd Street and N Samish Way
- Lincoln Street between Elwood Avenue and Fraser Street
- N Samish Way between E Maple Street and Bill McDonald Parkway
- 36th Street between S Samish Way and Fielding Avenue
- Elwood Avenue between S Samish Way/Lincoln Street and 40th Street
- Lakeway Drive between Ellis Street and Puget Street
- Fraser Street between Lincoln Street and Puget Street
- Meador Avenue between N State Street and Fraser Street
- King Street between Lakeway Drive and Potter Street
- N State Street between York Street and Iowa Street
- Ohio Street between N State Street and King Street
- Iowa Street between N State Street and Moore Street
- Puget Street between Lakeway Drive and Fraser Street
- Potter Street between King Street and Orleans Street

The Lincoln Street corridor extends from Elwood Avenue to the south to Fraser Street to the north, providing a north-south connection between the Samish Way bridge over I-5 and the Meador Avenue underpass of I-5. Currently, Lincoln Street from Fraser Street south to Potter Street includes one vehicle lane in each direction, with on-street parking and sidewalks on both sides of the street, and an uphill bike climbing lane and a downhill shared lane. From Potter Street to Lakeway Drive, Lincoln Street becomes four lanes wide with two southbound vehicle lanes, one northbound vehicle lane, and one pick-up/drop-off parking lane abutting Carl Cozier Elementary School. From Lakeway Drive south to Elwood Avenue, Lincoln Street includes two vehicle lanes in each direction with a center turn lane in places, and sidewalks on both sides of the street. No on-street parking is provided. There are marked bike lanes from Elwood Avenue to the south driveway for Fred Meyer grocery store, but no bicycle facilities between this driveway and Lakeway Drive.

The Lakeway Drive corridor within the study area extends between Ellis Street to the west and Puget Street to the east. Lakeway Drive provides an east-west connection through Bellingham, including an underpass under I-5. Currently, Lakeway Drive includes 5 travel lanes including a two-way center left-turn lane and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway through the study area. No on-street parking and no bicycle facilities are provided.

In addition to the 16 corridors identified above, 31 intersections within the study area are included in the analysis. The study intersections include the following:

| 1. Ellis Street/ E Holly Street/ Jersey Street/ | 16. Orleans Street/ Lakeway Drive |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lakeway Drive |  |
| 2. N Samish Way/ Abbott Street | 17. Puget Street/ Lakeway Drive |
| 3. N Samish Way/ Consolidation Avenue | 18. King Street/ Ohio Street/ I-5 SB Ramps |
| 4. N Samish Way/ Bill McDonald Parkway/ | 19. I-5 NB Ramps/ Moore Street/ lowa <br> Byron Avenue <br> Street |
| 5. S Samish Way/ 36th Street/ I-5 SB Off- 20. Grant Street/ N State Street/ Meador <br> Ramp Avenue/ Kansas Street <br> 6. Elwood Avenue/ S Samish Way/Lincoln 21. James Street/ Meador Avenue <br> $\quad$ Street  <br> 7. S Samish Way/ I-5 NB Off-Ramp 22. Lincoln Street/ Fraser Street <br> 8. Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive 23. Puget Street/ Fraser Street <br> 9. Lincoln Street/ E Maple Street 24. King Street/ Potter Street/ I-5 NB <br> 10. Lincoln Street/ Byron Avenue Ramps <br> 11. Lincoln Street/ I-5 NB On-Ramp 25. Lincoln Street/ Potter Street <br> 12. Ashley Avenue/ Byron Avenue 26. N State Street/ York Street <br> 13. I-5 SB Ramps/ Lakeway Drive 27. Ellis Street/ N Forest Street/ York Street <br> 14. King Street/Lakeway Drive 28. Ellis Street/ Potter Street <br> 15. Nevada Street/ Lakeway Drive 29. Ellis Street/ Chestnut Street <br>  30. 36th Street/ I-5 SB On-Ramp/ Fielding <br> Avenue   <br>  31. Lincoln Street/ Consolidation Avenue |  |

All study corridors and study intersections are shown on Figure 1.


# Chapter 2. Existing Conditions 

## Introduction

This section summarizes the existing facilities in the study area for each travel mode. As defined in Chapter 1, the study area extends along the Lakeway Drive and Lincoln Street corridors, and is generally bound by the Samish Way/l-5 interchange to the north, Samish-Maple-Ellis corridor to the west, lowa Street/l-5 interchange to the north, and Puget Street to the east. This review of existing conditions will identify multimodal facilities within the study area as well as provide a review of current gaps in the systems.

The Lincoln-Lakeway study area is primarily residential, with existing commercial centers along the Lakeway Drive and Samish-Maple-Ellis corridors and an approved commercial center under development along the west side of Lincoln Street. Existing Major commercial trip generators along the Lakeway Drive corridor include Fred Meyer grocery and Whole Foods Market on the southwest and southeast corners of the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection, respectively.

Within the study area, local destinations also include Carl Cozier Elementary School, A Loving Space Preschool, North Coast Preschool, and the Western Washington University (WWU) Lincoln Creek Transportation Center park-n-ride served by Whatcom Transportation Authority (WTA) transit buses. These destinations likely generate the majority of vehicle trips, as well as school bus trips or localized pedestrian trips. Just outside of the study area, the WWU campus is located approximately 1 mile to the southwest. Western Washington University is a large campus drawing students, visitors, faculty, and staff via all modes. Western Washington University provides more robust connections to the transit and vehicle roadway networks in the study area.

In addition, recreation areas including the Arne Hanna Aquatic Center, Civic Athletic Complex, Sportsplex Indoor Soccer and Ice Rink, and Joe Martin Stadium are available north of the Lakeway Drive corridor within the study area. These areas draw vehicle and nonmotorized trips from the surrounding residential areas within the study area as well as regional trips from other areas of Bellingham.

## Pedestrian System

The following section describes the existing characteristics of the pedestrian facilities and network within the study area.

## Environment

Primary pedestrian travel patterns within the study area include travel to and from the commercial and recreational areas along Lakeway Drive. The natural areas and Civic Field Park Trail surrounding Civic Stadium offer pedestrian access through the study area north of Lakeway Drive and south of Fraser Street.

Sidewalks are provided along both sides of the streets for the majority of the study area corridors. All study area corridors include sidewalks on one or both sides of the roadway, with the exception of 36 th Street, which does not have sidewalks or bike lanes and has deep ditches on either side. In addition, the study area corridors are identified in the Bellingham Comprehensive Plan as part of the Primary Pedestrian Network.

All signalized study intersections within the study area include marked crosswalks. In addition, flashing pedestrian crossings are included on the Lincoln Street, Lakeway Drive, E

Maple Street, and N Samish Way study corridors. Two flashing pedestrian crossings are included on Lakeway Drive within the study area at Grant Street and Orleans Street. Flashing crossings are also included on E Maple Street midblock between Mason Street and Newell Street, on N Samish Way between Abbott Street and Consolidation Avenue, and on Lincoln Street at the driveway to Fred Meyer grocery store. The study area pedestrian facilities are shown on Figure 2.

## Demand

A new methodology leveraging third party probe data was used as an additional measure of pedestrian activity within the study area. Data was downloaded from Strava Metro, a subgroup of the popular fitness application called Strava; where subscribers track their bicycle and run/walk activity via GPS on their smartphone or fitness tracker. The data that users upload to this application is anonymized and snapped to roadway and trail segments so that the level of activity along each roadway segment can be measured. While the counts provided in this platform are not direct replacements for actual pedestrian counts, they can be used to some extent as a proxy to measure the general level of pedestrian (run/walk) activity on facilities across the study area.

The Strava Metro data shows the highest levels of pedestrian activity in the northern half of the study area, specifically around the Civic Athletic Complex and the city streets on the west side of $\mathrm{l}-5$ near downtown. Pedestrian activity on Lincoln and Lakeway is in the low to medium range compared to the rest of the study area pedestrian activity. The supplemental Strava Metro pedestrian activity within the study area is shown on Figure 3.

Since 2008, Bellingham has participated each autumn in the WSDOT voluntary pedestrian and bicycle counting effort and pedestrian counts were collected by the City of Bellingham at three locations within the study area: the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive, Grant Street/Lakeway Drive, and James Street/Meador Avenue intersections. Weekday peak hour counts at these locations are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Annual Weekday Peak Hour Pedestrian Counts

| Location | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Lincoln St/ Lakeway Dr | 54 | 52 | 37 | 117 | 87 | 96 |
| Grant St/ Lakeway Dr | 50 | 41 | 41 | 171 | 183 | 147 |
| James St/ Meador Ave | 55 | 45 | 33 | 58 | 77 | 56 |

Source: City of Bellingham, 2020

## Network Barriers

The pedestrian network includes sidewalks on the majority of study area roadways as well as marked crosswalks at signalized intersections; however, the network within the study area lacks north-south connections outside of Lincoln Street. For example, areas of Nevada Street and Puget Street lack sidewalks on one or both sides of the roadway intermittently between Lakeway Drive and Consolidation Avenue. In addition, I-5 adds a structural barrier between the east and west sides of the study area. Pedestrian connections across I-5 are currently available at the Lakeway Drive underpass, Samish Way overpass, Meador Avenue underpass, and lowa Street underpass. These locations provide the only opportunities for pedestrian travel east-west across the l-5 corridor and some, such as Lakeway Drive beneath $\mathrm{I}-5$, are of minimal width to meet ADA accessibility requirements and are not considered comfortable for people walking. With pedestrian trip generators of Western Washington University and the Civic Athletic Complex located on opposite sides of I-5, trips between these locations may become difficult on foot.


Pedestrian Facilities


## Bicycle System

The following section describes the existing characteristics of the bicycle facilities networks within the study area.

## Environment

Within the study area, the Bill McDonald Parkway, Elwood Avenue, Lincoln Street, Fraser Street, Meador Avenue, Puget Street, and Nevada Street corridors include designated bicycle facilities. These corridors are identified in the Bellingham Comprehensive Plan as part of the Primary Bicycle Network.

The Bill McDonald Parkway, Elwood Avenue, Lincoln Street, Fraser Street, and Puget Street corridors include designated bicycle lanes. In addition, the section of Puget Street between Lakeway Drive and the Civic Athletic Complex includes buffered bike lanes. The Meador Avenue corridor includes bike lanes between N State Street and James Street and climbing lanes between James Street and Lincoln Street. The Lincoln Street corridor includes climbing lanes between Meador Avenue and Potter Street, and shared lane markings between Potter Street and Lakeway Drive. Nevada-44th-Byron-Ashley-Dumas-40th Street is marked as a designated Bike Boulevard with traffic calming features from Lakeway Drive to Elwood Avenue.

In addition to the bicycle facilities identified on the study area corridors, trails are present throughout the Civic Athletic Complex and along the Whatcom Creek Trail within the study area.

Study area bicycle facilities are shown on Figure 4 and a Bike Connectivity Graphic for this area is posted on the City web site.

## Demand

A new methodology leveraging third party probe data was used to measure the bicycle activity within the study area. Data was downloaded from Strava Metro, a subgroup of the popular fitness application called Strava; where users track their bicycle and run/walk activity via GPS on their smartphone or fitness tracker. The data that users upload to this application is anonymized and snapped to roadway and trail segments so that the level of activity along each roadway segment can be measured. While the counts provided in this platform are not direct replacements for actual bicycle counts, they can be used (to a greater extent than run/walk data) as a proxy to measure the general level of bicycle activity on facilities across the study area.

The Strava Metro data shows the highest levels of bicycle activity along Lakeway Drive, Lincoln Street and Fraser St and Meador Ave to the north. The Strava data also shows medium levels of activity along the Samish / Ellis corridor. Strava Metro bicycle activity data is shown on Figure 5. The most recent bicycle count data on Lakeway Drive is shown in Table 2 and described below.

Since 2008, Bellingham has participated each autumn in the WSDOT voluntary pedestrian and bicycle counting effort. Bicycle counts were collected by the City of Bellingham at three locations within the study area: the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive, Grant Street/Lakeway Drive, and James Street/Meador Avenue intersections. Weekday peak hour counts at these locations are summarized in Table 2. 2020 data is not shown, as counts were conducted during COVID-19 travel restrictions and WWU was not offering in-person classes for students, thus greatly decreasing the volumes beyond 'normal' conditions.

Table 2. Annual Weekday Peak Hour Bicycle Counts

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Lincoln St/ Lakeway Dr | 32 | 26 | 24 |  | 42 | 30 | 35 |
| Grant St/ Lakeway Dr | 37 | 13 | 32 |  | 53 | 41 | 29 |
| James St/ Meador Ave | 28 | 41 | 51 |  | 84 | 64 | 81 |
| Source: City of Bellingham, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Network Barriers

The bicycle network includes bicycle facilities on six key study area roadways as well as trails throughout the Civic Athletic Complex; however, many study area roadways do not include bicycle facilities. For example, north-south bicycle connections within the study area to the east of l-5 are limited to parts of Lincoln Street, Nevada Street, and Puget Street. In each location, bicycle facilities are present either to the north or south of Lakeway Drive, but a continuous connection across Lakeway Drive in this area is not available. In addition, I-5 adds a structural barrier between the east and west sides of the study area. Bicycle connections across I-5 are currently available at the Samish Way overpass and Meador Avenue underpass. These locations provide the only opportunities for bicycle travel east-west across the I-5 corridor. With bicycle trip generators of Western Washington University and the Civic Athletic Complex located on opposite sides of I-5, trips between these locations may become difficult via bicycle.

A bicycle facility on Lakeway Drive beneath $\mathrm{I}-5$ is recommended as the second highest priority out of 185 prioritized projects listed in the Bellingham Bicycle Master Plan. A GIS connectivity analysis using ViaCity software demonstrates the latent demand and connectivity benefit of completing this important bicycle connection across I-5. The connectivity analysis is summarized in Figures 6 to 10.




Figure 6. Lakeway Corridor Pre-Project Conditions, Yew Street to Ellis Street


Figure 7. Lakeway Corridor New Bike Facility, Yew Street to Puget Street


Figure 8. Lakeway Corridor New Bike Facility, Puget Street to Lincoln Street


Figure 9. Lakeway Corridor New Bike Facility, Lincoln Street to Ellis Street


Figure 10. Lakeway Corridor Connectivity Delta, Yew Street to Ellis Street

## Transit

Transit service in the study area is provided by Whatcom Transit Authority (WTA). Local transit routes serving stops within the study area and hours of operation are summarized in Table 3. Note: WTA transit routes and service were consistently rated with very high marks by the 558 respondents to the Community Survey conducted in May-June 2020.

Table 3. Existing Transit Routes

| Route | Days of Operation | Hours of Operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weekdays | Weekends |
| 49 - Downtown to Bakerview Spur | Daily | 7:00 a.m. to 12:45 p.m. 1:15 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. | 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. |
| 72X - Kendall to Bellingham | Daily | 7:30 a.m. to 7:00 pm.. | 7:30 a.m. to 7:00 pm.. |
| 80S - Western Washington University to Lincoln Creek | MondayFriday | 6:45 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. | - |
| 80X - Mt. Vernon to Bellingham | Daily | 6:45 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. | 9:00 a.m. to 6:45 p.m. |
| 105 - Fairhaven to Downtown | Daily | 7:00 a.m. to 10:45 p.m. | 8:00 a.m. to 10: |
| 108 - Samish to Western Washington University | Monday- <br> Saturday | 7:00 a.m. to 5:15 p.m. | 8:45 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. |
| 190 - Lincoln Street to Downtown | Daily | 6:30 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. | Saturday: 7:45 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. Sunday: 8:30 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. |
| 196 - Western Washington University/Lincoln | MondaySaturday | 7:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. | 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. |
| 197 - Lincoln/Western Washington University | MondaySaturday | 7:15 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. | 7:15 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. |
| 512 - Sudden Valley to Downtown | Daily | 6:45 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. | Saturday: 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Sunday: 8:00 a.m. to 8:30 p.m. |
| 525 - Barkley to Downtown | Daily | 6:45 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. | Saturday: 8:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Sunday: 8:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. |
| 540 - Sunset to Downtown | MondaySaturday | 6:15 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. | 8:15 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. |

Source: Whatcom Transit Authority (WTA), 2020
Within the study area, 14 primary transit routes provide transit access along study area corridors, including the 49, 72X, 80S, 80X, 105, 108, 190, 190S, 196, 197, 512, 525, and 540 routes. Study area corridors with high transit ridership include Lincoln Street and Lakeway Drive. Transit stop locations and approximate daily transit ridership within the study area is shown on Figure 11.

The WWU-owned Lincoln Creek Transportation Center (park and ride) is located within the study area on the east side of Lincoln Street between the Lincoln Street/Byron Avenue and Lincoln Street/E. Maple Street intersections. The park and ride provides transit connections throughout Bellingham from Whatcom Transit Authority (WTA) and to Mt. Vernon via Skagit Transit.

Weekday AM and PM peak period transit delays are shown on Figures 12 and 13, respectively. As shown in Figure 12, the study area corridors experience minor to moderate transit delays during the AM peak period. Riders experience moderate delays at transit stops on E Maple Street and N Samish Way. During the PM peak period, study area corridors experience moderate to large delays, with the largest delays along Ellis Street, E Maple Street, N Samish Way, and at the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection. PM peak period transit delays are summarized on Figure 13.




PM Peak Period Transit Schedule Adherence

## Freight Network

The Bellingham Comprehensive Plan (pages 26-27) identifies Designated Freight Truck Routes throughout the City. Designated Freight Truck Routes are classified by annual tonnage carried, with classes T-1 (greater than 10 million annual tons) through T-5 (less than 100,000 annual tons). The classification system is based on the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Freight and Goods Transportation System (FGTS). $\mathrm{I}-5$ is considered a T-1 classification. Within the study area, N State Street, lowa Street, Ohio Street, and Lakeway Drive are identified as Freight Truck Routes. All are classified as class T-3 routes, carrying between 300,000 and 4 million annual tonnage. The study area freight network is shown on Figure 14. All changes to freight truck route FGTS designations are reflected in Chapter 9 of Bellingham's Transportation Report on Annual Mobility (TRAM).

## Vehicle

The following section describes the existing characteristics of the vehicle roadway network within the study area.

## Functional Classification System

The Bellingham Comprehensive Plan (pages 24-25) classifies Bellingham's street network into three categories: Principal Arterials, Secondary Arterials, Collector Arterials, and Residential Streets. The Bellingham functional classification categories are described below.

Principal Arterials include major regional transportation corridors, including State and federal highways, that provide connections into Bellingham from other cities, Whatcom and Skagit Counties, and British Columbia, Canada. Principal arterials typically carry very high traffic volumes.

Secondary Arterials include major local transportation corridors that provide connections across, within, and between different parts of Bellingham. Secondary arterials typically carry higher to medium traffic volumes.

Collector Arterials include local transportation corridors that provide connections from neighborhood residential streets to secondary and principal arterial streets. Collector arterials typically carry medium to lower traffic volumes.

Residential Streets include local access to individual driveways within residential neighborhoods. Residential streets typically carry lower traffic volumes.

Any changes to local arterial street classifications are reflected in Chapter 9 of Bellingham's Transportation Report on Annual Mobility (TRAM). Roadway functional classification designations for the study area corridors are shown in Figure 15.



Functional Classification and Traffic Signals

## Study Area Street System

Table 4 summarizes the characteristics of the study corridors included within the LincolnLakeway study area.

Table 4. Study Area Existing Street System Summary

| Study Corridor | Arterial <br> Classification | Posted <br> Speed <br> Limit | Number <br> of Travel <br> Lanes | On-Street <br> Parking | Sidewalks | Bicycle <br> Facilities |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ellis St | Principal Arterial | 25 | 3 | No | Yes | Yes |
| E Maple St | Principal Arterial | 35 | 3 | No | Yes | Yes |
| Bill McDonald Pkwy | Secondary Arterial | 35 | 2 | No | Yes | Yes |
| Lincoln St | Secondary Arterial | $25 / 35$ | $3-5$ | No | Yes | Yes |
| N Samish Way | Principal Arterial | 35 | 3 | No | Yes | Yes |
| 36th St (WSDOT ROW) | Collector Arterial | 25 | 2 | No | No | No |
| Elwood Ave | Secondary Arterial | 25 | 2 | No | Yes | Yes |
| Lakeway Dr | Principal Arterial | 25 | 5 | No | Yes | No |
| Fraser St | Collector Arterial | 25 | 2 | No | Yes (south side) | Yes |
| Meador Ave | Secondary Arterial | 25 | 2 | No | Yes | Yes |
| King St | Collector Arterial | 25 | 2 | Yes | Yes | No |
| N State St | Principal Arterial | 25 | 5 | No | Yes | No |
| Ohio St | Principal Arterial | 25 | 2 | Yes (north side) | Yes | Yes |
| lowa St | Principal Arterial | 35 | 5 | No | Yes | No |
| Puget St | Collector Arterial | 25 | 2 | No | Yes (east side) | Yes |
| Potter St | Residential Street | 25 | 2 | Yes | Yes | No |
| Source: Transpo Group, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Volumes

Weekday PM peak period (4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.) intersection turning movement volumes were collected at 20 of the 31 total study intersections in 2016, 2017, 2019, and 2020. Due to the effects of COVID-19 and Washington's "Stay-At-Home" orders, additional intersection turning movement volumes were collected in October 2020. Work began on the LincolnLakeway Multimodal Transportation Study at the beginning of March 2020, but due to the unanticipated and significant effects of the COVID-19 global pandemic on the City transportation system, adjustments to traffic volume data have been required.
As shown in Figure 16, the majority of PM peak hour intersection total entering vehicles (TEV) within the study area decreased due to the effects of COVID-19.


Figure 16. PM Peak Hour Volume Comparison
Detailed PM peak hour volume trends at these intersections are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Weekday (2020) PM Peak Hour Volume Comparison

|  | Pre-COVID |  |  | Post-COVID |  | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Intersection | Count Date | TEV $^{1}$ | Count Date | TEV |  |  |
| 1. Elllis Street/ E Holly Street/ Jersey Street/ | March 2016 | 2,934 | October 2020 | 2,255 | $-23 \%$ |  |
| Lakeway Drive | August 2017 | 3,110 | October 2020 | 2,646 | $-15 \%$ |  |
| 8. Lincoln Street / Lakeway Drive | March 2016 | 2,993 | October 2020 | 2,480 | $-17 \%$ |  |
| 13. I-5 SB Ramps / Lakeway Drive | August 2017 | 2,856 | October 2020 | 2,467 | $-14 \%$ |  |
| 14. King Street / Lakeway Drive | April 2016 | 1,214 | October 2020 | 1,087 | $-10 \%$ |  |
| 24. King Street / Potter Street/-5 NB Ramps | April 2016 | 780 | October 2020 | 793 | $2 \%$ |  |
| 25. Lincoln Street / Potter Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Transpo Group, 2020

1. $\mathrm{TEV}=$ total entering vehicles

As shown in Table 5, total entering vehicles are summarized at six study intersections on or adjacent to the Lakeway Drive corridor. All intersections experienced a decrease in volume after the implementation of the Stay at Home Orders due to COVID with the exception of the Lincoln Street/Potter Street intersection. On average, the study intersections experienced a decrease in total entering vehicles of approximately 13 percent.

Detailed existing PM peak period counts are included in Appendix A. The existing traffic volumes were rounded to the nearest 5 vehicles to account for daily fluctuations in traffic.

Figure 17 summarizes hourly weekday volumes collected on Lakeway Drive west of Grant Street in July 2015.


Figure 17. Lakeway Drive Hourly Volumes west of Grant Street
As shown in Figure 17, traffic volumes peak during the weekday PM peak period of 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Secondary peaks in volume occur during the AM peak and during midday; however, these volumes are not as high as during the weekday PM peak. Therefore, this analysis focuses on traffic operations during the weekday PM peak hour.

Although the following analysis focuses on the PM peak period, there are several key movements that experience heavier traffic volumes during the AM peak and will be taken into consideration. Based on a review of AM and PM peak hour intersection counts collected in October 2020, the following movements experience increased directional traffic during the AM peak:

- Northbound left-turn at N Samish Way/Bill McDonald Parkway/Byron Avenue
- Westbound right-turn at S Samish Way/36th Street/l-5 SB Off-Ramp
- Westbound left-turn at I-5 SB Ramps/Lakeway Drive

In addition, 2018 annual daily traffic (ADT) volumes were provided by the City of Bellingham. ADT within the study area is included in Figure 18. As shown in Figure 18, the Lakeway Drive corridor carries between 21,500 and 23,900 ADT. The Lincoln Street corridor carries between 6,200 and 13,700 ADT within the study area.

An annual 2 percent growth rate was applied to the traffic counts to account for background growth between the count year and existing 2020 conditions.

## Traffic Operations

The following summarizes traffic operations for existing conditions for the study area intersections.

The operational characteristics of an intersection are determined by calculating the intersection vehicular level of service (LOS). At unsignalized side-street, stop-controlled intersections, vehicular LOS is measured by the average delay on the worst-movement of the intersection. Traffic operations and average vehicle delay for an intersection can be described qualitatively with a range of vehicular levels of service (LOS A through LOS F), with LOS A indicating free-flowing traffic and LOS F indicating extreme congestion and long vehicle delays. Appendix B contains a detailed explanation of vehicular LOS criteria and definitions.

Weekday PM peak hour traffic operations for existing and future without-project conditions were evaluated at the study intersections based on the procedures identified in the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition (2016) and were evaluated using Synchro 10. Synchro 10 is a software program that uses HCM methodology to evaluate intersection vehicular LOS and average vehicle delays. Results for the existing and future without-project operations analyses are summarized in Table 6. Detailed vehicular LOS worksheets for each study intersection are included in Appendix C.

NOTE: Work began on the Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study at the beginning of March 2020, but due to the unanticipated and significant effects of the COVID19 global pandemic on the City transportation system, adjustments to traffic volume data have been required.

## Table 6. Existing PM Peak Hour Vehicular LOS Summary

| Intersection | Traffic Control | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $W^{\text {W }}{ }^{3}$ |
| 1. Ellis St/ E Holly St/ Jersey St/ Lakeway Dr ${ }^{4}$ | Signal | B | 14 | - |
| 2. N Samish Way/ Abbott St | TWSC | C | 22 | WB |
| 3. N Samish Way/ Consolidation Ave | TWSC | C | 24 | WB |
| 4. N Samish Way/ Bill McDonald Pkwy/ Byron Ave | Signal | C | 24 | - |
| 5. S Samish Way/ 36th St/ I-5 Southbound Off-Ramp ${ }^{4}$ | Signal | C | 28 | - |
| 6. Elwood Ave/ S Samish Way/ Lincoln St | Signal | C | 33 | - |
| 7. S Samish Way/ I-5 Northbound Off-Ramp | TWSC | D | 31 | EB |
| 8. Lincoln St/ Lakeway Dr | Signal | D | 44 | - |
| 9. Lincoln St/E Maple St | TWSC | F | 77 | WB |
| 10. Lincoln St/ Byron Ave | TWSC | F | 50 | WB |
| 11. Lincoln St/ I-5 Northbound On-Ramp | TWSC | B | 12 | NBL |
| 12. Ashley Ave/ Byron Ave | TWSC | B | 10 | EB |
| 13. I-5 Southbound Ramps/ Lakeway Dr | Signal | D | 36 | - |
| 14. King St/ Lakeway Dr ${ }^{4}$ | Signal | C | 34 | - |
| 15. Nevada St/ Lakeway Dr | TWSC | E | 37 | NBL |
| 16. Orleans St/ Lakeway Dr | TWSC | E | 44 | NB |
| 17. Puget St/ Lakeway Dr | Signal | B | 16 | - |
| 18. King St/ Ohio St/ I-5 Southbound Ramps ${ }^{5}$ | TWSC | - | - | - |
| 19. I-5 Northbound Ramps/ Moore St/ lowa St | Signal | C | 20 | - |
| 20. Grant St/ N State St/ Meador Ave/ Kansas St ${ }^{5}$ | Signal | B | 16 | - |
| 21. James St/ Meador Ave | AWSC | C | 16 | - |
| 22. Lincoln St/ Fraser St | TWSC | F | 62 | WBL |
| 23. Puget St/ Fraser St | TWSC | B | 12 | NB |
| 24. King St/ Potter St/ I-5 Northbound Ramps | NA | - | - | - |
| 25. Lincoln St/ Potter St | TWSC | D | 27 | EB |
| 26. N State St/ York St | Signal | B | 13 | - |
| 27. Ellis St/ N Forest St/ York St | Signal | D | 35 | - |
| 28. Ellis St/ Potter St/ Magnolia St ${ }^{5}$ | Signal | - | - | - |
| 29. Ellis St/ Chestnut St | Signal | B | 14 | - |
| 30. 36th St/ I-5 Southbound On-Ramp/ Fielding Ave | TWSC | C | 21 | - |
| 31. Lincoln St/ Consolidation Ave ${ }^{5}$ | TWSC | - | - | - |
| Source: Transpo Group, 2020 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Level of Service (A - F) as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition (TRB, 2016) <br> 2. Average delay per vehicle in seconds |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Worst movement or approach reported for side-street stop-controlled intersections |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Evaluated using HCM 2000 due to limitations in the HCM 6th Edition and intersection configuratio <br> 5. No traffic count available at this time. |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 6, the majority of study intersections and all signalized study intersections currently operate at vehicular LOS D or better. The two-way stop-controlled Nevada Street/Lakeway Drive and Orleans Street/Lakeway Drive currently operate at vehicular LOS E, and the two-way stop-controlled intersections at Lincoln Street/E Maple Street, Lincoln Street/Byron Avenue, Lincoln Street/Fraser Street, and King Street/Potter Street/l-5 NB Ramps currently operate at vehicular LOS F. Detailed vehicular LOS worksheets are included in Appendix C.

## Roadway Safety

Recent collision records were reviewed within the study area to identify existing traffic safety issues. The most recent five-year summary of accident data from WSDOT is for the period between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2019. A summary of the total and average annual number of reported accidents at each study intersection is provided in Table 7.

In the most recent five-year period, all study intersections experienced an annual average of approximately 6 collisions or less, with the exception of the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection that experienced an average of approximately 10 collisions per year. At the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection, the majority of collisions resulted in property damage only and there were no fatalities. The most common collisions types were rear-end and angle collisions. Rear-end collisions can occur frequently on congested corridors such as Lakeway Drive.

In the last five-year period, 39 pedestrian/bicycle collisions occurred at the study intersections. Of these, the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive and N State Street/York Street experienced the most pedestrian/bicycle collisions, with 7 and 5 respectively. There was one bicyclist fatality within the study area in the last five-year period, at the Lincoln Street/Byron Avenue intersection in 2017. This collision occurred in the early morning hours (3:00am) and post-collision interview of the police officer responding to the fatality indicates that the downhill (northbound) bicyclist struck the side of a van that was turning left from Byron Avenue southbound onto Lincoln Street. It appears that the bicycle was equipped with lights, but no evidence of a bicycle helmet was found at the scene of the fatality. There is not an engineering or infrastructure solution that would have prevented this tragic outcome, but green dashed boxes installed in the northbound dedicated bicycle lane across the Lincoln/Byron intersection would better alert drivers to the presence of bicyclists.

A map of collision density is shown on Figure 19. As shown, The Lakeway Drive corridor experiences the highest collision density, centered around the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection. Collision density hotspots are also identified at the James Street/lowa Street intersection and at the Samish Way l-5 interchange.

Table 7. Five-Year Collision Summary - 2015 to 2019

| Location | Number of Collisions |  |  |  |  | Total | Annual Average | Collisions per MEV ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |  |  |  |
| 1. Elllis St/ E Holly St/ Jersey St/ Lakeway Dr | 9 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 29 | 5.80 | 0.51 |
| 2. N Samish Way/ Abbott St | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.26 |
| 3. N Samish Way/ Consolidation Ave | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2.20 | 0.38 |
| 4. N Samish Way/ Bill McDonald Pkwy/ Byron Ave | 8 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 32 | 6.40 | 0.72 |
| 5. S Samish Way/ 36th St/ I-5 SB Off-Ramp | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 26 | 5.20 | 0.46 |
| 6. Elwood Ave/ S Samish Way/ Lincoln St | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 2.20 | 0.23 |
| 7. S Samish Way/ I-5 NB Off-Ramp | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 2.00 | 0.60 |
| 8. Lincoln St/ Lakeway Dr | 5 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 51 | 10.20 | 0.85 |
| 9. Lincoln St/ E Maple St | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.25 |
| 10. Lincoln St/ Byron Ave | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1.60 | 0.36 |
| 11. Lincoln St/ I-5 NB On-Ramp | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 2.40 | 0.34 |
| 12. Ashley Ave/ Byron Ave | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.34 |
| 13. I-5 SB Ramps/ Lakeway Dr | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 1.80 | 0.15 |
| 14. King St/ Lakeway Dr | 10 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 31 | 6.20 | 0.56 |
| 15. Nevada St/ Lakeway Dr | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.16 |
| 16. Orleans St/ Lakeway Dr | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 4.00 | 0.43 |
| 17. Puget St/ Lakeway Dr | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 2.60 | 0.27 |
| 18. King St/ Ohio St/ I-5 SB Ramps ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1.00 | - |
| 19. I-5 NB Ramps/ Moore St/ lowa St ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 21 | 4.20 | - |
| 20. Grant St/ N State St/ Meador Ave/ Kansas St ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 2.60 | - |
| 21. James St/ Meador Ave ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.60 | - |
| 22. Lincoln St/ Fraser St ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1.00 | - |
| 23. Puget St/ Fraser St ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.40 | - |
| 24. King St/ Potter St/ I-5 NB Ramps | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.17 |
| 25. Lincoln St/ Potter St | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 2.00 | 0.66 |
| 26. N State St/ York St ${ }^{2}$ | 6 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 3.40 | - |
| 27. Ellis St/ N Forest St/ York St ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1.60 | - |
| 28. Ellis St/ Potter St ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0.80 | - |
| 29. Ellis St/ Chestnut St | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2.00 | 0.27 |
| 30. 36th St/ I-5 SB On-Ramp/ Fielding Ave ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 2.00 | - |

Source: WSDOT, 2020

1. Million entering vehicles.
2. Count data not available to calculate collisions per MEV rate.


Collision Locations (2015-2019)

## Chapter 3. Needs Analysis and Screening

This section addresses the anticipated future conditions within the study area and includes an assessment of needs and analysis of potential planned improvements to address those needs. Based on planned land use growth, the first part discusses travel forecasts in the form of daily person trips, vehicle traffic at corridor screen lines, mode share goals, and key connections based on bike and walk demand. The second part addresses the needs assessment of each travel mode to determine the location and scale of the future transportation needs to support the existing and future land uses. The third part discusses planned improvement strategies and screening process to address the forecasted needs for vehicle traffic, walk trips, bike trips, and transit patrons. The fourth part discusses the additional analysis on screened projects.

## Travel Forecasts

The development of multimodal travel characteristics within the study area was based upon data contained in the Whatcom Council of Governments Travel Demand Model (WCOG Model). The data includes forecasts of land use, corridor trip growth, and overall mode share.

## Land Use

Land use in the WCOG Model is a key input to develop travel demand forecasts. Table 8 highlights the number of households and number of employees within the study area for both 2018 and 2040 models. The table also shows total land use within the full model area (Whatcom County). The land use in the 2040 WCOG Model is consistent with the City of Bellingham's adopted Land Use Plan.

As shown in Table 8, households are anticipated to grow by approximately 1,150 units, which represents an annual growth of 0.8 percent from existing conditions. Employment would increase by about 1,040 jobs, representing a 1.0 percent growth rate. The growth rates in the study area are generally consistent with regional growth rates. The residential growth is anticipated to be mostly higher density such as apartments and townhomes. The large portion of employment growth would be in retail and services.

Table 8. Land Use Growth

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Change | Annual Growth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Area |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 5,713 | 6,865 | 1,152 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Employment | 4,327 | 5,363 | 1,036 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Full Model Area |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 95,244 | 117,792 | 22,548 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Employment | 103,257 | 127,482 | 24,225 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Source: WCOG Model Land Use, 2020 |  |  |  |  |

## Person Trip Distribution Summary

The person trip distribution analysis provides an overview of future travel patterns and how origins and destinations may change from existing conditions. Person trips represent the demand of travel from one place to another regardless of travel mode. A key output from the WCOG model is a daily person trip table, which has been summarized by geographical districts. For example, we know how many daily person trips are linked between the study area and downtown Bellingham. Because of forecasted land use changes, we also know how the origin and destination relationships change in the future. Table 9 lists the distribution of
daily person trips for 2018 and 2040 conditions. The total represents all daily person trips where one trip end begins or ends with in the study area, representing about 83,700 person trips in 2018 and about 115,600 person trips in 2040. As noted in the table, trips to/from Downtown Bellingham are expected to be a higher portion of overall trips in 2040 conditions. Trips that start and end within the study area are also expected to be a higher portion of overall person trips. This indicates that future trips are expected to be shorter than existing and have higher linkages within the study are or to downtown.

Table 9. Distribution of Person Trips

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Area Person Trips |  |  |  |
| to/from Downtown Bellingham | $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |
| to/from Southwest Bellingham/University Area | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| to/from Southeast Bellingham | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| to/from East Bellingham/Sudden Valley | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| to/from Central Bellingham | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| to/from Other Areas in Model | $49 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| that stay within study area | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| Source: WCOG Mode Trip Tables, 2020 |  |  |  |

## Corridor Screen Lines

Another method to understand travel forecasts is to consider corridor screen lines. A screen line represents the general flow of traffic from one area to another as it crosses a boundary. Table 10 shows three screen lines: traffic flowing east-west across I-5; traffic flowing northsouth, just south of Lakeway Avenue; and, traffic flowing north-south, just north of Byron Avenue.

Forecasts of future traffic volumes were based on traffic growth shown in the WCOG Model. The forecasting process was to subtract the existing model volumes from the future model volumes to calculate trip growth, and then add the growth to the existing traffic counts. As with any forecasting methodology, the traffic forecasts are checked for reasonableness and adjusted, if necessary. The WCOG Models for 2018 and 2040 were used in this forecasting process.

Table 10. Screen Line Results (PM Peak Period)

|  | SB/EB ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | NB/WB ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Both Directions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2020^{3}$ | $2040{ }^{4}$ | Annual Growth Rate ${ }^{5}$ | 2020 | 2040 | Annual Growth Rate | 2020 | 2040 | Annual Growth Rate |
| East-West Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West of I-5 Corridor | 2,700 | 3,150 | 0.8\% | 2,225 | 2,815 | 1.2\% | 4,925 | 5,965 | 1.0\% |
| North-South Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South of Lakeway Drive | 945 | 1,210 | 1.2\% | 2,050 | 2,530 | 1.1\% | 2,995 | 3,740 | 1.1\% |
| North of Byron Avenue | 1,395 | 1,770 | 1.2\% | 1,485 | 1,860 | 1.1\% | 2,880 | 3,630 | 1.2\% |

Source: Transpo Group, 2020

1. SB is Southbound; EB is Eastbound
2. NB is Northbound; WB is Westbound
3. Represents the sum of post-processed 2020 volumes crossing the screen line in that direction
4. Represents the sum of post processed 2040 volumes crossing the screen line in that direction
5. Annual growth rate

As shown in Table 10, vehicle flows across the I-5 corridor are expected to grow annually by 0.8 percent for eastbound traffic, 1.2 percent for westbound traffic, or 1.0 percent combined. North-south flows both in the northern and southern parts of the study area are expected to grow annually by about 1.2 percent. The screen lines represent traffic volumes on principal and secondary arterials only.

## Mode Share Goals

The City has a goal for 2036 conditions to have a higher percent of person trips travel by walking and bicycling. The 2020 Transportation Report on Annual Mobility (Bellingham, 2020) shows that existing mode share of walk trips was 8 percent, and bike trips was 3.3 percent. The City goal for 2036 is to have both walk trips and bike trips to be at 12 percent. In other words, total combined walk/bicycle mode share is currently 11.3 percent and the goal is to reach 24 percent in the future.

## Key Walking and Biking Connections

One way to improve mode share for walk and bicycle trips is to improve the safety and convenience of walk and bicycle infrastructure on key connections in the study area. To identify key connections, the WCOG model person trip data set was again used. High level assumptions can be made on allocating person trips to walk or bicycle trips based on travel distance between districts (assuming safe and convenient infrastructure already in place). Figure 20 shows the results of this analysis, where the distribution of walk and bike trips are shown for existing and future conditions. Note that these future percentages do not assume improved investments in connections. This helps identify key connections by showing the general direction of high demand trips.

As shown in Figure 20, the connections to/from Downtown Bellingham is the highest percent of demand. In the future, the demand is expected to be even more oriented to downtown for bike trips. This indicates that corridors connecting to downtown would be high priority locations for multimodal infrastructure. The primary locations to get to/from downtown require the crossing of I-5 at Lakeway Drive or Meador Avenue. The next highest distribution of walk/bicycle trips would be to the southwest and north. The southwest direction indicates the importance of Lincoln Street and the Samish Way interchange. The north direction indicates the importance of connections across Whatcom Creek.


Figure 20. Distribution of Walk and Bicycle Trips

## Needs Assessment

Using the traffic volume forecasts and non-motorized desired connections, the transportation system needs of the study area can be assessed. A summary of how the needs are assessed is first presented, followed by a detailed discussion of the process. The outcome of the needs assessment is a series of maps highlighting system needs. Figure 21 shows the general process for the needs assessment.


Figure 21. General Needs Assessment Process

## Needs Assessment Metrics

The needs of the transportation system were assessed with a variety of metrics as outlined in Table 11. Vehicle operations was assessed through level of service (LOS) analysis and queuing impacts. The non-motorized system was assessed through gap analyses and understanding forecasted major movements. Transit system elements were assessed from the perspective of stops and how they interact with the other transportation systems.

## Table 11. Needs Assessment Metrics

| Mode | Assessment Metric | Metric Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle System | - Level of service thresholds (HCM ${ }^{1}$ ) <br> - Locations of vehicle queuing ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Public Input ${ }^{3}$ | - LOS E or F <br> - Spillback into upstream intersections of major driveways <br> - Reoccurring locations/issue identified by public |
| Walking System | - ADA-related identified gaps <br> - Pedestrian Master Plan ${ }^{4}$ identified gaps <br> - Distribution of Demand <br> - Public Input | - ADA-related gaps in sidewalks <br> - Improvements identified in PMP <br> - Connections to the highest areas of demand by distribution percentage <br> - Reoccurring locations/issue identified by public |
| Biking System | - Bicycle Master Plan ${ }^{5}$ identified gaps <br> - Distribution of Demand <br> - Public Input | - Improvements identified in BMP <br> - Connections to the highest areas of demand by distribution percentage <br> - Reoccurring locations/issue identified by public |
| Transit System | - ADA-related identified gaps <br> - Access to transit stops <br> - Speed and Reliability <br> - Public Input | - ADA-related issues at bus stops <br> - Gaps in sidewalks/trails near bus stops <br> - Major corridor travel time <br> - Reoccurring locations/issue identified by public |

[^0]
## Identify Needs by Travel Mode

This section provides more details on how the needs assessment was completed.

## Vehicle Traffic Operations

A level of service analysis was conducted for future 2040 conditions and is compared to existing 2020 conditions to identify potential intersection improvements. The future 2040 analysis documents the operational impacts of adding future traffic volume growth to the intersections without modifying lane channelization or signal timing from existing conditions. The results of the future LOS analysis are summarized in Table 12. Detailed LOS worksheets are included in Appendix C.

Table 12. Future 2040 PM Peak Hour LOS Summary

| Intersection | Traffic Control | Existing 2020 |  |  | Future 2040 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | WM $^{3}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | WM ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1. Ellis St/ E Holly St/ Jersey St/ Lakeway Dr ${ }^{4}$ | Signal | B | 14 | - | D | 40 | - |
| 2. N Samish Way/ Abbott St | TWSC | C | 22 | WB | F | 59 | EB |
| 3. N Samish Way/ Consolidation Ave | TWSC | C | 24 | WB | D | 30 | WB |
| 4. N Samish Way/ Bill McDonald Pkwy/ Byron Ave | Signal | C | 24 | - | D | 46 | - |
| 5. S Samish Way/ 36th St/ I-5 SB Off-Ramp ${ }^{4}$ | Signal | C | 28 | - | D | 37 | - |
| 6. Elwood Ave/ S Samish Way/ Lincoln St | Signal | C | 33 | - | E | 60 | - |
| 7. S Samish Way/ I-5 NB Off-Ramp | TWSC | D | 31 | EB | F | 89 | EB |
| 8. Lincoln St/ Lakeway Dr | Signal | D | 44 | - | F | 87 | - |
| 9. Lincoln St/E Maple St | TWSC | F | 77 | WB | F | 510 | WB |
| 10. Lincoln St/ Byron Ave | TWSC | F | 50 | WB | F | 140 | WB |
| 11. Lincoln St/ I-5 NB On-Ramp | TWSC | B | 12 | NBL | B | 14 | NBL |
| 12. Ashley Ave/ Byron Ave | TWSC | B | 10 | EB | B | 10 | EB/WB |
| 13. I-5 SB Ramps/ Lakeway Dr | Signal | D | 36 | - | E | 57 | - |
| 14. King St/ Lakeway Dr ${ }^{4}$ | Signal | C | 34 | - | D | 40 | - |
| 15. Nevada St/ Lakeway Dr | TWSC | E | 37 | NBL | E | 44 | NBL |
| 16. Orleans St/ Lakeway Dr | TWSC | E | 44 | NB | F | 77 | SBL |
| 17. Puget St/ Lakeway Dr | Signal | B | 16 | - | C | 28 | - |
| 18. King St/ Ohio St/ I-5 SB Ramps ${ }^{5}$ | TWSC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19. I-5 NB Ramps/ Moore St/ lowa St | Signal | C | 20 | - | C | 20 | - |
| 20. Grant St/ N State St/ Meador Ave/ Kansas St ${ }^{5}$ | Signal | B | 16 | - | C | 25 | - |
| 21. James St/ Meador Ave | AWSC | C | 16 | - | E | 40 | - |
| 22. Lincoln St/ Fraser St | TWSC | F | 62 | WBL | F | 516 | WBL |
| 23. Puget St/ Fraser St | TWSC | B | 12 | NB | B | 14 | NB |
| 24. King St/ Potter St/ I-5 NB Ramps | NA | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25. Lincoln St/ Potter St | TWSC | D | 27 | EB | F | 370 | EB |
| 26. N State St/ York St | Signal | B | 13 | - | B | 17 | - |
| 27. Ellis St/ N Forest St/ York St | Signal | D | 35 | - | F | 89 | - |
| 28. Ellis St/ Potter St/ Magnolia St ${ }^{5}$ | Signal | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29. Ellis St/ Chestnut St | Signal | B | 14 | - | B | 19 | - |
| 30. 36th St/ I-5 SB On-Ramp/ Fielding Ave | TWSC | C | 21 | - | F | 70 | SB |
| 31. Lincoln St/ Consolidation Ave ${ }^{5}$ | TWSC | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Source: Transpo Group, 2021
Level of Service (A-F) as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition (TRB, 2016)
Average delay per vehicle in seconds
Worst movement or approach reported for side-street stop-controlled intersections
Evaluated using HCM 2000 due to limitations in the HCM 6th Edition and intersection configurations.
No traffic count available at this time.

As shown in Table 12, the addition of future traffic volume growth throughout the study area increases vehicle delay at study area intersections. The following intersections are forecast to operate at vehicular LOS F under future 2040 no action conditions:

- Samish Way/ Abbott Street
- S Samish Way/ I-5 NB Off-Ramp
- Lincoln Street/ Lakeway Drive
- Lincoln Street/ E Maple Street
- Lincoln Street/ Byron Avenue
- Orleans Street/ Lakeway Drive
- Lincoln Street/ Fraser Street
- King Street/ Potter Street/ I-5 NB Ramps
- Lincoln Street/ Potter Street
- Ellis Street/ N Forest Street/ York Street
- 36th Street/ I-5 SB On-Ramp/ Fielding Avenue


## Non-Motorized Desire Lines

As discussed previously, non-motorized connections to/from Downtown Bellingham is the highest percent of existing demand and in the future the demand is expected to be even more oriented to downtown. The next highest distribution of walk/bicycle trips would be to the southwest and north. The downtown and southwest desire lines highlight the importance of improving east-west mobility across the I-5 corridor. The north direction desire line indicates the importance of connections across Whatcom Creek.

## Pedestrian and Transit Access System Gaps

The walking and transit network in the Lincoln-Lakeway study area includes several gaps from bus stops in need of ADA upgrades, missing crosswalks, and missing sidewalks. High walking demand in the area is due to close proximity to Downtown Bellingham as well as Western Washington University. As shown on Figure 22, approximately 19 bus stops within the study area are in need of ADA improvements. These include two stops near the Samish Way I-5 interchange, five stops west of I-5 and north of Lakeway Drive, and 12 stops east of $\mathrm{I}-5$ and north of Lakeway Drive surrounding the Civic Athletic Complex. Missing crosswalks and sidewalks on Lincoln Street also contribute to gaps in the pedestrian system. The west side of Lincoln Street between Elwood Avenue and just north of Consolidation Avenue is missing a designated pedestrian facility, and a mid-block pedestrian crossing is missing along Lincoln Street at the Viking Circle cross street.

In addition, system-wide gaps include the lack of a pedestrian crossing of I-5 between the Samish and Lakeway interchanges. Lakeway Drive within the study area has been identified as a corridor with historically high pedestrian-involved collisions. This area poses a safety concern and creates a gap in the pedestrian system.

## Bicycle System Gaps

Bicycle facility system gaps are present along six corridors within the study area. As shown on Figure 23, these corridors include areas where the need for a bicycle facility has been identified in the Bellingham Bicycle Master Plan (BMP). The corridors identified in the BMP include:

- Fielding Avenue between 32nd Street and 36th Street
- 36th Street between Fielding Avenue and Samish Way
- Lincoln Street south of Lakeway Drive to south Fred Meyer driveway
- Lakeway Drive between Ellis Street and Puget Street
- N State Street between York Street and James Street
- Ohio Street between Grant Street and N State Street

In addition, the Meador Avenue/Lincoln Street corridor between James Street and Potter Street lacks a northbound bicycle facility.



## ADA Plan Identified Gaps

The City of Bellingham developed an ADA Transition Plan - to ensure that all pedestrian infrastructure is accessible to those with mobility impairments. This transition plan involved creating a prioritization of where ADA improvements should be focused using a Location Index Score. The LIS is based on urban zones, proximity to transit, parks, schools, community destinations and public buildings. Figure 24 shows the results of prioritization, with darker purple colors indicating locations where focus should be placed. The blue wheelchair icons denote specific locations of concern cited during the public engagement process. The initial results show that Lakeway Drive is a location where emphasis should be placed on prioritizing ADA improvements. The type of upgrades needed range from small improvements like minor sidewalk repairs, to complete reconstruction of sidewalks and curb ramps. Solutions developed throughout the Lincoln Lakeway Study also considered the need to bring pedestrian facilities up to ADA standards, especially in priority locations.

## Vehicle and Transit Reliability Needs

Vehicle and transit reliability needs throughout the study area are highlighted in Figure 25. As shown, several signalized and unsignalized intersections throughout the study area experience vehicular LOS E or F at PM peak hour conditions with future 2040 forecast volumes. In addition, locations of vehicle traffic congestion with long 95th percentile queues near the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection and the I-5 interchanges have been identified. Vehicle congestion and lengthy queues at study area intersections also negatively affects transit reliability throughout the study area. These locations indicate areas where transit priority treatments may be considered to improve vehicle and transit operations.

## Public Input: Community Survey

The Community Survey, as discussed in the introduction, provided the following feedback on the relative importance of improvements for each travel mode within the study area.

## Pedestrians

For pedestrian conditions, the factors considered throughout the survey are curb ramps and access for physically challenged, marked crosswalks, pedestrian crossing signals, sidewalks (including width, condition, and connectivity), and educational materials for pedestrians and motorists. Overall, existing curb ramps and ADA facilities were rated the highest for pedestrian facilities and overall in "good" condition. Sidewalks were rated the least satisfactory overall. In terms of the importance of making improvements for pedestrian facilities, the highest rated were sidewalk improvements, pedestrian crossing signals, and marked crosswalks. For the importance of different pedestrian factors, well-connected sidewalks were rated the highest, followed by marked crosswalks at intersections, and sidewalk condition and maintenance. Providing and maintaining sidewalk space for people walking was rated the highest out of all categories as the highest importance to improve within the study area.

## Bicyclists

For bicyclists, the factors considered were overall bicycle facilities, dedicated on-street space for bicyclists, street pavement condition, separation from traffic, bike lane markings through intersections, bicycle wayfinding/signage, secure bicycle rack parking, and educational materials for bicyclists and motorists. Overall, existing bicycle facilities were rated fairly poor, with just $38 \%$ of respondents rating the facilities "good" or "very good". As a result, bicycle facilities were rated one of the highest factors to make improvements on and as a top three priority. The biggest improvements to be made within bicycle facilities were street pavement condition, separation from traffic, educational materials for both bicyclists and motorists, and providing dedicated on-street space for bicyclists. The least important factor was bicycle wayfinding and signage, bike lane markings through intersections, and secure bicycle rack


Figure 24. ADA Plan Identified Priorities
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parking. When it came to choosing the most important overall improvements, 45 percent of respondents said that providing dedicated new space for bicyclists was very important.

## Transit Service

For transit service conditions, the factors considered in this survey were overall transit service, bus stops, safety, shelters and lighting, bus routes, evening service, bicycle racks on buses and stops, and connections to other transportation services. Overall, transit service was rated very satisfactory in this survey. Very few respondents said that the transit service or bus stops were poor. Respondents noted that it was only somewhat important to make improvements to bus stops and transit service overall. Bus stops and transit service was also rated low for top three transportation improvement priorities, inferring that improvements for transit did not rank very high across all modes of transportation. When considering improvements within public transit, the most important factors for respondents were safety, reliability of bus service, safe and accessible connections for disabled and elderly, and shelters, lighting, and benches at bus stops. Overall, it was not very important to respondents to have bicycle racks at bus stops and travel time was not considered to be very important.

## Motorists

For the overall category of motorists, the factors considered were street lighting, street pavement condition, driveway access to businesses, traffic congestion, and vehicle lane widths. Traffic congestion was rated the poorest transportation factor in this survey, followed by poor street pavement condition. Overall, traffic congestion was rated the highest priority to make an improvement on. Street pavement condition and street lighting was also rated highly to make improvements. Driveway access for businesses was not rated as an important improvement. Overall, maintaining existing vehicle lane widths was rated as highly important by 47 percent of survey respondents. It is also important to note that for both pedestrians and for bicyclists, educational materials for motorists was rated as highly important.

In summary, survey respondents felt the two most important categories were to maintain sidewalk space ( 65 percent) and maintain existing vehicle widths ( 47 percent). The two least important categories were to provide new space for bicyclists ( 32 percent) and widening sidewalk space for wheelchairs ( 26 percent).

## Public Input: Engage Bellingham

In the summer of 2020, the City of Bellingham purchased a license for online public engagement software that was branded as Engage Bellingham. City staff created an online project page for the multi-agency Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study on the Engage Bellingham platform.

On January 21, 2021, the City issued a press release inviting the public to participate in the study using this online platform. An interactive map allowed participants to place pins with themes for ADA, sidewalks, crosswalks, bikeways, transit needs, vehicle lanes, and driveway access, along with specific comments and requests for transportation improvement needs. These comments were compiled and shown graphically in Figure 26.

As shown in the figure, the complete set of pins were most clustered in three distinct areas: Lakeway Drive under I-5; the Lakeway Drive/Lincoln Street intersection; and the Meador Avenue area around the I-5 undercrossing. These three areas were also tied to comments related to "Bikeway Needed". The Meador Avenue area around the I-5 undercrossing was also flagged for "Crosswalk Needed", mostly near the Fraser Street intersection. The King Street/Potter Street area had more vehicle-focused comments as well as comments about transit stops and crosswalk needs.

Many of the public comments were validating the already identified potential improvement project locations from past studies and plans and from the needs assessment.


Map Pin Concentrations and Percent of Total Pins Placed


Individual pin comments and data are available at: httes://engagebelingham.org/incoln-lakeway/maps/lincolnlakewaymap
Figure 26. Hotspots of Public Comments

## Potential Improvements

A list of potential improvements was developed to address the system gaps and needs assessed in the previous sections. The improvements were developed from several sources including past studies, public input, and the needs assessment. A full list of the 49 potential projects is included in Appendix D.

## Past Studies and Plans

To develop the list of potential projects, past studies and plans were reviewed. Nearly all projects in these plans and studies that were also within in the study area were added to the list of potential projects. The following past studies and plans were used in preparing the list of potential improvements.

- Bellingham's Pedestrian Master Plan (2012)
- Bellingham's Bicycle Master Plan (2014)
- Bellingham Comprehensive Plan Multimodal Transportation Chapter (2016)
- Lakeway Drive Bikeway Study (2016-2017)
- Samish-Maple-Ellis Corridor Study (2016-2017)
- Bellingham's Local Road Safety Plan (2020)
- Bellingham's 2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
- WSDOT I-5 Operations \& Transportation Demand Management Analysis (2020)
- WTA 2040 Long Range Transit Plan (2021)
- Numerous Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) studies for private development


## Projects from Needs Assessment

The list of potential improvements were mapped and cross-checked with the needs assessment. Several new projects were added to the improvement project list such as intersection improvements (LOS needs), non-motorized crossings of I-5 (desire lines), and sections of the sidewalk and bicycle system (gap analysis).

## Screening of Improvements

The full list of potential projects included 49 projects that were screened to identify a selected group of projects to analyze further. The screening process used a scoring process to help determine the projects to analyze, to forward, or to not advance to the final list. The full list of potential projects is provided in Appendix D.

## Screening Process

Table 13 outlines the scoring that was used in the screening process. The scoring was linked to the six major goals of the study. The scoring used a point system of 0,1 , or 2 points based on qualitative measures to assess likely benefits of the project relative to that goal. Note that the Community Feedback Goal included a bonus point if the City considered the project a priority, making the total amount of points possible from the screening process at 13 points. This process was meant to be a high-level assessment to screen out projects from further analysis, and focus resources on analyzing selected higher-benefit projects.

Table 13. Screening Process Scoring

|  |  |  | Scoring ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Goal | Description | 0 (No Benefit) | 1 (Some Benefit) | 2 (High Benefit) |
| 1 | Safety and Comfort | Identifying safety improvements for people using all modes of transportation (walking, biking, transit, driving, and delivering freight). | No safety improvement and does not increase user comfort | Safety improvement for location without identified issue or increases user comfort | Addresses known safety issue and improves user comfort |
| 2 | Active Transportation Connectivity | Identifying transportation improvements intended to support and enhance transportation trips made by walking and/or biking. | Project does not support or improve walking or biking connectivity | Project supports or improves connectivity for either walking and/or biking | Project improves bicycle or pedestrian connectivity in a previously identified area, or plan, with a highly desired / highpriority connection |
| 3 | Mobility Enhancement | Moving people through study corridors more efficiently and reliably. | Does not increase (or decreases) person throughput along study corridor | Increase person throughput along study corridor | Increase person throughput along study corridor with previously identified congestion |
| 4 | Transit Access and Performance | Support continued and improved integration with transit (WTA) operations by both improving access to transit and improved transit speed and reliability. | Project does not improve access to transit or improve transit operations | Project improves access to transit or improves transit operations | Project increases access to transit or improves transit speed and reliability in an area with a known issue |
| 5 | Feasibility and Cost Effectiveness | Does the project meet general cost and locationspecific benchmarks of the study? | Project is not cost effective or feasible. | Project may be cost effective OR feasible | Project is likely cost effective AND feasible |
| 6 | Community Feedback | Does the project address a concern noted through the various community outreach processes? | Little to no public comments | Some public comments | Several public comments. Additional point given if project was a City Priority |

Source: Transpo Group, 2021

1. Scoring for Goals 1 to 5 used a 2-point scale. The Community Feedback Goal included 1 bonus point. The total amount of points possible was 13 points.

## Screening Results

Of the 49 potential projects identified, 8 projects were screened out and "not advanced". These projects generally received screening scores of 4 or less. Appendix D identifies these 8 projects.

Of the remaining projects, about half ( 20 projects) were "forwarded" to the final project listed as-is because sufficient details were already known about them, and they had higher screening scores. This list also included projects set for construction, funded for construction, or projects identified on other agency plans.

Table 14 shows that 21 projects were identified for further analysis. These projects received a high screening score but needed more detailed information to finalize project recommendations. More information about these projects is provided in the following section. Figure 27 shows how the screening process helped focus efforts to the 21 projects to find detailed solutions to the needs identified. Figure 28 shows a map of these 21 projects.

Table 14. Screening Process Results

| Category | Description | Number of Projects |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ANALYZE | Projects that received a high score in the screening process, but more <br> detailed information was needed to be determined before finalizing <br> project recommendations. | 21 |  |
| FORWARD | Projects that received a high score in the screening process, but <br> sufficient details or plans were known to recommend forwarding the <br> project on to the final list. In addition, this included projects that were <br> near construction, already funded, or projects identified by other <br> agencies. | 20 |  |
| NOT ADVANCED | Projects that received a low score in the screening process, such that <br> further analysis was not needed to remove from project list. | 8 | Total |
|  |  | 49 |  |



Figure 27. How Screening Helped Focus Efforts


## Additional Evaluation

The additional evaluation focused on the 21 projects identified for further analysis. These projects were grouped by Focus Area as used in Engage Bellingham (and shown in Figure 29) to better evaluate and discuss the projects. A discussion of what was evaluated, the recommended improvements, and why those recommendations were chosen are provided for each project.


Source: City of Bellingham, 2021
Figure 29. Focus Areas

## Focus Area \#1: <br> Lincoln Street (James Street/Meador Avenue to Lakeway Drive)

This group of projects focused on the Meador Avenue/Lincoln Street corridor between Fraser Street and James Street. The following projects were identified for further analysis and cost estimates.

- Add signal or roundabout to Lincoln Street/ Fraser Street intersection. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the designs of a new signal or roundabout. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally shows new traffic controls and integration with the new trail.
- Add multiuse path on northeast side of corridor, through the curve under I-5. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the design of the multiuse trail. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and
generally show how the new trail can fit within existing right-of-way through the l-5 undercrossing and new connections to the Whatcom Creek Trail.
- Add signal or roundabout to James Street/ Meador Avenue intersection. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the designs of a new signal or roundabout. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally shows new traffic controls and integration with the new trail.


## Focus Area \#2:

## Lincoln Street (Lakeway Drive to Maple Street)

This group of projects focused on the Lincoln Street corridor between Maple Street and Lakeway Drive, including the Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive intersection. The following projects were identified for further analysis and cost estimates.

- Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive Intersection: Add bike lanes and bike boxes to the intersection to support overall bike system improvements. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the designs of the bike facilities and impacts to the vehicle travel lanes. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally show the same vehicle channelization as current conditions, with added bike facilities. Successful implementation of these designs requires changes to the corridor, so this project was consolidated with the Lakeway Drive Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 1 project.
- Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive Intersection: Modify signal and install Leading Pedestrian Interval. This project requires operational analysis that confirmed that this would have a minor impact on future traffic operations. Successful and meaningful implementation of this project requires the multimodal changes to the corridor, so this project was consolidated with the Lakeway Drive Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 1 project.
- Lincoln Street/Lakeway Drive Intersection: Expand intersection to provide protected bike lanes. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the designs of the protected bike facilities and impacts to the vehicle travel lanes and surrounding right-of-way. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally show that additional right-of-way would be needed at the intersection. This type of improvement would receive the most use after other multimodal projects were constructed. This project remains a standalone project that would have mid-to-long-term construction timeline.
- Lincoln Street Road Diet, Phase 1 (Maple Street to south of Fred Meyer Driveways). This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the designs of the road diet, bike facilities, and driveway access points. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally show a 3-lane crosssection with buffered bike lanes.
- Lincoln Street Road Diet, Phase 2 (South of Fred Meyer Driveways to Lakeway Drive). This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the designs of the road diet, bike facilities, and driveway access points. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally show a 3-lane crosssection with buffered bike lanes, and potential access changes.


## Focus Area \#3: <br> Lakeway Drive (Puget Street to Lincoln Street)

This group of projects focused on Lakeway Drive between Puget Street and Lincoln Street. The following projects were identified for further analysis and cost estimates.

- Lakeway Drive Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 2. This project includes adding a multiuse path on the north side of the corridor between Lincoln Street and Orleans Street. This also includes access management along the corridor for improved traffic operations and safer walk and bike activities. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the design and impacts to surrounding land uses. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally show the trail and median c-curb to limit turn movements.
- Add signal to Orleans Street intersection or Nevada Street intersection. The needs analysis identified these two intersections as needing intersection improvements to improve level of service for vehicle movements. From a network system planning perspective, it is not recommended that both intersections be signalized. The Nevada Street intersection is a major access point to the large neighborhood to the south as well as a connection to the commercial area to the west. The Orleans Street intersection is a major access point for the civic and recreational land uses to the north that can host large events. The choice of which intersection to improve largely depends on potential redevelopment plans for the Civic Field site. Perhaps Orleans Street could be realigned to Nevada Street, or some other solution may be presented in the development plans. In addition, the HAWK crossing near Orleans Street would likely be removed and replaced by the new signal.


## Focus Area \#4:

## Lakeway Drive (Lincoln Street to l-5)

This group of projects focused on Lakeway Drive between Lincoln Street and up to the I-5 undercrossing. The following projects were identified for further analysis and cost estimates.

- Lakeway Drive Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 1. This project includes adding a multiuse path on the north side of the corridor between James Street and Lincoln Street. This also includes access management along the corridor for improved traffic operations and safer walk and bike activities. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the design and impacts to surrounding land uses. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally show the trail, proposed closed driveways, crosswalk improvements to King Street intersection, and median c-curb to limit turn movements.
- Lakeway Drive/King Street intersection improvements. This project evaluated the impact of removing lower volume turning movements at the intersection, such as the westbound left, southbound through, and southbound left. This analysis focused more on operational analysis of study intersections. These removed traffic movements would cause traffic to shift to other intersections. Based on the additional traffic operations analysis at study intersections, removing the southbound movements would have a net negative benefit to the Lakeway corridor. This project was removed from the project list. Note that the restricted westbound left movement was incorporated into the Lakeway Drive Phase 1 project discussed above since it did provide some operational benefits.


## Focus Area \#5: <br> Lakeway Drive (l-5 to Ellis Street)

This area had one major project to consider for further analysis on Lakeway Drive between the I-5 undercrossing and Ellis Street.

- I-5 SB Ramp intersection and undercrossing improvements. This project included several components. First, a wider sidewalk on the north side under I-5 was proposed as it is a critical walk and bike connection. Second, improved crosswalks were proposed for the north leg (major multiuse crossing) and west leg (median refuge island) of the I-5 SB ramp intersection. Third, additional vehicle capacity is proposed on the southbound approach, providing two left turn lanes and a right-turn lane. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand hope all the components work together. The concept designs are provided in Appendix $E$, and confirmed that a wider sidewalk is possible under I-5.
- Lakeway Drive Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 3. This project includes extending the multiuse path on the north side of the corridor between I-5 and Ellis Street. This segment does not have concept plans prepared and is envisioned to be a longer-term project due to its complexity and impacts to the existing street trees and utilities. A preliminary cost estimate has been prepared and is included in Appendix $F$, along with a graphic that highlights the costing assumptions.


## Focus Area \#6:

King Street/Potter Street (I-5 Northbound Ramps)
This group of projects focused on the intersections of King Street/Potter Street/I-5 NB Ramps and Lincoln Street/Potter Street. Changes to these intersections would also likely the Lakeway Drive intersections at King Street and Lincoln Street. Before evaluating original intersection projects, this analysis took a step back to evaluate several network options in the area:

- Option 1 involved a strategy to direct all I-5 northbound ramp activity to King Street. This would effectively cut access between l-5 ramps and Potter Street.
- Option 2 is an inverse of Option 1, where all I-5 northbound ramp activity would be directed to Potter Street. This would effectively cut access between I-5 ramps and King Street.
- Option 3 would convert the two Potter Street intersections to roundabouts: King Street/Potter Street/l-5 Ramps and Lincoln Street/Potter Street.
- Option 4 would convert King Street and Potter Street to one-way roadways. This would create a clockwise traffic flow around the block: northbound on King Street, eastbound on Potter Street, southbound on Lincoln Street, and westbound on Lakeway Drive. Note both Lincoln Street and Lakeway Drive would remain two-way roads.

Table 15 shows the result of the traffic operations analysis, after shifting 2040 traffic based on the changed network assumptions. As shown in the table, all the options except Option 3 create worse operating conditions compared to the No Action scenario. This indicates that trying to concentrate traffic to one corridor or one direction of flow does not improve network performance. The best strategy appears to be to disperse traffic to both Potter Street and King Street and provide localized improvement to the Potter Street intersections.

Table 15. King/Potter/Lincoln/Lakeway Options: LOS Summary

|  | Traffic Control': LOS ${ }^{2}$ (Delay ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 <br> Intersection | 2040 Opt. 1 <br> "King Only" | 2040 Opt. 2 <br> "Potter Only" | 2040 Opt. 3 <br> "Potter RAB" | 2040 Opt.4 <br> "One-Way" |
| 8. Lincoln St/ Lakeway Dr | Signal E (62) | Signal F (99) | Signal F (121) | Signal E (62) | Signal F (91) |
| 14. Lakeway Dr/ King St ${ }^{4}$ | Signal D (51) | Signal F (86) | Signal F (140) | Signal E (56) | Signal F (195) |
| 24. King St/ Potter St/ I-5 NB <br> Ramps | NA | NA | TWSC: C (19) | RAB: A (7) | TWSC F (>200) |
| 25. Lincoln St/ Potter St | TWSC F (>200) | Signal A (6) | RAB B (19) | RAB A (7) | Signal E (79) |

Source: Transpo Group, 2021

1. Traffic Control: TWSC = two-way stop control; RAB = roundabout
2. Level of Service $(A-F)$ as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition (TRB, 2016)
3. Average delay per vehicle in seconds
4. Evaluated using HCM 2000 due to limitations in the HCM 6th Edition and intersection configurations.

The following two projects were identified for further design analysis and cost estimates. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E.

- Construct roundabout or compact roundabout at King Street/Potter Street/l-5 NB Ramp intersection. The roundabout here would also have an added benefit of metering traffic to the NB on-ramp, potentially allowing for better merging of traffic on the I-5 mainline. In addition, this project could also incorporate a new HAWK crossing on King Street between Potter Street and Lakeway Drive.
- Add roundabout or signal at Lincoln Street/Potter Street intersection. This project was evaluated as a roundabout, but a traffic signal would also work at this location. The specific intersection control also depends on how redevelopment would change traffic demands and the site plans of the Elementary School and Aquatic Center Campus areas.


## Other Study Area Projects

This group of projects were not in the focus area, but still identified for further analysis and cost estimates.

- Add compact roundabout to I-5 SB On-Ramp/ 36th Street/ Fielding Avenue intersection. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the design of a compact roundabout. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally shows the layout of the new traffic controls.
- Add compact roundabout to I-5 NB Off-Ramp/ Samish Way intersection. This project required more detailed concept design plan drawings to understand the design of a compact roundabout. The concept designs are provided in Appendix E, and generally shows the layout of the new traffic controls.
- I-5 Pedestrian Overcrossing. The overcrossing is anticipated near the Consolidation Avenue right-of-way alignment. A concept design was prepared and is shown in Appendix E. In addition, a cost estimate was prepared. These cost estimates envision a simple overcrossing that would not accommodate vehicular traffic
- State Street Bike Corridor Facility. This project is envisioned between York Street and Meador Avenue to connected Meador Avenue bike facilities to downtown. This analysis focused on the revised cross-section in this corridor as shown in Appendix E.


## Chapter 4. Final Projects and Implementation

This chapter presents the recommended final projects for the Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study. The final project list is provided as well as a project map. The remainder of the chapter discusses the implementation plan.

## Final Project List

Table 16 and Figure 30 show the final project list. This list only includes the recommended projects. For the full list of potential projects considered in this study, see Appendix D. Concept design graphics and cost estimate sheets are provide in Appendix E and F, respectively, for the analyzed projects as discussed above.

Table 16. List of Final Projects
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\hline \text { ID } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cost } \\ \text { Project Name (Extents) }\end{array} \\ \text { A. Lincoln/Lakeway } & \text { Project Description } & \text { Timing/ } \\ \text { Priority }\end{array}\right]$

|  | Project Name (Extents) | Project Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000) \end{gathered}$ | Timing/ Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. I-5/King/Potter IC |  |  |  |  |
|  | I-5 Ramp Metering: NB Ramps at King St (I-5 NB Ramp from King St) | Add ramp meeting signals at this ramp. Requires additional storage through construction. |  | LongLow |
| 34 | Lincoln St / Potter St Signal | Install signal (or roundabout) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 867 \\ (\$ 1,597) \end{gathered}$ | Mid-Med |
| 36 | I-5 NB Ramps / King St / Potter St Intersection Improvement | Construct compact roundabout and reconfigure I-5 northbound ramps. | \$2,119 | Mid-Med |
| D. Lincoln Corridor |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Byron Ave / Lincoln St Green Bike Markings | Install dashed green box bike markings in northbound Lincoln St bike lane across Byron Ave |  | ShortHigh |
| 47 | Byron Ave Sidewalk Improvement (Bryon Ave, Lincoln St to Ashley Ave) | Construct missing sidewalk on north side of road. WWU property and responsibility to improve Byron Avenue street frontage. |  | Shorthigh |
| 25 | Lincoln Creek Park and Ride Access Improvements (Lincoln Creek Park and Ride Frontage) | Relocate access or add traffic controls to improve safety of access. WWU property and responsibility to improve site access. |  | LongMed |
|  | Lincoln St Road Diet, Phase 1 (Lincoln St, between Maple St and south Fred Meyer Driveway) | Implement road diet to convert 5 -lane road to $2 / 3$-lane road. Install buffered bike lanes on both sides of roadway. Install RRFB with center island refuge near Lincoln St / Viking Cir to facilitate safe pedestrian crossing to WTA bus stops. Install traffic signal at Maple Street. Install sidewalk on west side of Lincoln St. | \$847 | ShortHigh |
|  | Lincoln St Road Diet, Phase 3 (Lincoln St, between south Fred Meyer Driveway and Lakeway Dr) | Implement road diet to convert 5 -lane road to $2 / 3$-lane road. Install bike lanes on both sides. Likely requires driveway relocation at Fred Meyer gas station, and may require shifting other Fred Meyer driveways for improved ped/bike safety and improved traffic flows. | \$300 | MedHigh |
| E. Meador Crossing |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Enhanced Bike Facility on Meador Ave <br> (Meador Ave/Lincoln St corridor, between James St and Potter St) | Provide 12-ft multiuse path along curve section near I-5 undercrossing. Green bike markings at other conflict areas. | \$867 | MedHigh |
| 33 | James St / Meador Ave Improvement | Install signal (or compact roundabout) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 730 \\ (\$ 487) \end{gathered}$ | Med- <br> High |
| 44 | Lincoln St / Fraser St Improvement | Install signal (or compact roundabout) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 730 \\ (\$ 487) \end{gathered}$ | MedHigh |
| F. 1-5 Corridor Ped Crossing |  |  |  |  |
|  | I-5 Ped/Bike Overpass Crossing (I-5 Corridor, between Lakeway Dr and Samish Way) | Construct pedestrian/bike overpass as safe and comfortable crossing of l-5 corridor away from interchanges. Consolidation Avenue ROW alignment. | \$22,370 | LongLow |
| G. I-5 Samish IC |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | I-5 SB On-Ramp / 36th St / Fielding Ave Intersection Improvement | Install compact roundabout to improve operations and safety | \$2,370 | Mid-Med |
| 21 | I-5 NB Off-Ramp / Samish Way Intersection Improvement | Install compact roundabout to improve operations and safety | \$1,382 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid- } \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 16. List of Final Projects (Continued)

| ID | Project Name (Extents) | Project Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000) \end{gathered}$ | Timing/ Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. Iowa/Ohio IC |  |  |  |  |
|  | I-5 NB Ramp / lowa St Rechannelization | Add separate NBR lane to improve overall intersection capacity (more green time for east-west movements). |  | Mid-Med |
| 18 | I-5 SB Ramp / Ohio St Access Management (Ohio St, between I-5 and James St) | Due to safety and congestion concerns, close WBR movement to King Street. Also add c-curb to restrict midblock left-turn movements along Ohio St. |  | LongLow |
|  | I-5 Ramp Metering: NB Ramp at Iowa St (l-5 NB Ramp from Iowa St) | Add ramp metering signals at this ramp. May require additional storage through construction or rechannelization. |  | LongLow |
|  | I-5 Ramp Metering: SB Ramp at Ohio St (I-5 SB Ramp from Ohio St) | Add ramp meeting signals at this ramp. May require additional storage through construction or rechannelization. |  | LongLow |
| I. North End |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Meador Ave Bridge Reconstruction (Bridge on Meador Ave east of $N$ State St) | Reconstruct bridge over Whatcom Creek. Federal BRAC grant funding has been secured and construction scheduled 2022. | NA | ShortHigh |
| 3 | James St Bridge Reconstruction (Bridge on James St north of Meador Ave) | Reconstruct bridge over Whatcom Creek. Federal BRAC grant funding has been secured and construction scheduled 2022. | NA | ShortHigh |
|  | Lincoln St Bicycle Boulevard (Lincoln St, between E North St and lowa) | Install bicycle boulevard |  | LongLow |
| 46a N State St Bike Corridor Facility, Add bike facility per BMP <br> Phase 1 <br> (N. State St, between York St <br> and Meador Ave; Including NB <br> 2-lane slip connection from <br> Forest St to N. State Street) |  |  |  |  |
| 46b N State St Bike Corridor Facility, Add bike facility per BMP <br> Phase 2 <br> (State St, between Meador Ave <br> and Ohio St) |  |  |  |  |
| J. Other |  |  |  |  |
|  | ADA Upgrades at Transit Stops (Citywide WTA Routes) | Upgrade ADA accessibility at 200 transit stops across the City as identified and prioritized by WTA |  | ShortHigh |
| 29a N Samish Way / Abbott St Signallnstall traffic signal |  |  | \$400 | ShortHigh |
| 29b N Samish Way / Consolidation Ave Signal |  | Install traffic signal | \$400 | ShortHigh |



## Implementation Strategies

The transportation improvements recommended by this study will take many years to implement and are expected to require millions of dollars from a variety of funding sources. This section discusses the known timing of various development proposals, transportation grant funding cycles, and possible implementation scenarios for the recommended transportation improvements. It should be noted that there are always more transportation needs than funding available, transportation grant funding is highly competitive, and there is no guarantee that project applications will receive funding.

Implementation of the project list could be organized into logical "Implementation Focus Areas" of the study area rather than in any sequential or numerical order, as follows:

## Implementation Focus Area

- N. State Street (York Street to Meador Avenue)
- Meador-Lincoln (James Street to Lakeway Drive)
- Lincoln Street (E. Maple Street to Lakeway Drive)
- Lakeway Drive (Puget Street to Lincoln Street)
- Lakeway Drive (Lincoln Street to I-5)
- Lakeway Drive (I-5 to Ellis Street, includes I-5 Southbound Ramps)
- Interstate 5 Northbound On-/Off-ramps at King Street/ Potter Street
- N. Samish Way (Abbott Street to 36th Street)
- Interstate 5 Southbound On-ramp at 36th Street/ Fielding Avenue
- Interstate 5 Southbound Off-ramp at Samish Way
- Interstate 5 Northbound Off-ramp at lowa Street

The implementation plan incorporates three general strategies: (1) leverage adopted project lists; (2) partner with new development; and (3) use proven funding sources. The following sections discuss these strategies in more detail.

## Strategy \#1: Leverage Adopted Project Lists

Implementation of the transportation improvements recommended by this study may require them being adopted on official City programs and plans. This opens the door to several types of funding opportunities.

Adopted projects in 2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
The City has already taken early steps toward implementation of some transportation improvements recommended by this study, such as adopting Project \#13 the Lincoln Street Multimodal Safety Improvements and the Lakeway Drive multiuse pathway (Puget Street to Lincoln Street) in the 2022-2027 TIP in June 2021.

Adopting these projects in the TIP allowed the City to apply for TIB grant funding in August 2021 for a traffic signal at Lincoln Street/E. Maple Street with a sidewalk along the south side of E. Maple Street between Lincoln Street and Consolidation Avenue. Even though this study was not complete, three independent TIAs for private development had already documented the need for a traffic signal at Lincoln Street/E. Maple Street and the Bellingham Pedestrian Master Plan recommends a sidewalk along E. Maple Street. The City will not know if this grant is funded until late November 2021, but if not, then other grant opportunities should become available in 2022 and future years.

The Lakeway Drive multiuse pathway from Puget Street to Lincoln Street was also adopted in the 2022-2027 TIP, which will allow the City to seek grant funding for those improvements, but this may depend on funding partnerships with the Bellingham School District, the timing of

Carl Cozier elementary school reconstruction, and potential relocation of the downtown YMCA to the Civic Field area.

Adopt Projects in Future 6-Year TIPs
If there are future grant funding opportunities that would provide a good fit for some of the recommended improvements in this study, then City staff will recommend that they be adopted in a future 6 -Year TIP to make them eligible for the targeted grant funding. Working with other agencies, organizations, businesses, and developers will allow City staff to understand the timing and need for transportation improvements as new development and redevelopment occurs and may lead to opportunities for funding partnerships.

## 2022-2023 Updates to the Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plans

Many of the recommended improvement projects in this study will also need to be recommended and then included in the prioritized project lists in the updates to the Bellingham Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plans, which will begin in early 2022 with adoption expected in 2023. Depending on how these projects rank in the prioritized project list, this could allow these projects to be programmed for future construction with local Bellingham Transportation Fund dollars and adopted as a pedestrian or bikeway project in a future TIP. As explained above, this would also make these projects eligible for state and federal grant funding. The multiple sections of widened sidewalk along the north side of Lakeway Drive is an excellent example of a project that will be included in the updated Pedestrian Master Plan, prioritized amongst all projects, and programmed for local funding, grant funding, or both.

## Strategy \#2: Partner with New Development

Implementation of the transportation improvements recommended by this study may occur through new development, including street frontage improvements, off-site mitigation requirements of TIAs by private development, and partnering with other organizations.

## Street Frontage Improvements

All new development that abuts a substandard public street is required to complete the edge of the public street to the City street standard in BMC 13.04. An example of this is the curb, gutter, landscaping strip, and sidewalk along the west side of Lincoln Street from Fred Meyer to Maple Street that was constructed by private development.

## Off-Site Mitigation Documented in TIA

New development exceeding a threshold of 50 PM peak hour vehicle trips is likely to be subject to a Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA), which examines the impact of new vehicle trips from the proposed development on the citywide multimodal transportation system beyond the project site, especially at intersections. If off-site improvements are needed, the development can be required to fund and construct mitigation needed or to provide a proportionate share funding contribution toward a future capital improvement project, such as a traffic signal or a roundabout. An example of this is provided by three individual private residential development TIAs in the southeast portion of the study area (Elwood Edge, City View, Samish View), all of which require proportionate share funding contributions toward a future traffic signal at Lincoln Street/E. Maple Street.

## Partnerships Between City and Other Interests

Opportunities may arise for the City to partner with organizations, agencies, and/or businesses when there are shared goals and mutual benefits for transportation system improvements. The City and WTA partner every year on a variety of capital improvements and studies. The City has also partnered with WCOG, WSDOT, BSD, WWU, the Port, and Whatcom County on transportation improvement projects. An example of an opportunity for mutual benefit and funding partnership in the Lincoln-Lakeway Study are the variety of recommended improvements surrounding the Civic Field site. When BSD reconstructs Carl Cozier elementary school, the City and BSD could partner on a Safe Route to School grant
for the off-street multi-use pathway along the north side of Lakeway Drive. If the YMCA relocates from downtown to Civic Field, then the City and the YMCA could partner on transportation grants to fund traffic signals and roundabouts at Lakeway/Orleans and Lincoln/Potter. There may even be an opportunity for the City, BSD, the YMCA, and others to partner and work together to master plan and re-arrange land uses on the entire Civic Field superblock with improvements to the surrounding transportation system.

## Strategy \#3: Use Proven Funding Sources

Implementation of the transportation improvements recommended by this study may occur through various methods, including street frontage improvements and off-site mitigation requirements of TIAs by private development, local funding programmed in the annual 6-Year TIP, state and federal transportation grant funding, and/or funding partnerships between the City, organizations, agencies, and businesses.
Local Funding Programmed in TIP
Each year, Bellingham programs local Street and Transportation Fund dollars in the 6-Year TIP for street resurfacing, ADA upgrades, crosswalks, sidewalks, bikeways, and transitsupportive capital improvements. Some of the recommended improvements in this study, such as sections of sidewalk, could be implemented in this manner.

## State and Federal Transportation Grants

Bellingham applies for a wide variety of state and federal transportation grants according to the timing of funding cycles of each grant funding program. The first step in making transportation improvements eligible for state and federal grants is adoption of the project in the 6 -Year TIP. An example of this is Project \#13 Lincoln Street Multimodal Safety Improvements, which the City adopted in the 2022-2027 TIP in June 2021 in anticipation of applying for a WSDOT Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Improvement grant in the Spring of 2022. If the City is successful with this grant, then funding would be awarded in July 2023, engineering and design could be completed in 2023-2024, and construction could occur in summer 2024 at the earliest, or possibly summer 2025 if there are project complications.

## Other State Transportation Funding

Some of the more complex and larger recommendations for improvements in this study, such as the rechannelization of Interstate 5 on/off-ramps (Lakeway Drive southbound off-ramp; Iowa Street northbound off-ramp) and roundabouts at intersections that provide access to/from Interstate 5 (King Street/Potter Street/Lakeway Drive northbound on/off-ramps; 36th Street/Fielding Avenue/Samish Way southbound on-ramp; Samish Way northbound offramp), will very likely require that state funding be allocated in the WSDOT construction budget. The more expensive a project is, the longer it will take to compile enough funding to program it for eventual construction.



## S SAMISH WAY ABBOTT ST

Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2016
Count Period: 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM
Peak Hour: 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM


Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval <br> Start | ABBOTT ST |  |  |  | ABBOTT ST |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 169 | 1 | 292 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 126 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 1 | 351 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 152 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 383 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 310 | 1,336 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 516 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 761 | 2 | 1,336 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Peak Hour | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 28 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 47 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | ABBOTT ST |  |  |  | ABBOTT ST |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-min } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 104 | 1 | 248 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 143 | 1 | 285 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 138 | 2 | 270 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 269 | 1,072 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 138 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 281 | 1,105 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 169 | 1 | 292 | 1,112 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 126 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 1 | 351 | 1,193 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 152 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 383 | 1,307 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 310 | 1,336 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 161 | 1 | 281 | 1,325 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 1 | 283 | 1,257 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 138 | 1 | 260 | 1,134 |
| Count Total | 0 | 15 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 55 | 1,500 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 1,853 | 9 | 3,513 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 516 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 761 | 2 | 1,336 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| Count Total | 1 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 30 | 63 | 53 | 4 | 5 | 125 |
| Peak Hour | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 28 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 47 |

## S SAMISH WAY CONSOLIDATION AVE



しみx

Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2016
Count Period: 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM Peak Hour: 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM


TOTAL 0.8\% 0.90

## Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval <br> Start | CONSOLIDATION AVE |  |  |  | CONSOLIDATION AVE |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 2 | 320 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 1 | 385 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 215 | 5 | 401 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 1 | 330 | 1,436 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 8 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 789 | 9 | 1,436 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 55 |

## Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | CONSOLIDATION AVE |  |  |  | CONSOLIDATION AVE |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | S SAMISH WAY |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 1 | 274 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 3 | 300 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 141 | 5 | 288 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 2 | 292 | 1,154 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 3 | 325 | 1,205 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 2 | 320 | 1,225 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 1 | 385 | 1,322 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 215 | 5 | 401 | 1,431 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 1 | 330 | 1,436 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 3 | 308 | 1,424 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 3 | 307 | 1,346 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 2 | 288 | 1,233 |
| Count Total | 0 | 27 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 71 | 1,637 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1,959 | 31 | 3,818 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 8 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 789 | 9 | 1,436 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 27 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 55 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | BILL MACDONALD PKWY |  |  |  | BYRON AVE |  |  |  | S SAMISH WY |  |  |  | S SAMISH WY |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 64 | 4 | 97 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 84 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 48 | 472 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 57 | 2 | 106 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 87 | 102 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 113 | 81 | 574 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 45 | 3 | 98 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 85 | 107 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 105 | 57 | 524 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 60 | 1 | 88 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 81 | 103 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 160 | 80 | 597 | 2,167 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 67 | 2 | 111 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 84 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 134 | 85 | 606 | 2,301 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 54 | 4 | 93 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 71 | 119 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 158 | 62 | 589 | 2,316 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 54 | 1 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 90 | 97 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 78 | 581 | 2,373 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 63 | 3 | 91 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 104 | 99 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 132 | 86 | 613 | 2,389 |
| Count Total | 0 | 464 | 20 | 786 | 0 | 32 | 45 | 35 | 0 | 651 | 809 | 57 | 0 | 30 | 1,050 | 577 | 4,556 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 238 | 10 | 397 | 0 | 16 | 23 | 25 | 0 | 349 | 413 | 31 | 0 | 18 | 558 | 311 | 2,389 | 0 |

Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 19 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 |
| 4:30 PM | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 35 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 13 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:30 PM | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| Count Total | 27 | 4 | 26 | 14 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 87 | 33 | 0 | 124 |
| Peak Hour | 13 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 44 |



Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Count Total | 9 | 12 | 20 | 34 | 75 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| Peak Hour | 1 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |



Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 4:15 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 4:30 PM | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Count Total | 36 | 2 | 12 | 21 | 71 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 |
| Peak Hour | 13 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |

## TURNING MOVEMENTS DIAGRAM 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM PEAK HOUR: 4:45 PM TO 5:45 PM


LINCOLN ST
LAKEWAY DR
しみx
Date: Wed, Jun 05, 2019
Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM
Peak Hour: 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM


$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& \text { HV \%: } & \text { PHF } \\
\hline \text { EB } & 0.6 \% & 0.98 \\
\text { WB } & 1.0 \% & 0.87 \\
\text { NB } & 1.1 \% & 0.87 \\
\text { SB } & 1.0 \% & 0.86 \\
\text { TOTAL } & 0.9 \% & 0.97
\end{array}
$$

Two-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LINCOLN ST |  |  |  | LINCOLN ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 163 | 24 | 0 | 67 | 111 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 34 | 82 | 0 | 32 | 40 | 14 | 643 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 204 | 25 | 0 | 69 | 137 | 14 | 0 | 41 | 44 | 91 | 0 | 16 | 50 | 6 | 704 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 194 | 26 | 0 | 59 | 110 | 17 | 0 | 45 | 46 | 83 | 0 | 24 | 55 | 10 | 682 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 11 | 200 | 15 | 0 | 59 | 137 | 15 | 0 | 45 | 37 | 91 | 0 | 19 | 44 | 10 | 683 | 2,712 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 214 | 16 | 0 | 52 | 134 | 17 | 0 | 49 | 51 | 93 | 0 | 31 | 54 | 11 | 733 | 2,802 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 224 | 20 | 0 | 64 | 158 | 17 | 0 | 44 | 66 | 110 | 0 | 24 | 56 | 10 | 800 | 2,898 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 209 | 22 | 0 | 43 | 112 | 14 | 0 | 49 | 78 | 132 | 0 | 36 | 64 | 15 | 783 | 2,999 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 14 | 215 | 19 | 0 | 59 | 155 | 11 | 0 | 56 | 53 | 119 | 0 | 32 | 55 | 6 | 794 | 3,110 |
| Count Total | 0 | 83 | 1,623 | 167 | 0 | 472 | 1,054 | 118 | 0 | 381 | 409 | 801 | 0 | 214 | 418 | 82 | 5,822 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 41 | 862 | 77 | 0 | 218 | 559 | 59 | 0 | 198 | 248 | 454 | 0 | 123 | 229 | 42 | 3,110 | 0 |

Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 4:15 PM | 5 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 10 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 13 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Count Total | 17 | 38 | 34 | 10 | 99 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 33 | 52 |
| Peak Hour | 6 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 19 |



Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Count Total | 0 | 2 | 34 | 24 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2013
Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM
Peak Hour: 4:30 PM to 5:30 PM


Two-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | BYRON AVE |  |  | BYRON AVE |  |  | LINCOLN ST |  |  | LINCOLN ST |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 125 | 16 | 12 | 84 | 0 | 263 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 111 | 22 | 7 | 85 | 0 | 245 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 125 | 12 | 3 | 105 | 0 | 261 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 124 | 17 | 3 | 102 | 0 | 268 | 1,037 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 120 | 17 | 4 | 88 | 0 | 246 | 1,020 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 145 | 14 | 3 | 91 | 0 | 276 | 1,051 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 118 | 13 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 247 | 1,037 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 127 | 15 | 5 | 104 | 0 | 268 | 1,037 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 995 | 126 | 40 | 756 | 0 | 2,074 |  |
| Peak Hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 514 | 60 | 13 | 386 | 0 | 1,051 |  |

Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 19 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Peak Hr | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |




Two-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | BYRON AVE |  |  | BYRON AVE |  |  | ASHLEY AVE |  |  | ASHLEY AVE |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 6 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 36 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 37 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 30 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 45 | 148 |
| 5:00 PM | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 147 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 37 | 147 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 37 | 154 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 34 | 143 |
| Count Total | 23 | 64 | 6 | 8 | 45 | 7 | 7 | 29 | 26 | 11 | 42 | 23 | 291 |  |
| Peak Hr | 10 | 34 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 154 |  |

Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 33 |
| Peak Hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 11 |



Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | I-5 SB ON RAMP |  |  |  | I-5 SB OFF RAMP |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 240 | 37 | 0 | 49 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 86 | 750 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 244 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 83 | 760 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 256 | 38 | 0 | 43 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 102 | 755 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 239 | 36 | 0 | 48 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 76 | 728 | 2,993 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 979 | 149 | 0 | 190 | 802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 0 | 347 | 2,993 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 15 |
| 5:00 PM | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Peak Hour | 13 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 39 | 53 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | I-5 SB ON RAMP |  |  |  | I-5 SB OFF RAMP |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 223 | 47 | 0 | 50 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 54 | 603 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 211 | 38 | 0 | 41 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 67 | 647 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 210 | 42 | 0 | 45 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 74 | 644 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 234 | 40 | 0 | 44 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 87 | 705 | 2,599 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 219 | 42 | 0 | 45 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 73 | 639 | 2,635 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 248 | 51 | 0 | 36 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 68 | 680 | 2,668 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 232 | 42 | 0 | 45 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 85 | 678 | 2,702 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 240 | 37 | 0 | 49 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 86 | 750 | 2,747 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 244 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 83 | 760 | 2,868 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 256 | 38 | 0 | 43 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 102 | 755 | 2,943 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 239 | 36 | 0 | 48 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 76 | 728 | 2,993 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 217 | 37 | 0 | 52 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 76 | 661 | 2,904 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 2,773 | 488 | 0 | 548 | 2,133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,377 | 0 | 931 | 8,250 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 979 | 149 | 0 | 190 | 802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 0 | 347 | 2,993 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:00 PM | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 13 |
| 3:15 PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11 |
| 3:30 PM | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 14 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 14 |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| 4:15 PM | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 28 |
| 4:30 PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 21 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 15 |
| 5:00 PM | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 21 |
| Count Total | 46 | 49 | 0 | 20 | 115 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 18 | 36 | 136 | 191 |
| Peak Hour | 13 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 39 | 53 |

## KING ST LAKEWAY DR

Date: Thu, Mar 17, 2016
Count Period: 3:00 PM to 6:00 PM
Peak Hour: 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM


Peak Hour


Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | DRIVEWAY |  |  |  | KING ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 89 | 186 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 50 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 62 | 701 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 87 | 189 | 47 | 0 | 4 | 165 | 60 | 0 | 35 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 81 | 751 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 94 | 220 | 44 | 0 | 11 | 174 | 47 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 47 | 739 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 84 | 191 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 129 | 39 | 0 | 40 | 28 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 63 | 665 | 2,856 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 354 | 786 | 186 | 0 | 19 | 608 | 196 | 0 | 153 | 100 | 87 | 0 | 68 | 46 | 253 | 2,856 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 9 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 13 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| Peak Hour | 12 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 39 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | DRIVEWAY |  |  |  | KING ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 76 | 149 | 64 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 52 | 0 | 37 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 43 | 594 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 87 | 144 | 56 | 0 | 6 | 127 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 46 | 638 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 66 | 162 | 63 | 0 | 7 | 132 | 70 | 0 | 37 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 42 | 648 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 99 | 179 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 144 | 39 | 0 | 49 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 42 | 691 | 2,571 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 86 | 159 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 63 | 0 | 31 | 35 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 44 | 628 | 2,605 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 80 | 177 | 55 | 0 | 4 | 136 | 48 | 0 | 33 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 44 | 646 | 2,613 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 89 | 169 | 39 | 0 | 6 | 129 | 56 | 0 | 43 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 49 | 656 | 2,621 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 89 | 186 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 50 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 62 | 701 | 2,631 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 87 | 189 | 47 | 0 | 4 | 165 | 60 | 0 | 35 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 81 | 751 | 2,754 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 94 | 220 | 44 | 0 | 11 | 174 | 47 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 47 | 739 | 2,847 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 84 | 191 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 129 | 39 | 0 | 40 | 28 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 63 | 665 | 2,856 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 72 | 169 | 53 | 0 | 8 | 155 | 49 | 0 | 24 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 50 | 666 | 2,821 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1,009 | 2,094 | 625 | 0 | 61 | 1,659 | 616 | 0 | 463 | 313 | 248 | 0 | 171 | 151 | 613 | 8,023 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 354 | 786 | 186 | 0 | 19 | 608 | 196 | 0 | 153 | 100 | 87 | 0 | 68 | 46 | 253 | 2,856 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:00 PM | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 3:15 PM | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 3:30 PM | 5 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 16 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:00 PM | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:30 PM | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 9 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 13 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Count Total | 42 | 45 | 2 | 16 | 105 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 21 | 0 | 33 | 39 | 93 |
| Peak Hour | 12 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 39 |

NEVADA ST
LAKEWAY DR

むみх
Date: Wed, Jun 05, 2019
Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM
Peak Hour: 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Two-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | NEVADA ST |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 266 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 519 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 283 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 303 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 553 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 305 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 554 | 2,165 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 308 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 560 | 2,206 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 345 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 609 | 2,276 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 374 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 623 | 2,346 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 338 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625 | 2,417 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 2,522 | 108 | 1 | 88 | 1,725 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,582 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1,365 | 63 | 1 | 48 | 874 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,417 | 0 |

Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 5:15 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Count Total | 21 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 33 |
| Peak Hr | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 21 |

## ORLEANS ST LAKEWAY DR



Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | DRIVEWAY |  |  |  | ORLEANS ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 582 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 322 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 579 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 322 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 574 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 13 | 305 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 623 | 2,358 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 42 | 1,227 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 944 | 29 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 2,358 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 20 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Peak Hour | 11 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 49 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | DRIVEWAY |  |  |  | ORLEANS ST |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 225 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 428 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 209 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 455 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 220 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 451 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 258 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 502 | 1,836 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 238 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 506 | 1,914 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 10 | 246 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 514 | 1,973 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 290 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 567 | 2,089 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 14 | 286 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 555 | 2,142 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 582 | 2,218 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 322 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 579 | 2,283 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 322 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 574 | 2,290 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 13 | 305 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 623 | 2,358 |
| Count Total | 0 | 122 | 3,199 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 2,644 | 91 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 125 | 6,336 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 42 | 1,227 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 944 | 29 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 2,358 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:00 PM | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| 3:15 PM | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 3:30 PM | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 13 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 21 |
| 4:30 PM | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 13 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 20 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Count Total | 37 | 44 | 0 | 4 | 85 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 55 | 0 | 24 | 59 | 138 |
| Peak Hour | 11 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 49 |

## PUGET ST LAKEWAY DR



Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | PUGET ST |  |  |  | PUGET ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 260 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 204 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 20 | 580 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 299 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 221 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 16 | 6 | 647 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 15 | 292 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 189 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 13 | 19 | 606 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 13 | 272 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 215 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 21 | 614 | 2,447 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 42 | 1,123 | 75 | 0 | 9 | 829 | 16 | 0 | 80 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 138 | 43 | 66 | 2,447 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Peak Hour | 10 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 25 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | LAKEWAY DR |  |  |  | PUGET ST |  |  |  | PUGET ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 217 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 161 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 441 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 12 | 194 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 464 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 11 | 200 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 458 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 14 | 224 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 180 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 491 | 1,854 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 227 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 220 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 550 | 1,963 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 11 | 208 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 203 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 494 | 1,993 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 6 | 258 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 209 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 12 | 562 | 2,097 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 277 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 222 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 601 | 2,207 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 260 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 204 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 20 | 580 | 2,237 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 299 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 221 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 16 | 6 | 647 | 2,390 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 15 | 292 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 189 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 13 | 19 | 606 | 2,434 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 13 | 272 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 215 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 21 | 614 | 2,447 |
| Count Total | 0 | 121 | 2,928 | 193 | 0 | 25 | 2,405 | 68 | 0 | 195 | 40 | 29 | 0 | 264 | 108 | 132 | 6,508 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 42 | 1,123 | 75 | 0 | 9 | 829 | 16 | 0 | 80 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 138 | 43 | 66 | 2,447 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:00 PM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 3:15 PM | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 3:30 PM | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Count Total | 37 | 45 | 3 | 6 | 91 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 23 | 65 |
| Peak Hour | 10 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 25 |


www.idaxdata.com

| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | Iowa St |  |  |  | Iowa St |  |  |  | I-5 NB Ramps |  |  |  | Moore St |  |  |  | 15-min <br> Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 128 | 66 | 0 | 49 | 115 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 51 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 3 | 485 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 1 |  | 133 | 69 | 0 | 65 | 129 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 10 | 39 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 529 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 |  | 144 | 93 | 0 | 68 | 125 | 12 | 0 | 35 | 11 | 54 | 0 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 577 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 157 | 87 | 0 | 42 | 119 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 11 | 47 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 536 | 2,127 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 145 | 102 | 0 | 68 | 121 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 11 | 61 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 601 | 2,243 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 156 | 82 | 0 | 56 | 114 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 8 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 549 | 2,263 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 143 | 129 | 0 | 59 | 126 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 62 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 614 | 2,300 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 157 | 87 | 0 | 65 | 106 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 9 | 64 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 554 | 2,318 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 136 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 90 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 7 | 52 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 476 | 2,193 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 115 | 72 | 0 | 43 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 11 | 52 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 433 | 2,077 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 101 | 73 | 0 | 42 | 74 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 44 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 390 | 1,853 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 71 | 50 | 0 | 31 | 53 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 279 | 1,578 |
| Count Total | 1 | 52 | 1,586 | 976 | 0 | 654 | 1,255 | 80 | 0 | 395 | 109 | 625 | 1 | 138 | 106 | 45 | 6,023 | 0 |
| All | 0 | 23 | 601 | 400 | 0 | 248 | 467 | 24 | 0 | 156 | 41 | 249 | 0 | 57 | 35 | 17 | 2,318 | 0 |
| Peak <br> Hour | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 |
| Hour HV\% | - | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | - | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | - | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0 |
| Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EB | WB | N |  | SB | Total | EB | WB |  |  | SB | Total | East |  | West | North | Sout | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 6 |  | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 4:30 PM | 5 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 PM | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Count Total | 31 | 21 | 25 |  | 5 | 82 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 |  | 0 | 15 | 9 | 26 |
| Peak Hour | 10 | 8 | 1 |  | 2 | 31 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 |

www.idaxdata.com
Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | lowa St |  |  |  | lowa St |  |  |  | I-5 NB Ramps |  |  |  | Moore St |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 38 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 43 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 42 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 37 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 31 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 82 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | lowa St |  |  | lowa St |  |  | I-5 NB Ramps |  |  | Moore St |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Count Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


| Interval Start |  | N State St |  |  |  |  | N State St |  |  |  |  | Meador Ave |  |  |  |  | Grant St |  |  |  |  | Kansas St |  |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | HL | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | BR | RT | UT | LT | BL | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | HR | UT | HL | BL | BR | HR |  |  |
| 3:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 124 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 151 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 375 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 11 | 157 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 114 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 371 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 13 | 116 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 389 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 131 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 135 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 389 | 1,524 |
| 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 152 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 127 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 378 | 1,527 |
| 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 141 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 158 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 408 | 1,564 |
| 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 159 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 140 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 432 | 1,607 |
| 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 148 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 141 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 394 | 1,612 |
| 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 136 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 119 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 351 | 1,585 |
| 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 134 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 318 | 1,495 |
| 6:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 102 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 320 | 1,383 |
| 6:15 PM |  | 0 | 2 | 7 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 285 | 1,274 |
| Count Total |  | 0 | 5 | 80 | 1,605 | 161 | 0 | 80 | 1,560 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 155 | 75 | 121 | 111 | 0 |  | 237 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 94 | 20 | 4,410 | 0 |
| Peak <br> Hour | All | 0 | 0 | 22 | 600 | 84 | 0 | 32 | 566 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 23 | 43 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 9 | 1,612 | 0 |
|  | HV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | - | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0 |



| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | SEB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | SEB | Total | East | West | North | South | Northwest | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 3:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 4:00 PM | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| 5:30 PM | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| 6:00 PM | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 6:15 PM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| Count Total | 34 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 60 | 8 | 40 | 5 | 23 | 21 | 97 |
| Peak Hr | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 39 |


| Interval Start | N State St |  |  |  |  | NState St |  |  |  |  | Meador Ave |  |  |  |  | Grant St |  |  |  |  | n/a |  |  |  |  | 15-min <br> Total | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Rolling } \\ \text { One } \\ \text { Hour } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | HL | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | BR | RT | UT | LT | BL | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | HR | UT | HL | BL | BR | HR |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | NState St |  |  |  |  | NState St |  |  |  |  | Meador Ave |  |  |  |  | Grant St |  |  |  |  | n/a |  |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | HL | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | BR | RT | UT | LT | BL | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | HR | UT | HL | BL | BR | HR |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 19 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 17 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 23 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 23 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 20 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 19 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 60 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 22 | 0 |


www.idaxdata.com

| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | Meador Ave |  |  |  | Meador Ave |  |  |  | James St |  |  |  |  | James St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT |  | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 34 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 11 | 13 | 199 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 29 | 0 |  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 5 | 14 | 190 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 25 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 7 | 3 | 197 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 67 | 7 | 9 | 181 | 767 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 20 | 0 |  | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 66 | 7 | 16 | 236 | 804 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 35 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 72 | 9 | 10 | 201 | 815 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 43 | 44 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 91 | 7 | 11 | 260 | 878 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 23 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 9 | 177 | 874 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 21 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 4 | 14 | 204 | 842 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 11 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 22 | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 9 | 11 | 185 | 826 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 32 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 8 | 10 | 184 | 750 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 28 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 4 | 146 | 719 |
| Count Total | 0 | 67 | 496 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 394 | 329 | 0 |  | 6 | 30 | 41 | 0 | 756 | 80 | 124 | 2,360 | 0 |
| Peak All | 0 | 20 | 187 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 136 | 123 | 0 |  | 1 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 296 | 30 | 46 | 878 | 0 |
| Peak HV |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Hour $\mathrm{HV} \%$ | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | - |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0 |
| Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EB | WB |  | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB |  | NB |  | SB | Total | East |  | West | North | South | h Total |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 6 |  | 0 | 1 | 6 | 13 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| Count Total | 2 | 10 |  | 1 | 9 | 22 | 18 | 19 |  | 2 |  | 11 | 50 | 30 |  | 1 | 4 | 45 | 80 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 6 |  | 2 |  | 5 | 18 | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 12 | 21 |

www.idaxdata.com
Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Meador Ave |  |  |  | Meador Ave |  |  |  | James St |  |  |  | James St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Meador Ave |  |  | Meador Ave |  |  | James St |  |  | James St |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-min } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 19 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 |
| Count Total | 5 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

## Lincoln St Fraser St



Peak Hour


Fraser St + 144 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { TEV: } & 1,002 \\ \text { PHF: } & 0.93 & \mathbf{1 0 1} \\ & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{2 4 5} \\ \leftrightarrows\end{array}$


іみx
Date: 10/14/2020
Count Period: 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM Peak Hour: 4:15 PM to 5:15 PM


Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start |  | 0 |  |  |  | Fraser St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | 15-min | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:1 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 0 | 67 | 85 | 0 | 268 | 0 |
| 4:3 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 68 | 65 | 0 | 240 | 0 |
| 4:4 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 17 | 0 | 70 | 65 | 0 | 226 | 0 |
|  | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 82 | 78 | 0 | 268 | 1,002 |
|  | All | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 49 | 0 | 287 | 293 | 0 | 1,002 | 0 |
|  | HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | - | - | - | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | - | 0\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | 0\% | - | 1\% | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 16 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

## www.idaxdata.com

| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start |  | 0 |  |  |  | Fraser St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 10 | 0 | 43 | 53 | 0 | 190 | 0 |
| 3:45 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 49 | 81 | 0 | 213 | 0 |
| 4:00 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 14 | 0 | 52 | 60 | 0 | 252 | 0 |
| 4:15 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 0 | 67 | 85 | 0 | 268 | 923 |
| 4:30 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 68 | 65 | 0 | 240 | 973 |
| 4:45 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 17 | 0 | 70 | 65 | 0 | 226 | 986 |
| 5:00 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 82 | 78 | 0 | 268 | 1,002 |
| 5:15 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 16 | 0 | 72 | 70 | 0 | 245 | 979 |
| 5:30 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 49 | 47 | 0 | 190 | 929 |
| 5:45 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 43 | 0 | 167 | 870 |
| 6:00 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 60 | 0 | 182 | 784 |
| 6:15 | PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 41 | 0 | 142 | 681 |
| Count | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 368 | 138 | 0 | 682 | 748 | 0 | 2,583 | 0 |
|  | All | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 49 | 0 | 287 | 293 | 0 | 1,002 | 0 |
|  | HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | - | - | - | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | - | 0\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | 0\% | - | 1\% | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Count Total | 0 | 10 | 6 | 15 | 31 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 31 | 56 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Peak Hr | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 16 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | 0 |  |  |  | Fraser St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | 15-min <br> Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | 0 |  |  | Fraser St |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 18 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 26 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 30 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 56 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.
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## Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start |  | Fraser St |  |  |  | Fraser St |  |  |  | Puget St |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 | PM | 0 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 |
| 3:45 | PM | 0 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 0 |
| 4:00 | PM | 0 | 0 | 17 | 45 | 0 | 12 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 |
| 4:15 | PM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 44 | 0 | 12 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 524 |
| 4:30 | PM | 0 | 0 | 28 | 53 | 0 | 11 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 569 |
| 4:45 | PM | 0 | 0 | 29 | 40 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 585 |
| 5:00 | PM | 0 | 0 | 40 | 51 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 610 |
| 5:15 | PM | 0 | 0 | 29 | 40 | 0 | 11 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 597 |
| 5:30 | PM | 0 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 565 |
| 5:45 | PM | 0 | 0 | 19 | 41 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 542 |
| 6:00 | PM | 0 | 0 | 19 | 35 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 478 |
| 6:15 | PM | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 430 |
| Count | Total | 0 | 0 | 273 | 488 | 0 | 143 | 429 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,551 | 0 |
|  | All | 0 | 0 | 118 | 188 | 0 | 56 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 610 | 0 |
| Peak <br> Hour | HV | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | - | 4\% | 1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | 7\% | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | 1\% | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Count Total | 14 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 34 |
| Peak Hr | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 13 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Fraser St |  |  |  | Fraser St |  |  |  | Puget St |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Fraser St |  |  | Fraser St |  |  | Puget St |  |  | 0 |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 17 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 19 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| Count Total | 0 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any
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| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | I-5 NB Ramps |  |  |  | Potter St |  |  |  | King St |  |  |  | King St |  |  |  | 15-min <br> Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 1 |  | 20 | 51 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 263 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 9 | 65 | 0 | 6 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 268 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 262 | 1,025 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 276 | 1,069 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 67 | 0 | 7 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 124 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 267 | 1,073 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 10 | 55 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 144 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 282 | 1,087 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 115 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 236 | 1,061 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 |  | 18 | 47 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 231 | 1,016 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 |  | 9 | 52 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 206 | 955 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 221 | 894 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 846 |
| Count Total | 3 | 1 | 130 | 629 | 0 | 61 | 426 | 6 | 0 | 1,516 | 16 | 110 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 2,932 | 0 |
| All | 1 | 0 | 36 | 224 | 0 | 24 | 172 | 3 | 0 | 562 | 7 | 44 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1,087 | 0 |
| Peak Hour |  |  | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Hour HV\% | 0\% | - | 6\% | 2\% | - | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0 |
| Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EB | WB |  | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB |  | NB | SB | Total | Eas |  | West | North | Sout | T Total |
| 3:30 PM | 3 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3:45 PM | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Count Total | 17 | 7 |  | 23 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 3 |  | 5 | 0 | 9 | 9 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 18 |
| Peak Hour | 6 | 2 |  | 7 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
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| Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | I-5 NB Ramps |  |  |  | Potter St |  |  |  |  | King St |  |  |  |  | King St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT |  | TH | RT | UT | LT |  | TH | RT | UT |  | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| 6:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 2 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 |  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | I-5 NB Ramps |  |  |  | Potter St |  |  |  |  | King St |  |  |  |  | King St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH |  | RT | LT |  | TH |  | RT | LT | T |  | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.
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Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Potter St |  |  |  | Potter St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-min } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Count Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 20 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Potter St |  |  | Potter St |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  | Lincoln St |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 13 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 14 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Count Total | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 33 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.
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| Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | York St |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | N State St |  |  |  |  | N State St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT |  | TH | RT | UT | LT |  | TH | RT | UT | LT |  | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | York St |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | N State St |  |  |  |  | N State St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH |  | RT | LT |  | TH |  | RT | LT |  | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 7 | 15 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 20 |
| 5:15 PM | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 7 | 22 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| Count Total | 6 |  | 15 | 6 | 2 |  | 10 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 8 | 2 | 49 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 3 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 1 | 21 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start |  | York St |  |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | Ellis St |  |  |  |  | Ellis St |  |  |  |  | N Forest St |  |  |  |  | 15-min Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rolling } \\ \text { One } \\ \text { Hour } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | HR | UT | LT | BL | TH | RT | UT | HL | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | BR | RT | UT | HL | BL | BR | HR |  |  |
| 3:30 PM |  | 0 | 5 | 9 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 70 | 6 | 5 | 237 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 67 | 6 | 1 | 247 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 38 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 66 | 7 | 2 | 271 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 4 | 7 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 80 | 8 | 1 | 252 | 1,007 |
| 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 21 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 46 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 98 | 12 | 2 | 314 | 1,084 |
| 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 18 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 96 | 14 | 3 | 291 | 1,128 |
| 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 12 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 94 | 11 | 7 | 302 | 1,159 |
| 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 23 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 69 | 4 | 1 | 238 | 1,145 |
| 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 17 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 75 | 18 | 3 | 233 | 1,064 |
| 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 9 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 8 | 2 | 217 | 990 |
| 6:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 69 | 6 | 3 | 231 | 919 |
| 6:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 | 10 | 0 | 198 | 879 |
| Count Total |  | 0 | 15 | 153 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 110 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 412 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 918 | 110 | 30 | 3,031 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | All | 0 | 6 | 58 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 40 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 159 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 368 | 45 | 13 | 1,159 | 0 |
|  | HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | , | 11 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | - | - | 0\% | - | 3\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | 1\% | 4\% | - | - | - | - | . | . | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 0 |


| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | NEB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | NEB | Total | East | West | North | South | Southwest | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 16 |
| 3:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 10 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 13 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 14 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 32 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 23 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 32 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 22 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 16 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 12 |
| 6:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| Count Total | 6 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 42 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 44 | 3 | 67 | 68 | 31 | 213 |
| Peak Hr | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 22 | 23 | 13 | 82 |


| Interval Start | York St |  |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | Ellis St |  |  |  |  | Ellis St |  |  |  |  | N Forest St |  |  |  |  | 15-min Total | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Rolling } \\ \text { One } \\ \text { Hour } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | HR | UT | LT | BL | TH | RT | UT | HL | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | BR | RT | UT | HL | BL | BR | HR |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 15 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 42 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | York St |  |  |  |  | York St |  |  |  |  | Ellis St |  |  |  |  | Ellis St |  |  |  |  | N Forest St |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | RollingOneHour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Northeastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | HR | UT | LT | BL | TH | RT | UT | HL | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | BR | RT | UT | HL | BL | BR | HR |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |

## ELLIS ST E CHESTNUT



## しみx

Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2016
Count Period: 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM
Peak Hour: 4:30 PM to 5:30 PM


## Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval <br> Start | E CHESTNUT |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | ELLIS ST |  |  |  | ELLIS ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 140 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 403 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 164 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 463 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 171 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 523 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 158 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 500 | 1,889 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 633 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 1,889 | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Peak Hour | 9 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 31 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | E CHESTNUT |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | ELLIS ST |  |  |  | ELLIS ST |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 134 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 364 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 133 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 419 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 156 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 410 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 152 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 380 | 1,573 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 140 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 403 | 1,612 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 164 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 463 | 1,656 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 171 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 523 | 1,769 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 158 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 500 | 1,889 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 120 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 377 | 1,863 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 130 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 382 | 1,782 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 117 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 384 | 1,643 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 139 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 367 | 1,510 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1,714 | 0 | 871 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 913 | 0 | 4,972 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 633 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 1,889 | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| 3:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 13 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 15 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 14 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Count Total | 21 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 45 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 72 | 102 |
| Peak Hr | 9 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 31 |



Three-Hour Count Summaries

| Interval Start |  | Fielding Ave |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | I-5 SB On-Ramp |  |  |  | 36th St |  |  |  | 15-min | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 86 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 87 | 228 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 91 | 215 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 73 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 88 | 226 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 78 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 91 | 223 | 892 |
| Peak Hour | All | 0 | 304 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 357 | 892 | 0 |
|  | HV | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | 2\% | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0 |

Note: For all three-hour count summary, see next page.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start |  | Fielding Ave |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | I-5 SB On-Ramp |  |  |  | 36th St |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | -T | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 | PM | 0 | 54 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 59 | 189 | 0 |
| 3:45 | PM | 0 | 70 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 65 | 190 | 0 |
| 4:00 | PM | 0 | 67 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 68 | 197 | 0 |
| 4:15 | PM | 0 | 59 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 77 | 208 | 784 |
| 4:30 | PM | 0 | 86 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 87 | 228 | 823 |
| 4:45 | PM | 0 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 91 | 215 | 848 |
|  | PM | 0 | 73 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 88 | 226 | 877 |
| 5:15 | PM | 0 | 78 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 91 | 223 | 892 |
|  | PM | 0 | 63 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 95 | 206 | 870 |
|  | PM | 0 | 52 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 71 | 168 | 823 |
| 6:00 | PM | 0 | 42 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 77 | 164 | 761 |
| 6:15 | PM | 0 | 58 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 62 | 151 | 689 |
| Count | Total | 0 | 769 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 572 | 931 | 2,365 | 0 |
|  | All | 0 | 304 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 357 | 892 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | HV | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | - | 2\% | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0 |

Note: Three-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 13 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hr | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Three-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | Fielding Ave |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | I-5 SB On-Ramp |  |  |  | 36th St |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 12 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Count Total | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 29 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 |

Three-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Fielding Ave |  |  | 0 |  |  | I-5 SB On-Ramp |  |  | 36th St |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 6:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Count Total | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

## Highway Capacity Manual 2010/6th Edition

Signalized intersection level of service (LOS) is defined in terms of a weighted average control delay for the entire intersection. Control delay quantifies the increase in travel time that a vehicle experiences due to the traffic signal control as well as provides a surrogate measure for driver discomfort and fuel consumption. Signalized intersection LOS is stated in terms of average control delay per vehicle (in seconds) during a specified time period (e.g., weekday PM peak hour). Control delay is a complex measure based on many variables, including signal phasing and coordination (i.e., progression of movements through the intersection and along the corridor), signal cycle length, and traffic volumes with respect to intersection capacity and resulting queues. Table 1 summarizes the LOS criteria for signalized intersections, as described in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010 and 6th Edition (Transportation Research Board, 2010 and 2016, respectively).

Table 1. Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds/vehicle) | General Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | $\leq 10$ | Free Flow |
| B | $>10-20$ | Stable Flow (slight delays) |
| C | $>20-35$ | Stable flow (acceptable delays) |
| D | $>35-55$ | Approaching unstable flow (tolerable delay, occasionally wait through more <br> than one signal cycle before proceeding) |
| E | $>55-80$ | Unstable flow (intolerable delay) |
| F $^{1}$ | $>80$ | Forced flow (congested and queues fail to clear) |
| Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010 and 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2010 and 2016, respectively. <br> 1. If the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio for a lane group exceeds 1.0 LOS F is assigned to the individual lane group. LOS for overall approach or <br> intersection is determined solely by the control delay. |  |  |

Unsignalized intersection LOS criteria can be further reduced into two intersection types: all-way stop and two-way stop control. All-way stop control intersection LOS is expressed in terms of the weighted average control delay of the overall intersection or by approach. Two-way stop-controlled intersection LOS is defined in terms of the average control delay for each minor-street movement (or shared movement) as well as major-street left-turns. This approach is because major-street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay, a weighted average of all movements results in very low overall average delay, and this calculated low delay could mask deficiencies of minor movements. Table 2 shows LOS criteria for unsignalized intersections.

Table 2. Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| E | $>25-35$ |
| F $^{1}$ | $>35-50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010 and 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2010 and 2016, respectively.

1. If the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio exceeds 1.0 , LOS $F$ is assigned an individual lane group for all unsignalized intersections, or minor street approach at two-way stop-controlled intersections. Overall intersection LOS is determined solely by control delay.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln－Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 1：Jersey St \＆Ellis St \＆Lakeway Dr \＆E Holly St

| Movement | WBL2 | WBL | WBR | WBR2 | NBL2 | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | SBR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ＊ | 「大゙大 |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | ＊$\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 95 | 20 | 840 | 75 | 5 | 315 | 165 | 660 | 445 | 295 | 5 | 5 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 95 | 20 | 840 | 75 | 5 | 315 | 165 | 660 | 445 | 295 | 5 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes |  | 0.97 | 0.93 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.90 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.98 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1628 | 2723 |  |  | 1687 | 1750 | 1554 | 1596 | 3298 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.41 | 0.65 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.70 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1628 | 2723 |  |  | 734 | 1166 | 1554 | 921 | 2371 |  |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 101 | 21 | 894 | 80 | 5 | 335 | 176 | 702 | 473 | 314 | 5 | 5 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 393 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 372 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 290 | 309 | 241 | 555 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 | 10 | 42 |  | 10 | 11 |  | 22 | 22 |  | 11 |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm | Prot | Perm |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |


| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 19.7 | 19.7 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 19.7 | 19.7 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 603 | 1010 | 323 | 513 | 684 | 405 | 1044 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.23 | c0．26 | c0．31 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.53 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 10.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| Delay（s） | 15.5 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 11.4 |
| Level of Service | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| Approach Delay（s） | 16.0 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 12.0 |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 13.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.70 |  | 10.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 53.1 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $74.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

Analysis Period（min）
15
c Critical Lane Group



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 560 | 0 | 5 | 790 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 560 | 0 | 5 | 790 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 29 | 0 | 29 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 29 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 622 | 0 | 6 | 878 | 11 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln－Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 4：N Samish Way \＆Bill Mcdonald Pkwy／Byron Ave

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\dagger$ |  |  | \＄ |  | \％ | 性 |  | \％ | 个个 | ¢ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 240 | 10 | 395 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 350 | 415 | 30 | 20 | 560 | 310 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 240 | 10 | 395 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 350 | 415 | 30 | 20 | 560 | 310 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 0.99 |  | 0.94 | 0.97 |  | 0.91 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 247 | 10 | 407 | 15 | 26 | 26 | 361 | 428 | 31 | 21 | 577 | 320 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 384 | 13 | 545 | 96 | 163 | 129 | 492 | 1437 | 104 | 397 | 1009 | 411 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1352 | 37 | 1524 | 115 | 455 | 362 | 1795 | 3370 | 243 | 1810 | 3610 | 1470 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 247 | 0 | 417 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 226 | 233 | 21 | 577 | 320 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1352 | 0 | 1562 | 932 | 0 | 0 | 1795 | 1791 | 1822 | 1810 | 1805 | 1470 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 5.9 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 10.9 | 16.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 25.1 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 10.9 | 16.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 0.22 |  | 0.39 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 384 | 0 | 558 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 492 | 763 | 777 | 397 | 1009 | 411 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.78 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 426 | 0 | 607 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 1079 | 763 | 777 | 596 | 1132 | 461 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 26.8 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 19.3 | 24.6 | 26.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.8 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 7.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.7 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 6.2 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 29.6 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 25.2 | 33.9 |


| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 29.6 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 25.2 | 33.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | C | B | A | A | B | B | B | B | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 664 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 820 |  |  | 918 |  |
| Approach Delay， $\mathrm{s} /$ veh |  | 28.0 |  |  | 18.2 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | 28.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$ s | 7.2 | 39.0 | 33.5 | 18.9 | 27.3 | 33.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 11.0 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1）），s | 2.6 | 8.7 | 27.1 | 12.4 | 18.0 | 21.2 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 24.0
HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 5: S Samish Way/Samish Way \& 36th Street/l-5 SB Off-Ramp


C Critical Lane Group

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 6: S Samish Way \& Elwood Ave \& Lincoln St.

Existing Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | - |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\hat{F}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 975 | 80 | 330 | 5 | 50 | 20 | 300 | 370 | 5 | 10 | 110 | 370 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 975 | 80 | 330 | 5 | 50 | 20 | 300 | 370 | 5 | 10 | 110 | 370 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 1054 | 0 | 337 | 5 | 51 | 20 | 306 | 378 | 5 | 10 | 112 | 378 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 1309 | 0 | 794 | 96 | 69 | 27 | 515 | 725 | 10 | 324 | 494 | 411 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3591 | 0 | 1559 | 1781 | 1276 | 501 | 1795 | 1856 | 25 | 1781 | 1870 | 1559 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 1054 | 0 | 337 | 5 | 0 | 71 | 306 | 0 | 383 | 10 | 112 | 378 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1795 | 0 | 1559 | 1781 | 0 | 1777 | 1795 | 0 | 1881 | 1781 | 1870 | 1559 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 29.9 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 26.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 29.9 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 26.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.28 | 1.00 |  | 0.01 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 1309 | 0 | 794 | 96 | 0 | 96 | 515 | 0 | 735 | 324 | 494 | 411 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.92 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 2534 | 0 | 1325 | 267 | 0 | 267 | 705 | 0 | 735 | 691 | 743 | 619 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 32.4 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 50.9 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 26.4 | 29.7 | 32.7 | 40.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 10.9 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 13.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 11.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 33.6 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 50.9 | 0.0 | 57.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 29.8 | 32.7 | 51.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | B | D | A | E | C | A | C | C | C | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1391 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 689 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 29.8 |  |  | 56.6 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 46.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 6.6 | 49.3 |  | 46.3 | 21.0 | 34.9 |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 25.0 | 38.0 |  | 80.0 | 28.0 | 45.0 |  | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s | 2.5 | 19.7 |  | 31.9 | 15.4 | 28.7 |  | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.8 |  | 9.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 32.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes
User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysiṡncoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 8: Lincoln St/Lincoln St. \& Lakeway Dr


Analysis Period (min)
15
C Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  | * | 个 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 75 | 5 | 775 | 75 | 95 | 450 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 75 | 5 | 775 | 75 | 95 | 450 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75 | - | - | 75 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 6 | 6 | 33 | 0 | 83 | 6 | 861 | 83 | 106 | 500 | 6 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 4 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 60 | 15 | 790 | 60 | 15 | 490 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 60 | 15 | 790 | 60 | 15 | 490 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 18 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 50 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 63 | 16 | 832 | 63 | 16 | 516 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1448 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 913 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 882 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 566 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 145 | 339 | - | - | 751 | - |
| Stage 1 | 406 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 570 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 137 | 327 | - | - | 738 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 137 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 399 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 548 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 50.2 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 155 | 738 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.509 | 0.021 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 50.2 | 10 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | F | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 2.5 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | 4 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 515 | 850 | 490 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 515 | 850 | 490 | 60 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 531 | 876 | 505 | 62 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 2474 | 536 | 567 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 536 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1938 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.11 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.209 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 33 | 549 | 1010 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 591 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 125 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 16 | 549 | 1010 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 280 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 125 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.7 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBT EBLn1 |  |  | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1010 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.526 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.4 | - | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 3.2 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 60 | 5 | 5 | 60 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 60 | 5 | 5 | 60 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 5 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 70 | 6 | 6 | 70 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 23 | 12 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 13: I-5 SB On Ramp/l-5 SB Off Ramp \& Lakeway Dr

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 性 |  | * | 个4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 980 | 150 | 190 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 0 | 345 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 980 | 150 | 190 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 0 | 345 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.93 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 0 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 0 |  |  |  | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 0 | 1000 | 153 | 194 | 816 | 0 |  |  |  | 536 | 0 | 352 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | , | 1 | 1 |
| Cap, veh/h | 0 | 1136 | 174 | 227 | 1972 | 0 |  |  |  | 588 | 0 | 521 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.55 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 0 | 3172 | 470 | 1795 | 3676 | 0 |  |  |  | 1795 | 0 | 1593 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 0 | 581 | 572 | 194 | 816 | 0 |  |  |  | 536 | 0 | 352 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 0 | 1791 | 1757 | 1795 | 1791 | 0 |  |  |  | 1795 | 0 | 1593 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 10.6 | 13.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 28.6 | 0.0 | 19.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 0.0 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 10.6 | 13.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 28.6 | 0.0 | 19.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.27 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 0 | 661 | 648 | 227 | 1972 | 0 |  |  |  | 588 | 0 | 521 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.41 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 0 | 694 | 681 | 261 | 1972 | 0 |  |  |  | 613 | 0 | 544 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 0.0 | 29.4 | 29.5 | 42.7 | 13.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 32.2 | 0.0 | 29.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 21.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 18.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 0.0 | 14.9 | 14.7 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 0.0 | 42.0 | 42.4 | 64.4 | 13.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 50.3 | 0.0 | 32.6 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | D | D | E | B | A |  |  |  | D | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1153 |  |  | 1010 |  |  |  |  |  | 888 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 42.2 |  |  | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 43.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 18.1 | 43.2 |  | 38.6 |  | 61.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 5.5 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 14.5 | 38.7 |  | 34.1 |  | 38.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 12.6 | 32.4 |  | 30.6 |  | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 4.5 |  | 2.0 |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 36.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 14: Lakeway Dr \& King St


C Critical Lane Group


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 1485 | 1505 | 0 | 2080 | 783 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1472 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 608 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 6.42 | 4.12 | - | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.51 | 2.21 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 163 | 446 | - | 47 | 341 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 181 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 512 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 364 | 364 | - | 38 | 329 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 128 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 178 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 424 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.9 |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 NBLn2 |  | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 128 | 329 | - | - | 364 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.121 | 0.172 | - | - | 0.156 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 37 | 18.2 | - | - | 16.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | E | C | - | - | C | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.4 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.5 | - |


| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个 |  |  | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | \＄ |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 40 | 1365 | 30 | 0 | 900 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 65 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 40 | 1365 | 30 | 0 | 900 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 65 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 9 | 0 | 17 | 40 | 0 | 32 | 17 | 0 | 40 | 32 | 0 | 9 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃－ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 42 | 1437 | 32 | 0 | 947 | 32 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 68 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 17: Puget St \& Lakeway Dr

Existing Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 40 | 1125 | 75 | 10 | 830 | 15 | 80 | 15 | 10 | 140 | 45 | 65 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 40 | 1125 | 75 | 10 | 830 | 15 | 80 | 15 | 10 | 140 | 45 | 65 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 42 | 1184 | 79 | 11 | 874 | 16 | 84 | 16 | 11 | 147 | 47 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 371 | 1617 | 108 | 233 | 1591 | 29 | 134 | 80 | 55 | 194 | 76 | 110 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.05 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1795 | 3400 | 227 | 1795 | 3596 | 66 | 1810 | 1033 | 711 | 1810 | 688 | 996 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 42 | 623 | 640 | 11 | 435 | 455 | 84 | 0 | 27 | 147 | 0 | 115 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1795 | 1791 | 1836 | 1795 | 1791 | 1871 | 1810 | 0 | 1744 | 1810 | 0 | 1684 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.8 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.8 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 | 1.00 |  | 0.41 | 1.00 |  | 0.59 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 371 | 852 | 873 | 233 | 793 | 828 | 134 | 0 | 134 | 194 | 0 | 186 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.11 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.62 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 572 | 1302 | 1335 | 493 | 1302 | 1360 | 731 | 0 | 705 | 731 | 0 | 681 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 9.2 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 26.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh/ln | 0.3 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 9.4 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 32.7 | 0.0 | 31.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | B | B | B | B | B | C | A | C | C | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1305 |  |  | 901 |  |  | 111 |  |  | 262 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 14.1 |  |  | 13.3 |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | 32.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 6.0 | 34.4 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 8.1 | 32.4 | 11.6 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 10.0 | 45.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 10.0 | 45.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.2 | 19.4 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 6.9 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 19: Iowa St \& Moore St

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 625 | 415 | 260 | 485 | 25 | 160 | 45 | 260 | 57 | 35 | 20 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 625 | 415 | 260 | 485 | 25 | 160 | 45 | 260 | 57 | 35 | 20 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | 665 | 0 | 277 | 516 | 27 | 170 | 48 | 277 | 61 | 37 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 439 | 1783 |  | 381 | 1721 | 90 | 236 | 67 | 313 | 246 | 144 | 70 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 868 | 3676 | 0 | 776 | 3457 | 181 | 498 | 186 | 869 | 506 | 399 | 194 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 27 | 665 | 0 | 277 | 267 | 276 | 495 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 868 | 1791 | 0 | 776 | 1791 | 1847 | 1554 | 0 | 0 | 1099 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.6 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 9.2 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 39.2 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 0.34 |  | 0.56 | 0.51 |  | 0.18 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 439 | 1783 |  | 381 | 891 | 919 | 616 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.06 | 0.37 |  | 0.73 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 449 | 1824 |  | 390 | 912 | 940 | 759 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 15.5 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 15.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B |  | C | B | B | C | A | A | B | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 692 | A |  | 820 |  |  | 495 |  |  | 119 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 13.6 |  |  | 19.6 |  |  | 31.2 |  |  | 19.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 49.0 | 36.8 | 49.0 | 36.8 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 43.7 | 29.1 | 43.7 | 39.1 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+\|1), s | 11.8 | 7.7 | 41.2 | 27.4 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 7.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.5 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 20.3

HCM 6th LOS C

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 20: Meador Ave/Grant St \& N State St

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh 15.7
Intersection LOS $\quad$ C

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 20 | 280 | 5 | 10 | 150 | 125 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 301 | 20 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 20 | 280 | 5 | 10 | 150 | 125 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 301 | 20 | 50 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow 22 | 308 | 5 | 11 | 165 | 137 | 5 | 5 | 22 | 331 | 22 | 55 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay 15.9 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  |
| HCMLOS C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $17 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $67 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 30 | 305 | 160 | 125 | 371 |
| LT Vol | 5 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 301 |
| Through Vol | 5 | 280 | 150 | 0 | 20 |
| RT Vol | 20 | 5 | 0 | 125 | 50 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 33 | 335 | 176 | 137 | 408 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.058 | 0.548 | 0.315 | 0.218 | 0.659 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.33 | 5.888 | 6.447 | 5.702 | 5.821 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 569 | 610 | 554 | 625 | 620 |
| Service Time | 4.33 | 3.959 | 4.224 | 3.479 | 3.884 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.058 | 0.549 | 0.318 | 0.219 | 0.658 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.7 | 15.9 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 19.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | C | B | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 4.9 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 105 | 150 | 135 | 50 | 296 | 305 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 105 | 150 | 135 | 50 | 296 | 305 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | 0 | - | - | 75 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 113 | 161 | 145 | 54 | 318 | 328 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 125 | 195 | 60 | 175 | 30 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 125 | 195 | 60 | 175 | 30 | 50 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 12 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 137 | 214 | 66 | 192 | 33 | 55 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 594 | 269 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 257 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 337 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.43 | 6.23 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.527 | 3.327 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1206 | - | 466 | 767 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 784 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 721 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1191 | - | 427 | 749 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 427 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 668 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 2.1 |  | 12.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 584 | - | - | 1191 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.151 | - | - | 0.055 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.3 | - | - | 8.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.5 | - | - | 0.2 | - |




HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 26: N State St \& York St

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger_{\text {¢ }}+$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 165 | 205 | 70 | 30 | 130 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 500 | 65 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 165 | 205 | 70 | 30 | 130 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 500 | 65 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.97 |  | 0.89 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 183 | 228 | 78 | 33 | 144 | 17 |  |  |  | 139 | 556 | 72 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cap, veh/h | 523 | 625 | 206 | 416 | 529 | 61 |  |  |  | 264 | 1117 | 589 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.16 |  |  |  | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1795 | 2607 | 860 | 1810 | 3216 | 370 |  |  |  | 696 | 2946 | 1552 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 183 | 154 | 152 | 33 | 79 | 82 |  |  |  | 370 | 325 | 72 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1795 | 1791 | 1675 | 1810 | 1805 | 1781 |  |  |  | 1850 | 1791 | 1552 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 |  |  |  | 6.9 | 6.2 | 1.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 |  |  |  | 6.9 | 6.2 | 1.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.51 | 1.00 |  | 0.21 |  |  |  | 0.38 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 523 | 430 | 402 | 416 | 297 | 293 |  |  |  | 702 | 679 | 589 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.28 |  |  |  | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.12 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 910 | 802 | 750 | 942 | 808 | 797 |  |  |  | 1449 | 1403 | 1215 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 12.5 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 16.3 |  |  |  | 10.8 | 10.5 | 9.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |  |  | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay $(\mathrm{d})$,s/veh | 12.9 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 16.8 | 16.9 |  |  |  | 11.6 | 11.3 | 9.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B | B | B | B | B |  |  |  | B | B | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 489 |  |  | 194 |  |  |  |  |  | 767 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 14.0 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  |  | 7.0 | 15.7 |  | 22.0 | 10.4 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  |  | 15.0 | 20.0 |  | 35.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  |  | 2.7 | 5.4 |  | 8.9 | 5.6 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  |  | 0.0 | 1.5 |  | 7.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 27: N Forest St \& Ellis St \& York St

Existing Weekday PM Peak Hour


|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |

HCM 6th Edition methodology does not support more than 4 approaches.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 28: Ellis St \& E Magnolia St/Potter St

Existing Weekday PM Peak Hour

c Critical Lane Group

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 29: Ellis St \& E Chestnut St


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.




HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 1: Jersey St \& Ellis St \& Lakeway Dr \& E Holly St

| Movement | WBL2 | WBL | WBR | WBR2 | NBL2 | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | SBR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * | F゙大 |  |  | \% | 4 | F' | \% | + ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 95 | 20 | 1010 | 85 | 5 | 465 | 275 | 685 | 475 | 405 | 5 | 20 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 95 | 20 | 1010 | 85 | 5 | 465 | 275 | 685 | 475 | 405 | 5 | 20 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 0.97 | 0.92 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.89 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.98 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1610 | 2699 |  |  | 1687 | 1752 | 1550 | 1598 | 3303 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.33 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.36 | 0.61 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1610 | 2699 |  |  | 579 | 895 | 1550 | 603 | 2051 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 101 | 21 | 1074 | 90 | 5 | 495 | 293 | 729 | 505 | 431 | 5 | 21 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 384 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 433 | 832 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 471 | 345 | 268 | 691 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 | 10 | 42 |  | 10 | 11 |  | 22 | 22 |  | 11 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | Prot | Perm |  | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |


| Permitted Phases | 8 |  | 8 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 594 | 997 | 274 | 423 | 733 | 285 | 970 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.27 | c0.31 | c0.56 | 0.53 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.34 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.83 | 1.18 | 1.11 | 0.47 | 0.94 | 0.71 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 11.4 | 15.9 | 13.3 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 110.3 | 78.3 | 0.5 | 37.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Delay (s) | 21.8 | 24.4 | 127.1 | 95.0 | 11.8 | 53.4 | 15.8 |  |
| Level of Service | C | C | F | F | B | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 23.5 |  |  | 62.0 |  | 26.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | E |  | C |  |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 39.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.03 |  | 10.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 63.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $85.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

Analysis Period (min) 15
c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 虫 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 655 | 5 | 5 | 955 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 655 | 5 | 5 | 955 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 18 | 0 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 18 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75 | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 23 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 40 | 753 | 6 | 6 | 1098 | 23 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中\% |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 645 | 0 | 5 | 930 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 645 | 0 | 5 | 930 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 29 | 0 | 29 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 29 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 717 | 0 | 6 | 1033 | 11 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 4: N Samish Way \& Bill Mcdonald Pkwy/Byron Ave

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{1}$ |  |  | ¢ |  | 7 | 中 ${ }_{6}$ |  | \% | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 310 | 90 | 400 | 20 | 80 | 30 | 350 | 515 | 35 | 25 | 655 | 435 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 310 | 90 | 400 | 20 | 80 | 30 | 350 | 515 | 35 | 25 | 655 | 435 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.94 | 0.97 |  | 0.92 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 320 | 93 | 412 | 21 | 82 | 31 | 361 | 531 | 36 | 26 | 675 | 448 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 276 | 111 | 492 | 62 | 225 | 74 | 431 | 1523 | 103 | 397 | 1178 | 485 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1280 | 296 | 1310 | 58 | 600 | 198 | 1795 | 3389 | 229 | 1810 | 3610 | 1486 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 320 | 0 | 505 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 280 | 287 | 26 | 675 | 448 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1280 | 0 | 1606 | 856 | 0 | 0 | 1795 | 1791 | 1827 | 1810 | 1805 | 1486 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 7.4 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 1.0 | 16.1 | 30.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 39.0 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 1.0 | 16.1 | 30.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.82 | 0.16 |  | 0.23 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 276 | 0 | 603 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 431 | 805 | 821 | 397 | 1178 | 485 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.92 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 276 | 0 | 603 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 536 | 880 | 897 | 447 | 1217 | 501 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 41.0 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 21.8 | 29.0 | 33.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 104.3 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 22.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh/ln | 15.2 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 7.0 | 13.7 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 145.3 | 0.0 | 39.6 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 21.9 | 29.6 | 56.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | A | D | C | A | A | C | B | B | C | C | E |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 825 |  |  | 134 |  |  | 928 |  |  | 1149 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 80.6 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 39.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 8.2 | 51.6 | 44.0 | 20.9 | 38.9 | 44.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 6.0 | 51.0 | 39.0 | 22.0 | 35.0 | 39.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.0 | 12.7 | 41.0 | 15.1 | 32.2 | 33.6 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.3 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 45.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 5: S Samish Way/Samish Way \& 36th Street/l-5 SB Off-Ramp

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

c Critical Lane Group

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 6: S Samish Way \& Elwood Ave \& Lincoln St.

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\dagger$ | - | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 1090 | 130 | 345 | 15 | 85 | 50 | 355 | 395 | 20 | 65 | 135 | 410 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 1090 | 130 | 345 | 15 | 85 | 50 | 355 | 395 | 20 | 65 | 135 | 410 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 1207 | 0 | 352 | 15 | 87 | 51 | 362 | 403 | 20 | 66 | 138 | 418 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | , | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 1433 | 0 | 739 | 172 | 106 | 62 | 380 | 566 | 28 | 239 | 533 | 444 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3591 | 0 | 1559 | 1781 | 1104 | 647 | 1795 | 1781 | 88 | 1781 | 1870 | 1559 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 1207 | 0 | 352 | 15 | 0 | 138 | 362 | 0 | 423 | 66 | 138 | 418 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1795 | 0 | 1559 | 1781 | 0 | 1751 | 1795 | 0 | 1869 | 1781 | 1870 | 1559 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 41.5 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 3.5 | 7.8 | 35.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 41.5 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 3.5 | 7.8 | 35.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 1433 | 0 | 739 | 172 | 0 | 169 | 380 | 0 | 594 | 239 | 533 | 444 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.94 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 2159 | 0 | 1054 | 405 | 0 | 398 | 380 | 0 | 699 | 245 | 644 | 537 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 37.1 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 56.2 | 0.0 | 60.5 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 34.3 | 37.7 | 47.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 21.3 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 18.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 16.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 39.1 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 64.1 | 77.0 | 0.0 | 43.1 | 34.5 | 37.8 | 69.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | C | E | A | E | E | A | D | C | D | E |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1559 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 785 |  |  | 622 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 35.9 |  |  | 63.4 |  |  | 58.7 |  |  | 58.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 10.5 | 48.3 |  | 59.4 | 15.0 | 43.8 |  | 18.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 6.0 | 51.0 |  | 82.0 | 10.0 | 47.0 |  | 31.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s | 5.5 | 29.2 |  | 43.5 | 12.0 | 37.7 |  | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.9 |  | 10.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6 th LOS |  |  | 47.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 8: Lincoln St/Lincoln St. \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Critical Lan Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 35.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 个 |  | \% | 个 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 5 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 75 | 5 | 935 | 105 | 100 | 560 | 5 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 5 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 75 | 5 | 935 | 105 | 100 | 560 | 5 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75 | - | - | 75 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 6 | 6 | 56 | 0 | 83 | 6 | 1039 | 117 | 111 | 622 | 6 |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 2001 | 2018 | 628 | 1967 | 1963 | 1102 | 629 | 0 | 0 | 0 1158 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 848 | 848 | - | 1112 | 1112 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1153 | 1170 | - | 855 | 851 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.12 | - |  | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 | 2.218 | - |  | - 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 45 | 59 | 487 | $\sim 48$ | 64 | 260 | 953 | - | - | - 603 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 359 | 380 | - | 256 | 287 | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 242 | 269 | - | 356 | 379 | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 26 | 48 | 486 | $\sim 37$ | 52 | 259 | 952 | - |  | 602 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 26 | 48 | - |  | 52 | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 356 | 310 | - | 254 | 285 | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 163 | 267 | - | 281 | 309 | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 52.3 |  |  | 509.9 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1V | VBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 952 | - | - | 87 | 76 | 602 | - |  | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.006 | - | - | 0.128 | 1.827 | 0.185 | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.8 | - | - | 52.38 | 509.9 | 12.3 | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | F | F | B | - |  | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | 0.4 | 12.1 | 0.7 | - |  | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ Volume exceeds capacity |  | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 4 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 60 | 25 | 980 | 60 | 25 | 630 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 60 | 25 | 980 | 60 | 25 | 630 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 18 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 50 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 63 | 26 | 1032 | 63 | 26 | 663 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1815 | 1100 | 0 | 0 | 1113 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1082 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 733 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 86 | 259 | - | - | 631 | - |
| Stage 1 | 327 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 477 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 80 | 250 | - | - | 620 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 80 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 321 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 449 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 140 |  | 0 |  | 0.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 100 | 620 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.895 | 0.042 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 140 | 11.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | F | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 5.2 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | 4 | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 495 | 1040 | 610 | 80 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 495 | 1040 | 610 | 80 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 510 | 1072 | 629 | 82 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 2762 | 670 | 711 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 670 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 2092 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.11 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.209 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 22 | 460 | 893 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 512 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 104 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 9 | 460 | 893 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 220 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 104 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.6 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBTEBLn1 |  |  | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 893 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.571 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 14.3 | - | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 3.7 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 5 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 81 | 6 | 6 | 81 | 6 | 6 | 23 | 17 | 12 | 29 | 12 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 13: I-5 SB On Ramp/I-5 SB Off Ramp \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 性 |  | \% | ¢4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 1060 | 255 | 190 | 1005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 0 | 480 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 1060 | 255 | 190 | 1005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 0 | 480 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.93 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 0 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 0 |  |  |  | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 0 | 1082 | 260 | 194 | 1026 | 0 |  |  |  | 617 | 0 | 490 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 0 | 1027 | 244 | 198 | 1887 | 0 |  |  |  | 641 | 0 | 569 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.36 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 0 | 2915 | 672 | 1795 | 3676 | 0 |  |  |  | 1795 | 0 | 1594 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 0 | 683 | 659 | 194 | 1026 | 0 |  |  |  | 617 | 0 | 490 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 0 | 1791 | 1701 | 1795 | 1791 | 0 |  |  |  | 1795 | 0 | 1594 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 11.3 | 19.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 35.3 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.0 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 11.3 | 19.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 35.3 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.39 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 0 | 652 | 619 | 198 | 1887 | 0 |  |  |  | 641 | 0 | 569 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.00 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 0.54 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 0 | 652 | 619 | 198 | 1887 | 0 |  |  |  | 641 | 0 | 569 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 0.0 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 46.6 | 16.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 33.1 | 0.0 | 31.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 48.6 | 54.2 | 57.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 26.6 | 0.0 | 13.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 19.6 | 0.0 | 13.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 0.0 | 82.0 | 87.6 | 103.9 | 16.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 59.7 | 0.0 | 44.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | F | F | F | B | A |  |  |  | E | A | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1342 |  |  | 1220 |  |  |  |  |  | 1107 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 84.8 |  |  | 30.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 52.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 17.1 | 44.5 | 43.4 | 61.6 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.3 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 11.6 | 38.2 | 37.5 | 55.3 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 13.3 | 40.2 | 37.3 | 21.9 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.2 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 57.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 14: Lakeway Dr \& King St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour


C Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 中 |  |  |  | 中 | a |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1525 | 65 | 50 | 1020 | 15 | 55 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1525 | 65 | 50 | 1020 | 15 | 55 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 50 | - | 0 | 200 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1572 | 67 | 52 | 1052 | 15 | 57 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 90 | 1460 | 30 | 0 | 945 | 80 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 115 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 90 | 1460 | 30 | 0 | 945 | 80 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 115 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 9 | 0 | 17 | 40 | 0 | 32 | 17 | 0 | 40 | 32 | 0 | 9 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 95 | 1537 | 32 | 0 | 995 | 84 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 58 | 0 | 121 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 17: Puget St \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 禹 |  | \% | $\hat{\square}$ |  | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 70 | 1130 | 200 | 20 | 830 | 20 | 185 | 35 | 5 | 180 | 85 | 90 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 70 | 1130 | 200 | 20 | 830 | 20 | 185 | 35 | 5 | 180 | 85 | 90 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | 1189 | 211 | 21 | 874 | 21 | 195 | 37 | 5 | 189 | 89 | 95 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 349 | 1452 | 256 | 181 | 1612 | 39 | 233 | 246 | 33 | 227 | 122 | 130 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1795 | 3027 | 533 | 1795 | 3571 | 86 | 1810 | 1633 | 221 | 1810 | 829 | 885 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 74 | 700 | 700 | 21 | 438 | 457 | 195 | 0 | 42 | 189 | 0 | 184 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1795 | 1791 | 1769 | 1795 | 1791 | 1866 | 1810 | 0 | 1854 | 1810 | 0 | 1713 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 2.0 | 30.7 | 31.3 | 0.6 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 2.0 | 30.7 | 31.3 | 0.6 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.30 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 349 | 859 | 849 | 181 | 808 | 842 | 233 | 0 | 279 | 227 | 0 | 252 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.21 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.73 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 367 | 1070 | 1057 | 249 | 1070 | 1115 | 334 | 0 | 544 | 334 | 0 | 503 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 13.3 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 17.4 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 39.2 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 39.3 | 0.0 | 37.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.3 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.8 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 13.6 | 24.5 | 25.1 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 50.3 | 0.0 | 43.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | C | C | B | B | B | D | A | C | D | A | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1474 |  |  | 916 |  |  | 237 |  |  | 373 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 24.2 |  |  | 18.9 |  |  | 48.1 |  |  | 46.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 7.5 | 49.1 | 16.8 | 18.6 | 10.1 | 46.5 | 16.5 | 18.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 6.0 | 55.0 | 17.0 | 27.0 | 6.0 | 55.0 | 17.0 | 27.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s | 2.6 | 33.3 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 4.0 | 18.4 | 11.4 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 19: Iowa St \& Moore St

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | - | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中t |  | \% | 中t |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 685 | 425 | 230 | 605 | 25 | 155 | 45 | 275 | 55 | 35 | 20 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 685 | 425 | 230 | 605 | 25 | 155 | 45 | 275 | 55 | 35 | 20 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | 729 | 0 | 245 | 644 | 27 | 165 | 48 | 293 | 59 | 37 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 406 | 1883 |  | 379 | 1840 | 77 | 214 | 60 | 301 | 215 | 129 | 62 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 772 | 3676 | 0 | 731 | 3498 | 147 | 475 | 180 | 902 | 458 | 388 | 185 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 27 | 729 | 0 | 245 | 329 | 342 | 506 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 772 | 1791 | 0 | 731 | 1791 | 1854 | 1558 | 0 | 0 | 1030 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.8 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 11.1 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 36.6 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 0.33 |  | 0.58 | 0.50 |  | 0.18 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 406 | 1883 |  | 379 | 942 | 975 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.07 | 0.39 |  | 0.65 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 478 | 2218 |  | 447 | 1109 | 1148 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 15.2 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 15.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 26.4 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 43.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B |  | C | B | B | D | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 756 | A |  | 916 |  |  | 506 |  |  | 117 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 12.6 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  | 43.2 |  |  | 21.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 52.0 |  | 34.9 |  | 52.0 |  | 34.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 6.3 |  | 5.9 |  | 6.3 |  | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 53.8 |  | 29.0 |  | 53.8 |  | 29.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 13.1 |  | 8.1 |  | 38.6 |  | 29.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 9.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 7.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 21.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 20: Meador Ave/Grant St \& N State St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour



| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 25 | 280 | 10 | 15 | 265 | 150 | 5 | 15 | 90 | 390 | 30 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 25 | 280 | 10 | 15 | 265 | 150 | 5 | 15 | 90 | 390 | 30 | 50 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow 27 | 308 | 11 | 16 | 291 | 165 | 5 | 16 | 99 | 429 | 33 | 55 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay 27.8 |  |  | 21 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  | 71 |  |  |
| HCMLOS D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | F |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $14 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $82 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 110 | 315 | 280 | 150 | 470 |
| LT Vol | 5 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 390 |
| Through Vol | 15 | 280 | 265 | 0 | 30 |
| RT Vol | 90 | 10 | 0 | 150 | 50 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 121 | 346 | 308 | 165 | 516 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.262 | 0.718 | 0.667 | 0.323 | 1.017 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.997 | 7.636 | 7.976 | 7.226 | 7.086 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 452 | 478 | 455 | 501 | 516 |
| Service Time | 5.997 | 5.636 | 5.676 | 4.926 | 5.086 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.268 | 0.724 | 0.677 | 0.329 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.8 | 27.8 | 25.2 | 13.3 | 71 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | D | D | B | F |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 1 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 14.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 60.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | I | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | a | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 150 | 205 | 225 | 50 | 395 | 365 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 150 | 205 | 225 | 50 | 395 | 365 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 150 | 0 | - | - | 75 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 161 | 220 | 242 | 54 | 425 | 392 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | - | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 110 | 275 | 85 | 250 | 40 | 105 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 110 | 275 | 85 | 250 | 40 | 105 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 12 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 121 | 302 | 93 | 275 | 44 | 115 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 436 | 0 | 759 | 297 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 285 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 474 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.43 | 6.23 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.527 | 3.327 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1134 | - | 373 | 740 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 761 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 624 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1120 | - | 328 | 722 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 328 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 752 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 556 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 2.2 |  | 14.4 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 542 | - | - | 1120 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.294 | - | - | 0.083 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 14.4 | - | - | 8.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.2 | - | - | 0.3 | - |


|  | $\dagger$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Yield |  |  | Stop |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 5 | 130 | 355 | 25 | 290 | 5 | 640 | 10 | 65 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 130 | 355 | 25 | 290 | 5 | 640 | 10 | 65 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 135 | 370 | 26 | 302 | 5 | 667 | 10 | 68 | 5 | 5 | 5 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 510 | 333 | 745 | 15 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 5 | 26 | 667 | 5 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 370 | 5 | 68 | 5 |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.43 | 0.01 | 0.12 | -0.13 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 8.2 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.88 | 0.64 | 1.38 | 0.03 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 573 | 503 | 541 | 382 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 37.9 | 21.4 | 201.0 | 11.5 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 37.9 | 21.4 | 201.0 | 11.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | E | C | F | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 110.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | F |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 85.0\% | ICU Level of Service | E |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |



| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1135 | 1145 | 445 | 1146 | 1164 | 207 | 479 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 484 | 484 | - | 631 | 631 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 651 | 661 | - | 515 | 533 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 | - | - | 4.11 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | 6.1 | 5.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - |  | 2.209 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 181 | 201 | 617 | 178 | 196 | 839 | 1094 | - |  | 1347 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 568 | 555 | - | 472 | 477 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 461 | 463 | - | 546 | 528 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 117 | 147 | 602 | 115 | 143 | 819 | 1083 | - | - | 1328 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | $\sim 117$ | 147 | - | 115 | 143 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 433 | 534 | - | 359 | 363 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 314 | 352 | - | 474 | 508 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s\$ | \$ 369.9 |  |  | 57.7 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | BLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1083 | - | - | 134 | 150 | 1328 | - | - |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.201 | - |  | 1.622 | 0.58 | 0.02 | - | - |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 9.2 | 0 |  | \$ 369.9 | 57.7 | 7.8 | - | - |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | F | F | A | A | - |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.7 | - |  | 15.6 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds cap | pacity | \$: De | ay exc | eeds 3 | 00s | Comp | utation | Not De | fined | *: All | r vo | e in platoon |

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 26: N State St \& York St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | $\lambda$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中t |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢* | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 165 | 295 | 70 | 30 | 330 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 690 | 65 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 165 | 295 | 70 | 30 | 330 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 690 | 65 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 0.98 |  | 0.96 | 0.98 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  | 1885 | 1885 | 1885 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 183 | 328 | 78 | 33 | 367 | 111 |  |  |  | 200 | 767 | 72 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | , | , | 1 |
| Cap, veh/h | 412 | 868 | 203 | 402 | 654 | 194 |  |  |  | 293 | 1190 | 633 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  |  |  | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1795 | 2854 | 667 | 1810 | 2686 | 796 |  |  |  | 720 | 2921 | 1553 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 183 | 204 | 202 | 33 | 244 | 234 |  |  |  | 514 | 453 | 72 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1795 | 1791 | 1730 | 1810 | 1805 | 1676 |  |  |  | 1849 | 1791 | 1553 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 7.2 | 7.5 |  |  |  | 13.9 | 12.2 | 1.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 7.2 | 7.5 |  |  |  | 13.9 | 12.2 | 1.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.39 | 1.00 |  | 0.47 |  |  |  | 0.39 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 412 | 545 | 526 | 402 | 440 | 408 |  |  |  | 753 | 729 | 633 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.57 |  |  |  | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.11 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 493 | 853 | 824 | 504 | 771 | 716 |  |  |  | 1063 | 1029 | 893 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 14.7 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 20.1 | 20.2 |  |  |  | 14.8 | 14.3 | 11.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 |  |  |  | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ ( $50 \%$ ),veh/In | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 |  |  |  | 5.4 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 15.4 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 21.2 | 21.5 |  |  |  | 16.4 | 15.6 | 11.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B | B | B | C | C |  |  |  | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 589 |  |  | 511 |  |  |  |  |  | 1039 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 16.6 |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 15.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  |  | 7.6 | 23.5 |  | 29.8 | 11.3 | 19.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  |  | 6.0 | 29.0 |  | 35.0 | 9.0 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  |  | 2.8 | 7.6 |  | 15.9 | 6.4 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  |  | 0.0 | 2.4 |  | 8.9 | 0.1 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 27: N Forest St \& Ellis St \& York St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour


|  | $\not$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | NER2 |
| Lane Configurations |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  |
| Frt |  |
| Flt Protected |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 16 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 22 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) | , |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% |
| Turn Type |  |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  |
| Delay (s) |  |
| Level of Service |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


c Critical Lane Group

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 29: Ellis St \& E Chestnut St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour

|  | - | $\geqslant$ | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | ブ |  | 44 | 44 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 670 | 550 | 0 | 790 | 355 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 670 | 550 | 0 | 790 | 355 | 0 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1885 | 1885 | 0 | 1885 | 1885 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 744 | 611 | 0 | 878 | 394 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 1423 | 653 | 0 | 1479 | 1479 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3483 | 1598 | 0 | 3770 | 3770 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 744 | 611 | 0 | 878 | 394 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1742 | 1598 | 0 | 1791 | 1791 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 9.0 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 9.0 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 1423 | 653 | 0 | 1479 | 1479 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.52 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.27 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1431 | 656 | 0 | 1479 | 1479 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 12.5 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.5 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.1 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 12.9 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | D | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 1355 |  |  | 878 | 394 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 23.7 |  |  | 14.6 | 11.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s |  | 28.1 |  | 27.9 |  | 28.1 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 23.0 |  | 23.0 |  | 23.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s |  | 12.7 |  | 22.5 |  | 6.1 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 4.4 |  | 0.4 |  | 2.3 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 18.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysiṡncoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 8: Lincoln St/Lincoln St. \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 1

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 14: Lakeway Dr \& King St


C Critical Lane Group


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysiṡncoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 8: Lincoln St/Lincoln St. \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 14: Lakeway Dr \& King St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 2


C Critical Lane Group


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 982 | 0 | - | 0 | 1491 | 976 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 974 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 517 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |  | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 711 | - | - | - | 138 | 307 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 369 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 603 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 710 | - | - | - | 136 | 306 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 265 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 366 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 602 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 |  | 0 |  | 18.8 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT WBT |  | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 710 | - | - | - | 277 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.007 | - | - | - | 0.056 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 10.1 | - | - | - | 18.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0.2 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Lincoln \& Potter - Option 2]

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mov } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Turn | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | f Queue Distance ft | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Average Speed mph |
| South: Lincoln Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | L2 | 962 | 0.0 | 0.618 | 10.6 | LOS B | 5.9 | 147.4 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 33.8 |
| 8 | T1 | 201 | 0.0 | 0.244 | 4.6 | LOS A | 1.4 | 34.7 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 36.9 |
| 18 | R2 | 71 | 0.0 | 0.244 | 4.9 | LOS A | 1.4 | 34.7 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 35.7 |
| Appr | ch | 1234 | 0.0 | 0.618 | 9.3 | LOS A | 5.9 | 147.4 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 34.4 |
| East: Potter Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.137 | 13.9 | LOS B | 0.6 | 15.6 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 34.5 |
| 6 | T1 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.137 | 7.9 | LOS A | 0.6 | 15.6 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 34.4 |
| 16 | R2 | 16 | 0.0 | 0.137 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 15.6 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 33.4 |
| Appr |  | 87 | 0.0 | 0.137 | 10.5 | LOS B | 0.6 | 15.6 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 34.2 |
| North: Lincoln Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 27 | 1.0 | 0.985 | 58.9 | LOS E | 22.3 | 561.9 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 3.12 | 20.9 |
| 4 | T1 | 408 | 1.0 | 0.985 | 53.0 | LOS E | 22.3 | 561.9 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 3.12 | 20.9 |
| 14 | R2 | 92 | 1.0 | 0.985 | 53.0 | LOS E | 22.3 | 561.9 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 3.12 | 20.5 |
| Approach |  | 527 | 1.0 | 0.985 | 53.3 | LOS D | 22.3 | 561.9 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 3.12 | 20.8 |
| West: Potter Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | L2 | 109 | 0.0 | 0.551 | 13.1 | LOS B | 4.9 | 122.1 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 35.5 |
| 2 | T1 | 16 | 0.0 | 0.551 | 7.2 | LOS A | 4.9 | 122.1 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 35.4 |
| 12 | R2 | 413 | 0.0 | 0.551 | 7.2 | LOS A | 4.9 | 122.1 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 34.3 |
| Appr |  | 538 | 0.0 | 0.551 | 8.4 | LOS A | 4.9 | 122.1 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 34.6 |
| All V | cles | 2386 | 0.2 | 0.985 | 18.8 | LOS B | 22.3 | 561.9 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 1.16 | 30.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

[^1]HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysiṡncoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 8: Lincoln St/Lincoln St. \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln－Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 14：Lakeway Dr \＆King St

Future（2040）Weekday PM Peak Hour－Option 3

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 㻢 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 355 | 1005 | 185 | 25 | 720 | 260 | 155 | 100 | 100 | 70 | 45 | 350 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 355 | 1005 | 185 | 25 | 720 | 260 | 155 | 100 | 100 | 70 | 45 | 350 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1728 | 3340 |  | 1711 | 3242 |  | 1745 | 1675 |  | 1728 | 1818 | 1546 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 140 | 3340 |  | 1711 | 3242 |  | 1745 | 1675 |  | 1728 | 1818 | 1546 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 374 | 1058 | 195 | 26 | 758 | 274 | 163 | 105 | 105 | 74 | 47 | 368 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 374 | 1243 | 0 | 26 | 1007 | 0 | 163 | 185 | 0 | 74 | 47 | 96 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 11 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 11 |  |  | 11 | 11 |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 78.0 | 57.0 |  | 16.0 | 47.0 |  | 22.0 | 30.0 |  | 22.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 78.0 | 57.0 |  | 16.0 | 47.0 |  | 22.0 | 30.0 |  | 22.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.54 | 0.39 |  | 0.11 | 0.32 |  | 0.15 | 0.21 |  | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 360 | 1312 |  | 188 | 1050 |  | 264 | 346 |  | 262 | 376 | 319 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．19 | 0.37 |  | 0.02 | 0.31 |  | c0．09 | c0．11 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.95 |  | 0.14 | 0.96 |  | 0.62 | 0.54 |  | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.30 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 47.7 | 42.5 |  | 58.3 | 48.1 |  | 57.6 | 51.3 |  | 54.5 | 46.8 | 48.6 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.19 | 0.53 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 57.9 | 14.0 |  | 0.2 | 13.9 |  | 4.9 | 2.0 |  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Delay（s） | 105.6 | 56.5 |  | 69.6 | 39.6 |  | 62.4 | 53.3 |  | 55.3 | 47.0 | 49.4 |
| Level of Service | F | E |  | E | D |  | E | D |  | E | D | D |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 67.8 |  |  | 40.3 |  |  | 57.3 |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 56.1 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of | Service |  | E |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 145.0 |  | Sum of lost | time（s） |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 85．8\％ |  | CU Level of | Service |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [King \& Potter \& I-5 NB Ramps - Option 3]

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Turn | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | of Queue Distance | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Average Speed mph |
| South: King Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3a | L1 | 667 | 0.0 | 0.562 | 9.5 | LOS A | 4.3 | 106.8 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 34.4 |
| 8 | T1 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.562 | 4.8 | LOS A | 4.3 | 106.8 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 34.7 |
| 18 | R2 | 68 | 0.0 | 0.562 | 4.8 | LOS A | 4.3 | 106.8 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 33.7 |
| Appro |  | 745 | 0.0 | 0.562 | 9.0 | LOS A | 4.3 | 106.8 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 34.3 |
| East: Potter Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 26 | 0.0 | 0.377 | 13.5 | LOS B | 2.6 | 64.1 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 35.8 |
| 16a | R1 | 302 | 0.0 | 0.377 | 7.2 | LOS A | 2.6 | 64.1 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 35.3 |
| 16 | R2 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.377 | 7.6 | LOS A | 2.6 | 64.1 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 34.6 |
| Appro |  | 333 | 0.0 | 0.377 | 7.7 | LOS A | 2.6 | 64.1 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 35.4 |
| North: King Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.023 | 15.5 | LOS B | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 33.9 |
| 4 | T1 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.023 | 9.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 33.8 |
| 14b | R3 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.023 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 32.5 |
| Appro |  | 16 | 0.0 | 0.023 | 11.6 | LOS B | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 33.4 |
| SouthWest: I-5 NB Off Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 x | L2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.360 | 10.0 | LOS A | 2.7 | 68.6 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 37.7 |
| 5 ax | L1 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.360 | 8.7 | LOS A | 2.7 | 68.6 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 37.3 |
| 12ax | R1 | 135 | 0.0 | 0.360 | 3.7 | LOS A | 2.7 | 68.6 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 37.2 |
| 12bx | R3 | 370 | 0.0 | 0.360 | 4.2 | LOS A | 2.7 | 68.6 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 36.0 |
| Approach |  | 511 | 0.0 | 0.360 | 4.1 | LOS A | 2.7 | 68.6 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 36.3 |
| All Ve | icles | 1605 | 0.0 | 0.562 | 7.2 | LOS A | 4.3 | 106.8 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 35.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

[^2]
## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Lincoln \& Potter - Option 3]

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Turn | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | of Queue Distance ft | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Average Speed mph |
| South: Lincoln Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | L2 | 217 | 0.0 | 0.343 | 10.7 | LOS B | 2.1 | 53.1 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 35.5 |
| 8 | T1 | 152 | 0.0 | 0.343 | 4.8 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.1 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 35.3 |
| 18 | R2 | 60 | 0.0 | 0.343 | 4.9 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.1 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 34.3 |
| Appr |  | 429 | 0.0 | 0.343 | 7.8 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.1 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 35.3 |
| East: Potter Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.085 | 11.8 | LOS B | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 35.3 |
| 6 | T1 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.085 | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 35.2 |
| 16 | R2 | 16 | 0.0 | 0.085 | 6.0 | LOS A | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 34.2 |
| Appr |  | 87 | 0.0 | 0.085 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.4 | 11.2 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 35.0 |
| North: Lincoln Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 27 | 1.0 | 0.416 | 11.2 | LOS B | 2.7 | 67.0 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 36.3 |
| 4 | T1 | 370 | 1.0 | 0.416 | 5.3 | LOS A | 2.7 | 67.0 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 36.2 |
| 14 | R2 | 98 | 1.0 | 0.416 | 5.4 | LOS A | 2.7 | 67.0 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 35.1 |
| Approach |  | 495 | 1.0 | 0.416 | 5.6 | LOS A | 2.7 | 67.0 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 36.0 |
| West: Potter Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | L2 | 163 | 0.0 | 0.202 | 11.6 | LOS B | 1.2 | 28.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 34.5 |
| 2 | T1 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.202 | 5.6 | LOS A | 1.2 | 28.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 34.4 |
| 12 | R2 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.202 | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.2 | 28.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 33.4 |
| Appr |  | 217 | 0.0 | 0.202 | 10.1 | LOS B | 1.2 | 28.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 34.3 |
| All V | cles | 1228 | 0.4 | 0.416 | 7.4 | LOS A | 2.7 | 67.0 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 35.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

[^3]HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 8: Lincoln St/Lincoln St. \& Lakeway Dr

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 4


C Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysisincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 14: Lakeway Dr \& King St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 4


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | \% |  | 「 | \% |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 925 | 0 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 925 | 0 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - |  | 16983 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 964 | 0 | 68 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study 25: Lincoln St. \& Potter St

Future (2040) Weekday PM Peak Hour - Option 4


Notes
User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

| ID | Group | Project Name | Project Limits | Project Description | Screening Conclusions | Analysis Conclusions | Timing (Short, Mid, Long) and Priority (High, Med, Low) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | A. Lincoln/Lakeway | Lincoln St / Lakeway Dr Bike Facililies | Intersection | Add bike facilities (bike lanes and bike boxes) to support bike system improvements to Lakeway Dr and Lincoln St. | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume 1012 ' sidewalk on north side | CONSOLIDATE; combine with Project 37a | NA |
| 6 | A. Lincoln/Lakeway | Lincoln St / Lakeway Dr Rechannelization | Intersection | Add separate SBR turn lane by removing parking on east side of Lincoln St. | NOT ADVANCED; SBR not <br> high volume; may get SBR with <br> Lincoln road diet |  |  |
| 7 | A. Lincoln/Lakeway | Lincoln St / Lakeway Dr Signal Improvements | Intersection | Modify signal and install Leading Pedestrian Intervals (LPIs). | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost | CONSOLIDATE; combine with Project 37a | NA |
| 35 | A. Lincoln/Lakeway | Lincoln St / Lakeway Dr Protected | Intersection | Rechannelize/expand intersection to provide protected bicycle lanes at the intersection; requires removal of WB transit queue jump | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume 1012 ' sidewalk on north side | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-High |
| 13 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Rechannelization (Opt1) | Lakeway Dr, between Ellis St to Puget St | Construct two-way raised multiuse pathway (10-foot shared sidewalk) on north side of street. Includes driveway consolidation to improve safety | ANALYZE; determine overall footprint and operations; related to access management projects | CONSOLIDATE; combine with Project 37a | NA |
| 14 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Rechannelization (Opt2) | Lakeway Dr, between Ellis St to Puget St | Road diet to 3 lanes, add buffered bike lanes on each side of street | NOT ADVANCED; not feasible given daily vehicle volumes |  |  |
| 15 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Rechannelization (Opt3) | Lakeway Dr, between Ellis St to Puget St | Rechannelize to reduce lane widths, add 5 -foot bike lane on each side of street | NOT ADVANCED; not feasible given daily vehicle volumes |  |  |
| 16 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Signal Upgrades | Lakeway Dr, between Ellis St to Puget St | Upgrade signal equipment to add signal coordination with WSDOT (l-5 SB Ramp) and HAWK signals for better progression through corridor. | FORWARD; project scope and benefit straighforward | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 19 | B. Lakeway Corridor | I-5 SB Ramp / Lakeway Dr Intersection Improvements | Intersection | Rechannelize to improve E-W ped/bike movements and add $10-\mathrm{ft}$ sidewalk on north side. Provide two left-turn lanes. Crosswalk on west leg adjusted to create center refuge island. | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume 1012 ' sidewalk on north side | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-High |
| 30 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Orleans St / Lakeway Dr Signal or Nevada St / Lakeway Dr Signal | Intersection | Depending on future development plans in the area, provide a signal at either Nevada St or Orleans St to facilitate better access from local neighborhoods. May require remove of HAWK near Orleans St | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume YMCA relocate to Civic Field | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-High |
| 37a | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 1 | Lakeway Dr, between James St to Lincoln St | Add multiuse path on north side. Add ccurb and/or consolidate driveways to restrict left-turn movements to/from midblock locations | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume 1012 ' sidewalk on north side | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-High |
| 37b | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 2 | Lakeway Dr, between Lincoln St to Orleans St | Add multiuse path on north side. Add ccurb and/or consolidate driveways to restrict left-turn movements to/from midblock locations. | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume 1012 ' sidewalk on north side | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-High |
| 37c | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr Multiuse Path and Access Management, Phase 3 | Lakeway Dr, between I-5 and Ellis St | Add multiuse path on north side. Requires utility relocation and street tree removals to avoid additional right-of-way and impacts to adjoining residential properties. | Revised from Project 13 | INCLUDE | Long-Med |


| ID | Group | Project Name | Project Limits | Project Description | Screening Conclusions | Analysis Conclusions | Timing (Short, Mid, Long) and Priority (High, Med, Low) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway Dr / King St Rechannelization and Signal Improvements | Intersection | Remove underutilized traffic movements (SBT, SBL, and WBL) to add green time to critical movements, reduce queueing, and provide safer pedestrian crossing on north leg. Combine with access management project. | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume 1012 sidewalk on north side | REMOVE | NA |
| 42 | B. Lakeway Corridor | Lakeway St / Nevada St Improvement | Intersection | Address future LOS issue | ANALYZE; consider possibilities related to access management | CONSOLIDATE; combine with Project 30 | Mid-Med |
| 24b | B. Lakeway Corridor | I-5 Ramp Metering: SB Ramps at Lakeway Dr | I-5 SB Ramp from Lakeway Dr | Add ramp meeting signals at this ramp. Requires additional storage through construction. | FORWARD; WSDOT priority, but outside scope of this study | INCLUDE | Long-Low |
| 24 | C. I-5/King/Potter IC | I-5 Ramp Metering: NB Ramps at King St | I-5 NB Ramp from King St | Add ramp meeting signals at this ramp. Requires additional storage through construction. | FORWARD; WSDOT priority, but outside scope of this study | INCLUDE | Long-Low |
| 34 | C. I-5/King/Potter IC | Lincoln St / Potter St Signal | Intersection | Install signal or roundabout | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; assume YMCA relocate to Civic Field | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-Med |
| 36 | C. 1-5/King/Potter IC | I-5 NB Ramps / King St / Potter St Intersection Improvement | Intersection | Construct compact roundabout | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, cost, and safety benefits | INCLUDE; project description updated | Mid-Med |
| 4 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Byron Ave / Lincoln St Green Bike Markings | Intersection | Install dashed green box bike markings in northbound Lincoln St bike lane across Byron Ave | FORWARD; straightforward project; incorporate into Lincoln Rechannelization project | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 47 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Byron Ave Sidewalk Improvement | Bryon Ave, Lincoln St to Ashley Ave | Construct missing sidewalk on north side of road. | FORWARD; WWU responsibility with future development of Lincoln Creek Site; Reprioritize in 2021-2022 PMP update | INCLUDE | Short-high |
| 8 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln St / Viking Cir HAWK Signal | Near intersection | Install HAWK signal with center island refuge near Lincoln St / Viking Cir to facilitate safe pedestrian crossing to WTA bus stops. | FORWARD; straightforward project; incorporate into Lincoln Rechannelization project | CONSOLIDATE; with Project 10a | Short-High |
| 9 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln St / Maple St Traffic Signal and Maple Street Sidewalk | Intersection | Install traffic signal | FORWARD; Required; incorporate into Lincoln project | INCLUDE or CONSOLIDATE with Project 10a | Short-High |
| 11 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln St Sidewalk | Lincoln St, between Elwood Ave and Maple St | Install sidewalk on west side of Lincoln St (requires road widening to 3 -lanes) | FORWARD; Pvt Development; possibly incorporate into Lincoln Street project | CONSOLIDATE; with Project 10a | Mid-High |
| 25 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln Creek Park and Ride Access Improvements | Lincoln Creek Park and Ride Frontage | Relocate access or add traffic controls to improve safety of access | FORWARD; WWU project; Not part of Lincoln Street project | INCLUDE | Long-Med |
| 41 | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln St / Byron Ave Improvement | Intersection | Address future LOS issue | NOT ADVANCED; to be reevaluated in future |  |  |
| 10a | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln St Road Diet, Phase 1 | Lincoln St, between Maple St and south Fred Meyer Driveway | Implement road diet to convert 5-lane road to $2 / 3$-lane road. Install buffered bike lanes on both sides of roadway. | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 10c | D. Lincoln Corridor | Lincoln St Road Diet, Phase 3 | Lincoln St, between south Fred Meyer Driveway and Lakeway Dr | Implement road diet to convert 5-lane road to $2 / 3$-lane road. Install bike lanes on both sides. Likely requires driveway relocation at Fred Meyer gas station, and may require shifting other Fred Meyer driveways for improved ped/bike safety and improved traffic flows. | ANALYZE; determine what it looks like and cost; needs to coordinate with LincolnLakeway intersection improvements | INCLUDE | Med-High |


| ID | Group | Project Name | Project Limits | Project Description | Screening Conclusions | Analysis Conclusions | Timing (Short, Mid, Long) and Priority (High, Med, Low) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | E. Meador Crossing | Enhanced Bike Facility on Meador Ave | Meador Ave/Lincoln St corridor, between James St and Potter St | Provide 12-ft multiuse path along curve section near I-5 undercrossing. Green bike markings at other conflict areas. | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, design, and cost; | INCLUDE; project description updated | Med-High |
| 33 | E. Meador Crossing | James St / Meador Ave Improvement | Intersection | Install signal or roundabout | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, design, and cost; | INCLUDE; project description | Med-High |
| 44 | E. Meador Crossing | Lincoln St / Fraser St Improvement | Intersection | Install signal or roundabout | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, design, and cost; | INCLUDE; project description updated | Med-High |
| 27a | F. I-5 Corridor Ped Crossing | I-5 Ped/Bike Overpass Crossing | I-5 Corridor, between Lakeway Dr and Samish Way | Construct pedestrian/bike overpass as safe and comfortable crossing of I-5 corridor away from interchanges. Consolidation Avenue ROW alignment. | ANALYZE; determine feasibility and cost estimate; Very low probability of funding sources. | INCLUDE; confirm with PMP and BMP Updates | Long-Low |
| 27b | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F. 1-5 Corridor Ped } \\ & \text { Crossing } \end{aligned}$ | 1-5 Ped/Bike Tunnel Crossing | I-5 Corridor, between Lakeway Dr and Samish Way | Bore a pedestrian/bike tunnel beneath I-5 as safe and comfortable crossing away from interchanges. Maple or Abbott Sts. | NOT ADVANCED; not considered feasible or fundable |  |  |
| 20 | G. I-5 Samish IC | I-5 SB On-Ramp / 36th St / Fielding Ave Intersection Improvement | Intersection | Install compact roundabout to improve operations and safety | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, design, and cost; | INCLUDE | Mid-Med |
| 21 | G. I-5 Samish IC | I-5 NB Off-Ramp / Samish Way Intersection Improvement | Intersection | Install compact roundabout to improve operations and safety | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, design, and cost; | INCLUDE | Mid-High |
| 45 | G. I-5 Samish IC | 36th St / Fielding Ave Bike Corridor Facility | 36th St, between Samish Way and Fielding Ave; Fielding Ave, between 32nd St and 36th St | Add bike facility per BMP (Eliminate from BMP in 2022 update as infeasible) | NOT ADVANCED; WSDOT ROW; Road widening required; not considered feasible |  |  |
| 17 | H. lowa/Ohio IC | I-5 NB Ramp / lowa St Rechannelization | Intersection | Add separate NBR lane to improve overall intersection capacity (more green time for east-west movements). | FORWARD; straightforward WSDOT project; | INCLUDE | Mid-Med |
| 18 | H. lowa/Ohio IC | I-5 SB Ramp / Ohio St Access Management | Ohio St, between I-5 and James St | Due to safety and congestion concerns, close WBR movement to King Street. Also add c-curb to restrict mid-block leftturn movements along Ohio St. | FORWARD; WSDOT priority, but outside scope of this study | INCLUDE | Long-Low |
| 22 | H. lowa/Ohio IC | I-5 Ramp Metering: NB Ramp at lowa St | I-5 NB Ramp from lowa St | Add ramp metering signals at this ramp. May require additional storage through construction or rechannelization. | FORWARD; WSDOT priority, but outside scope of this study | INCLUDE | Long-Low |
| 23 | H. lowa/Ohio IC | I-5 Ramp Metering: SB Ramp at Ohio St | I-5 SB Ramp from Ohio St | Add ramp meeting signals at this ramp. May require additional storage through construction or rechannelization. | FORWARD; WSDOT priority, but outside scope of this study | INCLUDE | Long-Low |
| 2 | I. North End | Meador Ave Bridge Reconstruction | Bridge on Meador Ave east of N State St | Reconstruct bridge over Whatcom Creek. Federal BRAC grant funding has been secured and construction scheduled 2022. | CONSTRUCTION 2022-2023 | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 3 | I. North End | James St Bridge Reconstruction | Bridge on James St north of Meador Ave | Reconstruct bridge over Whatcom Creek. Federal BRAC grant funding has been secured and construction scheduled 2022. | CONSTRUCTION 2022-2023 | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 12 | I. North End | Lincoln St Bicycle Boulevard | Lincoln St, between E North St and lowa | Install bicycle boulevard | FORWARD; Requires on-street parking removal; Reconsider in 2022 BMP update | INCLUDE | Long-Low |
| 32 | I. North End | James St Bicycle Boulevard | James St, between Meador and lowa | Install bicycle boulevard | NOT ADVANCED; Not feasible; James from lowa-Ohio is oneway SB; no bikeways on Ohio |  |  |
| 46a | I. North End | N State St Bike Corridor Facility, Phase 1 | N. State St, between York St and Meador Ave (Including NB 2-lane slip connection from Forest St to N. State Street) | Add bike facility per BMP | ANALYZE; determine feasibility, design, and cost; | INCLUDE; project description updated | Med-High |
| 46b | I. North End | N State St Bike Corridor Facility, Phase 2 | State St, between Meador Ave and Ohio St | Add bike facility per BMP | FORWARD; requires further study: 2022 BMP update | INCLUDE | Short-High |


| ID | Group | Project Name | Project Limits | Project Description | Screening Conclusions | Analysis Conclusions | Timing (Short, Mid, Long) and Priority (High, Med, Low) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | J. Other | ADA Upgrades at Transit Stops | Citywide WTA Routes | Upgrade ADA accessibility at 200 transit stops across the City as identified and prioritized by WTA | FORWARD; City-WTA 50/50 Funding Partnership at \$75,000/year for 5 years | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 29a | J. Other | N Samish Way / Abbott St Signal | Intersection | Install traffic signal | FORWARD; part of Samish Village Plan | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 29b | J. Other | N Samish Way / Consolidation Ave Signal | Intersection | Install traffic signal | FORWARD; part of Samish Village Plan | INCLUDE | Short-High |
| 43 | J. Other | Ellis St / Forest St / York St Improvement | Intersection (Is this a congestion issue?) | Address future LOS issue (Confirm LOS findings) | NOT ADVANCED; not a key multimodal location |  |  |
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## Appendix F: Cost Estimate Sheets

# City of Bellingham <br> Lincoln/Lakeway Multimodal Improvements <br> Lakeway Drive Multi-Use Path - I-5 to Lincoln 

Transpo Job No. 1.19390 .00
City Job No.

## Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design

## Description of Work:

1. Rechannelize Lakeway Dr between I-5 and Lincoln Street with access management
2. Construct multiuse path on the north side of Lakeway Dr

Assumptions and Exclusions:

1. Does not include right of way cost
2. Unit costs are $15 \%$ higher than ES-552 \& ES-553 bid tabs (10/23 \& 6/18/2020)

Date completed: 8/22/2021
Checked by: BAS

|  | Item Description | Quantity | Unit |  | Unit Cost |  | Total | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type A Cement Concrete Curb and Gutter | 1180 | LF | \$ | 43.30 | \$ | 51,091 |  | 8.2\% |
|  | 4" Cement Concrete Sidewalk | 1266 | SY | \$ | 67.85 | \$ | 85,898 |  | 13.7\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Driveway | 93 | SY | \$ | 165.14 | \$ | 15,358 |  | 2.5\% |
|  | WMA CL. 1/2IN. PG 64-22" | 68 | TON | \$ | 201.25 | \$ | 13,685 |  | 2.2\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp | 5 | EA | \$ | 2,392.00 | \$ | 11,960 |  | 1.9\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp (Wide) | 3 | EA | \$ | 4,784.00 | \$ | 14,352 |  | 2.3\% |
|  | Detectable warning surface | 220 | SF | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | 10,120 |  | 1.6\% |
|  | Longitudinal striping (RPM) | 16 | HUND | \$ | 447.00 | \$ | 7,152 |  | 1.1\% |
|  | Solid Green Pavement Marking | 18 | SY | \$ | 146.05 | \$ | 2,629 |  | 0.4\% |
|  | Plastic stop bar | 129 | LF | \$ | 20.70 | \$ | 2,670 |  | 0.4\% |
|  | Plastic Turn Arrow | 6 | EA | \$ | 370.00 | \$ | 2,220 |  | 0.4\% |
|  | Plastic crosswalk | 594 | SF | \$ | 10.98 | \$ | 6,524 |  | 1.0\% |
|  | Cement traffic curb | 250 | LF | \$ | 57.50 | \$ | 14,375 |  | 114.7\% |
|  | Roadway Excavation incl. haul | 450 | CY | \$ | 34.50 | \$ | 15,525 |  | 12.4\% |
|  | Remove Pavement Markings | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 |  | 1.6\% |
|  | Remove asphalt concrete pavement \& obstructions | 250 | TON | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | 4,313 |  | 0.7\% |
|  | Clear and Grub | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 |  | 1.6\% |
|  | Sawcutting | 500 | IN-FT | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 374 |  | 0.1\% |
|  | Lawn installation with sod | 474 | SY | \$ | 26.45 | \$ | 12,537 |  | 2.0\% |
|  | Topsoil Type A | 474 | SY | \$ | 25.30 | \$ | 11,992 |  | 100.0\% |
|  | Catch Basin Type I (Thru Curb) | 2 | EA | \$ | 2,340.25 | \$ | 4,681 |  | 0.7\% |
|  | 8" PVC Storm Drain | 100 | LF | \$ | 63.25 | \$ | 6,325 |  | 1.0\% |
|  | Connect to Drainage Structure | 2 | EA | \$ | 902.75 | \$ | 1,806 |  | 0.3\% |
|  | Adjust Catch Basin (Solid, Slip-Resistant Lid) | 4 | EA | \$ | 897.00 | \$ | 3,588 |  | 0.6\% |
|  | Crushed surfacing top course | 52 | TN | \$ | 48.30 | \$ | 2,512 |  | 20.9\% |
|  | Gravel base | 147 | TN | \$ | 29.90 | \$ | 4,395 |  | 0.7\% |
|  | Utility pole relocations | 5 | EA | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | 250,000 |  | 39.9\% |
|  | Signal Modifications (King and Lakeway) | 1 | LS | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | 50,000 |  | 8.0\% |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 626,081 |  |  |
|  | Engineering Design | 20\% |  |  |  | \$ | 125,216 |  |  |
|  | City Project Management | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 62,608 |  |  |
|  | Mobilization | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 62,608 |  |  |
|  | Construction survey, SPCC and TESC | 5\% |  |  |  | \$ | 31,304 |  |  |
|  | Temporary Traffic Control | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 156,520 |  |  |
|  | Contingency | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 156,520 |  |  |

# City of Bellingham <br> Lincoln/Lakeway Multimodal Improvements <br> Lakeway Drive Multi-Use Path - Lincoln to Orleans 

Transpo Job No. 1.19390.00
City Job No.

## Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design

## Description of Work:

1. Crossing channelization at Lakeway and Lincoln
2. Access management on Lakeway east of Lincoln
3. Shared use path improvements on the north side of Lakeway to Orleans

Assumptions and Exclusions:

1. Does not include right of way cost
2. Unit costs are $15 \%$ higher than ES-552 \& ES-553 bid tabs (10/23 \& 6/18/2020)

Date completed: 8/22/2021
Checked by: BAS

|  | Item Description | Quantity | Unit |  | Unit Cost |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type A Cement Concrete Curb and Gutter | 57 | LF | \$ | 43.30 | \$ | 2,468 | 0.7\% |
|  | 4" Cement Concrete Sidewalk | 1367 | SY | \$ | 67.85 | \$ | 92,751 | 25.8\% |
|  | WMA CL. 1/2IN. PG 64-22" | 4 | TON | \$ | 201.25 | \$ | 805 | 0.2\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp | 1 | EA | \$ | 2,392.00 | \$ | 2,392 | 0.7\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp (Wide) | 1 | EA | \$ | 4,784.00 | \$ | 4,784 | 1.3\% |
|  | Detectable warning surface | 60 | SF | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | 2,760 | 0.8\% |
|  | Solid Green Pavement Marking | 46 | SY | \$ | 146.05 | \$ | 6,718 | 1.9\% |
|  | Plastic stop bar | 25 | LF | \$ | 20.70 | \$ | 518 | 0.1\% |
|  | Plastic crosswalk | 198 | SF | \$ | 10.98 | \$ | 2,175 | 0.6\% |
|  | Cement traffic curb | 952 | LF | \$ | 57.50 | \$ | 54,740 | 15.2\% |
|  | Remove Pavement Markings | 1 | LS | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000 | 0.3\% |
|  | Remove asphalt concrete pavement \& obstructions | 132 | TON | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | 2,277 | 0.6\% |
|  | Clear and Grub | 1 | LS | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000 | 1.4\% |
|  | Sawcutting | 4644 | IN-FT | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 3,471 | 1.0\% |
|  | Lawn installation with sod | 749 | SY | \$ | 26.45 | \$ | 19,811 | 5.5\% |
|  | Topsoil Type A | 749 | SY | \$ | 25.30 | \$ | 18,950 | 5.3\% |
|  | Catch Basin Type I (Thru Curb) | 1 | EA | \$ | 2,340.25 | \$ | 2,340 | 0.7\% |
|  | 8" PVC Storm Drain | 10 | LF | \$ | 63.25 | \$ | 633 | 0.2\% |
|  | Linear Drainage improvements | 1 | LS | \$ | 60,000.00 | \$ | 60,000 | 16.7\% |
|  | Connect to Drainage Structure | 1 | EA | \$ | 902.75 | \$ | 903 | 0.3\% |
|  | Crushed surfacing top course | 4 | TN | \$ | 48.30 | \$ | 193 | 0.1\% |
|  | Utility Conflicts | 1 | LS | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 75,000 | 20.9\% |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 359,688 |  |
|  | Engineering Design | 20\% |  |  |  | \$ | 71,938 |  |
|  | City Project Management | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 35,969 |  |
|  | Mobilization | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 35,969 |  |
|  | Construction survey, SPCC and TESC | 5\% |  |  |  | \$ | 17,984 |  |
|  | Temporary Traffic Control | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 89,922 |  |
|  | Contingency | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 89,922 |  |

# City of Bellingham <br> Lincoln/Lakeway Multimodal Improvements <br> Meador Ave Multi-Use Path - Signals at James and Fraser 

Transpo Job No. 1.19390 .00
City Job No.

## Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design

## Description of Work:

1. Install Muli-Use Path between James and Fraser north of Meador
2. Install signalized intersections at James and Fraser with Meador

Assumptions and Exclusions:

1. Does not include right of way cost
2. Unit costs are $15 \%$ higher than ES-552 \& ES-553 bid tabs (10/23 \& 6/18/2020)

Date completed: 8/22/2021
Checked by: BAS

|  | Item Description | Quantity | Unit |  | Unit Cost |  | Total | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4" Cement Concrete Sidewalk | 1655 | SY | \$ | 67.85 | \$ | 112,292 |  | 9.4\% |
|  | Type A Cement Concrete Curb and Gutter | 593 | LF | \$ | 43.30 | \$ | 25,675 |  | 2.2\% |
|  | WMA CL. 1/2IN. PG 64-22" | 29 | TON | \$ | 201.25 | \$ | 5,836 |  | 0.5\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp | 5 | EA | \$ | 2,392.00 | \$ | 11,960 |  | 1.0\% |
|  | Conrete Driveway | 81 | SY | \$ | 165.14 | \$ | 13,376 |  | 5.6\% |
|  | Detectable warning surface | 100 | SF | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | 4,600 |  | 0.4\% |
|  | Plastic crosswalk | 900 | SF | \$ | 10.98 | \$ | 9,884 |  | 0.8\% |
|  | Plastic Bike Lane Symbol | 8 | EA | \$ | 410.00 | \$ | 3,280 |  | 1.1\% |
|  | 4" White Plastic Line | 108 | LF | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 432 |  | 0.1\% |
|  | Strucutral Earth Wall | 1600 | FF | \$ | 94.30 | \$ | 150,880 |  | 50.6\% |
|  | Roadway Excavation incl. haul | 119 | CY | \$ | 34.50 | \$ | 4,106 |  | 1.4\% |
|  | Gravel borrow for wall | 30 | CY | \$ | 39.10 | \$ | 1,173 |  | 0.4\% |
|  | Remove Pavement Markings | 1 | LS | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 2,500 |  | 0.8\% |
|  | Remove asphalt concrete pavement | 100 | TON | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | 1,725 |  | 0.1\% |
|  | Sawcutting | 1800 | IN-FT | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 1,346 |  | 0.1\% |
|  | Lawn installation with sod | 500 | SY | \$ | 26.45 | \$ | 13,225 |  | 1.1\% |
|  | Topsoil Type A | 500 | SY | \$ | 25.30 | \$ | 12,650 |  | 1.1\% |
|  | Adjust Catch Basin | 3 | EA | \$ | 4,500.00 | \$ | 13,500 |  | 1.1\% |
|  | Transit stop relocation | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 |  | 8.4\% |
|  | Highway support/path interaction | 2 | EA | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 |  | 0.8\% |
|  | Multi-Use Path Mini Roundabout | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 |  | 0.8\% |
|  | Utility Conflicts | 1 | LS | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 25,000 |  | 2.1\% |
|  | Traffic Signal (Complete) | 2 | EA | \$ | 375,000.00 | \$ | 750,000 |  | 62.8\% |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,193,440 |  |  |
|  | Engineering Design | 20\% |  |  |  | \$ | 238,688 |  |  |
|  | City Project Management | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 119,344 |  |  |
|  | Mobilization | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 119,344 |  |  |
|  | Construction survey, SPCC and TESC | 5\% |  |  |  | \$ | 59,672 |  |  |
|  | Temporary Traffic Control | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 298,360 |  |  |
|  | Contingency | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 298,360 |  |  |

# City of Bellingham <br> Lincoln/Lakeway Multimodal Improvements <br> Meador Ave Multi-Use Path - Signals at James and Fraser <br> Transpo Job No. 1.19390.00 <br> City Job No. <br> <br> Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design 

 <br> <br> Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design}
(LL Projects 31, 33, 44)
Roundabouts

Description of Work:

1. Install Muli-Use Path between James and Fraser north of Meador
2. Install compact roundabout intersections at James and Fraser with Meador (within ROW)

Assumptions and Exclusions:

1. Does not include right of way cost
2. Unit costs are $15 \%$ higher than ES-552 \& ES-553 bid tabs $(10 / 23 \& 6 / 18 / 2020)$
Date completed: 8/22/2021
Checked by: BAS

|  | Item Description | Quantity | Unit |  | Unit Cost |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4" Cement Concrete Sidewalk | 2212 | SY | \$ | 67.85 | \$ | 150,084 | 16.7\% |
|  | 4" Cement Concrete, Stamped | 184 | SY | \$ | 172.50 | \$ | 31,740 | 3.5\% |
|  | Type A Cement Concrete Curb and Gutter | 1208 | LF | \$ | 43.30 | \$ | 52,303 | 5.8\% |
|  | Rolled Cement Concrete Curb and Gutter | 202 | LF | \$ | 64.69 | \$ | 13,067 | 1.5\% |
|  | WMA CL. 1/2IN. PG 64-22" | 834 | TON | \$ | 201.25 | \$ | 167,843 | 18.7\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp | 8 | EA | \$ | 2,392.00 | \$ | 19,136 | 2.1\% |
|  | Conrete Driveway | 81 | SY | \$ | 165.14 | \$ | 13,376 | 1.5\% |
|  | Detectable warning surface | 160 | SF | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | 7,360 | 0.8\% |
|  | Plastic crosswalk | 288 | SF | \$ | 10.98 | \$ | 3,163 | 0.4\% |
|  | Plastic Yield Bar | 80 | LF | \$ | 23.00 | \$ | 1,840 | 0.2\% |
|  | Plastic Bike Lane Symbol | 8 | EA | \$ | 410.00 | \$ | 3,280 | 0.4\% |
|  | 4" Plastic Line | 2110 | LF | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 8,440 | 0.9\% |
|  | Strucutral Earth Wall | 1600 | FF | \$ | 94.30 | \$ | 150,880 | 16.8\% |
|  | Roadway Excavation incl. haul | 119 | CY | \$ | 34.50 | \$ | 4,106 | 0.5\% |
|  | Gravel borrow for wall | 30 | CY | \$ | 39.10 | \$ | 1,173 | 0.1\% |
|  | Remove Pavement Markings | 1 | LS | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 2,500 | 0.3\% |
|  | Remove asphalt concrete pavement | 2325 | TON | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | 40,106 | 4.5\% |
|  | Sawcutting | 3000 | IN-FT | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 2,243 | 0.2\% |
|  | Lawn installation with sod | 1500 | SY | \$ | 26.45 | \$ | 39,675 | 4.4\% |
|  | Topsoil Type A | 1500 | SY | \$ | 25.30 | \$ | 37,950 | 4.2\% |
|  | Adjust Catch Basin | 3 | EA | \$ | 4,500.00 | \$ | 13,500 | 1.5\% |
|  | Crushed surfacing top course | 370 | TN | \$ | 48.30 | \$ | 17,871 | 2.0\% |
|  | Gravel Base | 1400 | TN | \$ | 29.90 | \$ | 41,860 | 4.7\% |
|  | Catch Basin Type I (Thru Curb) | 4 | EA | \$ | 2,340.25 | \$ | 9,361 | 22.4\% |
|  | 8" PVC Storm Drain | 100 | LF | \$ | 63.25 | \$ | 6,325 | 15.1\% |
|  | Connect to Drainage Structure | 4 | EA | \$ | 902.75 | \$ | 3,611 | 8.6\% |
|  | Transit stop relocation | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 | 1.1\% |
|  | Highway support/path interaction | 2 | EA | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 | 1.1\% |
|  | Utility Conflicts | 1 | LS | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 25,000 | 2.8\% |
|  | Multi-Use Path Mini Roundabout | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 | 1.1\% |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 897,793 |  |
|  | Engineering Design | 20\% |  |  |  | \$ | 179,559 |  |
|  | City Project Management | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 89,779 |  |
|  | Mobilization | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 89,779 |  |
|  | Construction survey, SPCC and TESC | 5\% |  |  |  | \$ | 44,890 |  |
|  | Temporary Traffic Control | 35\% |  |  |  | \$ | 314,227 |  |
|  | Contingency | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 224,448 |  |

## City of Bellingham <br> Lincoln/Lakeway Multimodal Improvements <br> Lincoln Street Buffered Bike Lanes - Maple to Lakeway

Transpo Job No. 1.19390 .00
City Job No.

## Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design

Description of Work:

1. Rechannelize Lincoln Street to include buffered bike lanes
2. Relocate Transit stops
3. Provide midblock RRFB crossing
4. Relocate one driveway south of Lakeway
5. Traffic signal at Maple Street

Assumptions and Exclusions:

1. Does not include right of way cost
2. Does not include costs of fully protected intersection at Lakeway
3. Unit costs are $15 \%$ higher than ES-552 \& ES-553 bid tabs ( $10 / 23$ \& 6/18/2020)

Date completed: 8/22/2021
Checked by: BAS

|  | Item Description | Quantity | Unit |  | Unit Cost |  | Total | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plastic Bike Lane Marking Symbol | 15 | EA | \$ | 410.00 | \$ | 6,150 |  | 1.0\% |
|  | Longitudinal striping (plastic) | 13229 | LF | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 52,916 |  | 9.0\% |
|  | Longitudinal striping (RPM) | 32 | HUND | \$ | 447.00 | \$ | 14,304 |  | 2.4\% |
|  | Buffer striping (hatching) | 769 | LF | \$ | 6.00 | \$ | 4,614 |  | 0.8\% |
|  | Green painted bike lane | 5 | SY | \$ | 146.05 | \$ | 730 |  | 0.1\% |
|  | Plastic Crosswalk | 198 | SF | \$ | 10.98 | \$ | 2,175 |  | 0.4\% |
|  | Plastic Turn Arrow | 20 | EA | \$ | 370.00 | \$ | 7,400 |  | 1.3\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp | 12 | EA | \$ | 2,392.00 | \$ | 28,704 |  | 4.9\% |
|  | WMA CL. 1/2IN. PG 64-22" | 18 | TON | \$ | 201.25 | \$ | 3,623 |  | 6.2\% |
|  | Detectable Warning Surface | 240 | SF | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | 11,040 |  | 1.9\% |
|  | Conrete Driveway | 28 | SY | \$ | 165.14 | \$ | 4,624 |  | 0.8\% |
|  | Mountable curb | 153 | LF | \$ | 63.42 | \$ | 9,704 |  | 1.6\% |
|  | Cement traffic curb | 199 | LF | \$ | 57.50 | \$ | 11,443 |  | 1.9\% |
|  | Median Island (concrete fill) | 5 | CY | \$ | 350.75 | \$ | 1,754 |  | 0.3\% |
|  | Transit Island (concrete) | 23 | CY | \$ | 263.06 | \$ | 6,050 |  | 1.0\% |
|  | Transit stop relocation | 1 | LS | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 |  | 1.7\% |
|  | RRFB System, Complete, Solar | 1 | EA | \$ | 23,000.00 | \$ | 23,000 |  | 3.9\% |
|  | Remove Conflicting Pavement Markings | 1 | LS | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 15,000 |  | 2.6\% |
|  | Traffic Signal (Maple St) | 1 | EA | \$ | 375,000.00 | \$ | 375,000 |  | 63.8\% |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 588,230 |  |  |
|  | Engineering Design | 20\% |  |  |  | \$ | 117,646 |  |  |
|  | City Project Management | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 58,823 |  |  |
|  | Mobilization | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 58,823 |  |  |
|  | Construction survey, SPCC and TESC | 5\% |  |  |  | \$ | 29,411 |  |  |
|  | Temporary Traffic Control | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 147,057 |  |  |
|  | Contingency | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 147,057 |  |  |

## City of Bellingham <br> Lincoln/Lakeway Multimodal Improvements <br> Lakeway Drive Multi-Use Path - I-5 to Ellis

(LL Project 37c)

Transpo Job No. 1.19390.00
City Job No.

## Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost for Planning Level Design

Description of Work:

1. Construct multiuse path on the north side of Lakeway Dr
2. Extend median island at $\mathrm{I}-5$ ramp to create refuge

Assumptions and Exclusions:

1. Includes an estimate for right of way acquisiton and relocations
2. Unit costs are $15 \%$ higher than ES-552 \& ES-553 bid tabs ( $10 / 23$ \& 6/18/2020)

Date completed: 10/19/2021
Checked by: BAS

|  | Item Description | Quantity | Unit |  | Unit Cost |  | Total | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type A Cement Concrete Curb and Gutter | 950 | LF | \$ | 43.30 | \$ | 41,133 |  | 5.2\% |
|  | 4" Cement Concrete Sidewalk | 1095 | SY | \$ | 67.85 | \$ | 74,296 |  | 9.3\% |
|  | WMA CL. 1/2IN. PG 64-22" | 61 | TON | \$ | 201.25 | \$ | 12,276 |  | 1.5\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp | 3 | EA | \$ | 3,500.00 | \$ | 10,500 |  | 1.3\% |
|  | Cement Concrete Curb Ramp (Wide) | 7 | EA | \$ | 4,800.00 | \$ | 33,600 |  | 4.2\% |
|  | Detectable warning surface | 340 | SF | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | 15,640 |  | 2.0\% |
|  | Roadway Excavation incl. haul | 523 | CY | \$ | 34.50 | \$ | 18,044 |  | 2.3\% |
|  | Remove asphalt concrete pavement \& obstructions Remove private improvements (fences, walls, landscaping, | 1 | LS | \$ | 75,000 | \$ | 75,000 |  | 9.4\% |
|  | etc.) | 1 | LS | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |  | 3.1\% |
|  | Clear and Grub | 1 | LS | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 |  | 3.8\% |
|  | Sawcutting | 7600 | IN-FT | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 5,681 |  | 0.7\% |
|  | Lawn installation with sod | 400 | SY | \$ | 26.45 | \$ | 10,580 |  | 1.3\% |
|  | Topsoil Type A | 400 | SY | \$ | 25.30 | \$ | 10,120 |  | 1.3\% |
|  | Retaining walls (<4') | 150 | FF | \$ | 95.00 | \$ | 14,250 |  | 1.8\% |
|  | Catch Basin Type I (Thru Curb) | 8 | EA | \$ | 2,340.25 | \$ | 18,722 |  | 2.4\% |
|  | 8" PVC Storm Drain | 120 | LF | \$ | 63.25 | \$ | 7,590 |  | 1.0\% |
|  | Connect to Drainage Structure | 8 | EA | \$ | 902.75 | \$ | 7,222 |  | 0.9\% |
|  | Adjust Catch Basin (Solid, Slip-Resistant Lid) | 8 | EA | \$ | 897.00 | \$ | 7,176 |  | 0.9\% |
|  | Crushed surfacing top course | 281 | TN | \$ | 48.30 | \$ | 13,572 |  | 1.7\% |
|  | Gravel base | 799 | TN | \$ | 29.90 | \$ | 23,890 |  | 3.0\% |
|  | Bikeway delineator | 950 | LF | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 71,250 |  | 9.0\% |
|  | Utility pole relocations | 7 | EA | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 210,000 |  | 26.4\% |
|  | Illumination poles | 6 | EA | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 60,000 |  | 7.5\% |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 795,542 |  |  |
|  | Engineering Design | 20\% |  |  |  | \$ | 159,108 |  |  |
|  | City Project Management | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 79,554 |  |  |
|  | Mobilization | 10\% |  |  |  | \$ | 79,554 |  |  |
|  | Construction survey, SPCC and TESC | 5\% |  |  |  | \$ | 39,777 |  |  |
|  | Temporary Traffic Control | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 198,885 |  |  |
|  | Contingency | 25\% |  |  |  | \$ | 198,885 |  |  |



Lakeway Dr
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Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate.
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis.
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools.
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study

(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 13: Lakeway Dr Rechannelizaton (Opt 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Clearing and Grubbing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | AC | \$10,000.00 | \$0 |
| 2 | Sawcutting | 380 |  | 6 | 2280 | LF-IN | \$0.75 | \$1,710 |
| 3 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000 |
| 4 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement | 240 | 8 | 0.5 | 73 | TN | \$17.25 | \$1,257 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$27,967 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | 240 | 8 | 1 | 71 | CY | \$23.00 | \$1,636 |
| 6 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Road and Utility Trenches) | 140 | 3.5 | 2 | 74 | TN | \$35.00 | \$2,604 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$4,240 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$2,300.00 | \$4,600 |
| 8 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In . Diam. |  |  |  | 140 | LF | \$52.00 | \$7,280 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$11,880 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul | 20 | 12 | 16 | 142 | CY | \$30.00 | \$4,267 |
| 10 | Shoring or Extra Excavation CI. A | 16 |  | 16 | 1 | LS | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000 |
| 11 | Gravity Block Wall (at end of ex. bridge abutment) | 20 |  | 8 | 160 | SF | \$48.00 | \$7,680 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$12,947 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course (2" Depth) | 380 | 1.5 | 0.17 | 7 | TN | \$48.30 | \$338 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$338 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 (6" Depth) | 780 |  | 0.5 | 32 | TN | \$201.25 | \$6,482 |
| 14 | Longitudinal Joint Seal | 380 |  |  | 380 | LF | \$1.50 | \$570 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$7,052 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  | 1 | EST | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter | 250 |  |  | 250 | LF | \$43.30 | \$10,825 |
| 16 | Temporary Pavement Marking |  |  |  | 2280 | LF | \$1.00 | \$2,280 |
| 17 | Plastic Crosswalk Line | 126 | 2 |  | 252 | SF | \$11.00 | \$2,772 |
| 18 | Plastic Stop Line | 33 | 2 |  | 66 | SF | \$20.70 | \$1,366 |
| 19 | Plastic Traffic Arrow |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$370.00 | \$740 |
| 20 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 1 | 800 |  |  | 2 | HUND | \$447.00 | \$894 |
| 21 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 2 |  |  |  | 0.5 | HUND | \$310.00 | \$155 |
| 22 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000 |
| 23 | Illumination System No. 1 (for under bridge) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
| 24 | Reset Existing Signal Loops |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$6,000.00 | \$6,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$46,032 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 140 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 64 | CY | \$18.00 | \$1,143 |
| 26 | Cement Conc. Sidewalk | 240 | 10 | 0.33 | 267 | SY | \$67.85 | \$18,093 |
| 27 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$2,400.00 | \$2,400 |
| 28 | Truncated Domes |  |  |  | 12 | SF | \$46.00 | \$552 |
| 29 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 30 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 31 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 32 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$4,500.00 | \$4,500 |
| 33 | Landscape Plantings |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,500.00 | \$3,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$55,189 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$165,645 |



Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate.
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis.
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools.
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study

(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 19: I-5 SB Ramps / Lakeway Dr Rechannelizaton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Clearing and Grubbing | 385 | 12 |  | 0.1 | AC | \$10,000.00 | \$1,061 |
| 2 | Sawcutting | 1024 |  | 6 | 6144 | LF-IN | \$0.75 | \$4,608 |
| 3 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$27,000.00 | \$27,000 |
| 4 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement | 1024 | 3 |  | 117 | TN | \$17.25 | \$2,012 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$34,680 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Road and Utility Trenches) | 150 | 3 | 2.5 | 85 | TN | \$35.00 | \$2,990 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$2,990 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 6 | EA | \$2,300.00 | \$13,800 |
| 7 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 150 | LF | \$52.00 | \$7,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$21,600 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul | 50 | 8 | 4 | 59 | CY | \$30.00 | \$1,778 |
| 9 | Shoring or Extra Excavation CI. A |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000 |
| 10 | Gravity Block Wall (S.W. and N.E. Quadrants) | 50 |  | 4 | 200 | SF | \$48.00 | \$9,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$12,378 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Gravel Base (Road) (12" Depth) | 425 | 6 | 1 | 194 | TN | \$30.00 | \$5,808 |
| 12 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course (2" Depth) | 4047.5 |  | 0.17 | 50 | TN | \$48.00 | \$2,385 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$8,194 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 | 3448.5 |  | 0.33 | 94 | TN | \$201.25 | \$18,916 |
| 14 | Longitudinal Joint Seal |  |  |  | 1,200 | LF | \$1.50 | \$1,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$20,716 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  | 1 | EST | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter | 630 |  |  | 630 | LF | \$43.00 | \$27,090 |
| 16 | Cement Conc. Pedestrian Curb |  |  |  | 20 | LF | \$58.00 | \$1,160 |
| 17 | Plastic Line | 1700 |  |  | 1700 | LF | \$4.00 | \$6,800 |
| 18 | Plastic Crosswalk Line | 315 | 2 |  | 630 | SF | \$11.00 | \$6,930 |
| 19 | Plastic Stop Line | 119 | 2 |  | 238 | SF | \$21.00 | \$4,998 |
| 20 | Plastic Traffic Arrow |  |  |  | 8 | EA | \$370.00 | \$2,960 |
| 21 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 1 | 260 |  |  | 0.4 | HUND | \$447.00 | \$179 |
| 22 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 2 |  |  |  | 0.2 | HUND | \$310.00 | \$62 |
| 23 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,500.00 | \$3,500 |
| 24 | Existing Traffic/Ped Signal Modifications |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$525,000.00 | \$525,000 |
| 25 | Reset Existing Signal Loops |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$581,179 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 150 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 88 | CY | \$18.00 | \$1,575 |
| 27 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B (Piping) | 300 |  | 4.5 | 1,350 | SF | \$2.00 | \$2,700 |
| 28 | Cement Conc. Sidewalk | 2260 |  |  | 251 | SY | \$68.00 | \$17,076 |
| 29 | Cement Conc. Driveway | 20 | 10 | 0.5 | 22 | SY | \$165.00 | \$3,667 |
| 30 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$2,400.00 | \$9,600 |
| 31 | Truncated Domes | 36 | 2 |  | 72 | SF | \$46.00 | \$3,312 |
| 32 | Adjust Manhole or Catch Basin |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$600.00 | \$1,200 |
| 33 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 34 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
| 35 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 36 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$4,000.00 | \$4,000 |
| 37 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 38 | Construction Geotextile for Soil Stabilization | 425 | 6 |  | 283 | SY | \$1.60 | \$453 |
| 39 | Stormwater Management (WQ) Structure |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000 |
| 40 | Landscape Plantings |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$138,583 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$820,318 |

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost



## Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis.
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools.
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study
(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 20: 1-5 SB On-Ramp / 36th St / Fielding Ave Intersection Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Clearing and Grubbing | 1080 | 15 |  | 0.4 | AC | \$10,000.00 | \$3,719 |
| 2 | Sawcutting | 110 |  | 6 | 660 | LF-IN | \$0.75 | \$495 |
| 3 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000 |
| 4 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement | 49000 |  | 0.5 | 1,860 | TN | \$17.25 | \$32,088 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$39,302 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | 57150 |  | 1 | 2117 | CY | \$23.00 | \$48,683 |
| 6 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Road and Utilities) | 15592.5 |  |  | 1,184 | TN | \$35.00 | \$41,436 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$90,119 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Ditch Excavation Incl. Haul | 540 | 4 | 2 | 160 | CY | \$14.00 | \$2,240 |
| 8 | Quarry Spalls | 20 | 7 | 2 | 10 | CY | \$55.00 | \$570 |
| 9 | Schedule A Culv. Pipe 18 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 40 | LF | \$75.00 | \$3,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$5,810 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 10 | EA | \$2,300.00 | \$23,000 |
| 11 | Catch Basin Type 2-48 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$3,400.00 | \$3,400 |
| 12 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In . Diam. |  |  |  | 450 | LF | \$52.00 | \$23,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$49,800 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul | 150 | 10 | 5 | 278 | CY | \$30.00 | \$8,333 |
| 14 | Shoring or Extra Excavation CI. A | 150 |  | 5 | 1 | LS | \$2,200.00 | \$2,200 |
| 15 | Gravity Block Wall (west side of roundabout) | 150 |  | 5 | 750 | SF | \$48.00 | \$36,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$46,533 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Gravel Base (Road) (12") | 35700 |  | 1 | 2,711 | TN | \$30.00 | \$81,317 |
| 17 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course (3") | 56000 |  | 0.25 | 1,063 | TN | \$48.00 | \$51,022 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$132,339 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 | 56000 | 1 | 0.33 | 1,526 | TN | \$201.25 | \$307,170 |
| 19 | Longitudinal Joint Seal |  |  |  | 110 | LF | \$1.50 | \$165 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$307,335 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  | 1 | EST | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter - Std. and RAB | 2096 |  |  | 2100 | LF | \$43.00 | \$90,300 |
|  | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb |  |  |  | 100 | LF | \$58.00 | \$5,800 |
| 21 | Cement Conc. Pedestrian Curb |  |  |  | 30 | LF | \$32.00 | \$960 |
| 23 | Flexible Guide Post |  |  |  | 20 | EA | \$41.00 | \$820 |
| 24 | Plastic Line | 3050 |  |  | 3050 | LF | \$4.00 | \$12,200 |
| 25 | Plastic Crosswalk Line | 10 | 2 | 12 | 240 | SF | \$11.00 | \$2,640 |
| 26 | Plastic Bicycle Lane Symbol |  |  |  | 6 | EA | \$410.00 | \$2,460 |
| 27 | Plastic Yield Line Symbol | 7 |  | 3 | 21 | EA | \$200.00 | \$4,200 |
| 28 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 1 |  |  |  | 5 | HUND | \$447.00 | \$2,235 |
| 29 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 2 |  |  |  | 2 | HUND | \$310.00 | \$620 |
| 30 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
|  | Illumination System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$184,735 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 490 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 286 | CY | \$9.00 | \$2,573 |
| 33 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B (Piping) | 490 |  | 4.5 | 2,205 | SF | \$0.90 | \$1,985 |
| 34 | Cement Conc. Sidewalk (Standard and Stamped) | 7590 |  |  | 843 | SY | \$68.00 | \$57,347 |
| 35 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$2,400.00 | \$9,600 |
| 36 | Truncated Domes |  |  |  | 160 | SF | \$46.00 | \$7,360 |
| 37 | Adjust Manhole or Catch Basin |  |  |  | 3 | EA | \$600.00 | \$1,800 |
| 38 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 39 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
| 40 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 41 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
| 42 | Chain Link Fence Type 1 |  |  |  | 150 | LF | \$60.00 | \$9,000 |
| 43 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 44 | Construction Geotextile for Soil Stabilization |  |  |  | 3,967 | SY | \$1.60 | \$6,347 |
| 45 | Detention Facility (Vault) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$65,000.00 | \$65,000 |
| 46 | Stormwater Management (WQ) Structure |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000 |
| 47 | Landscape Plantings |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$273,510 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$1,129,484 |

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost



Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools.
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study

(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 21: I-5 NB Off-Ramp / Samish Way Intersection Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Clearing and Grubbing | 6600 |  |  | 0.2 | AC | \$10,000.00 | \$1,515 |
| 2 | Sawcutting | 1210 |  | 6 | 7260 | LF-IN | \$0.75 | \$5,445 |
| 3 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$16,000.00 | \$16,000 |
| 4 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement | 8500 |  | 0.5 | 323 | TN | \$17.25 | \$5,566 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$28,526 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | 16455 |  | 1 | 609 | CY | \$23.00 | \$14,017 |
| 6 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Road and Utilities) | 8950 |  |  | 680 | TN | \$35.00 | \$23,784 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$37,801 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Schedule A Culv. Pipe 18 In. Diam. | 200 |  |  | 200 | LF | \$75.00 | \$15,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$15,000 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 8 | EA | \$2,300.00 | \$18,400 |
| 9 | Catch Basin Type 2-48 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$3,400.00 | \$3,400 |
| 10 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 150 | LF | \$52.00 | \$7,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$29,600 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul | 120 | 8 | 4 | 142 | CY | \$30.00 | \$4,267 |
| 12 | Shoring or Extra Excavation CI. A | 120 |  | 4 | 1 | LS | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000 |
| 13 | Gravity Block Wall (east side of roundabout) | 120 |  | 4 | 480 | SF | \$48.00 | \$23,040 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$29,307 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course | 17000 |  | 0.25 | 307 | TN | \$48.00 | \$14,733 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$14,733 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 | 17000 |  | 0.5 | 702 | TN | \$201.25 | \$141,285 |
| 16 | Longitudinal Joint Seal | 1400 |  |  | 1,400 | LF | \$1.50 | \$2,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$143,385 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  | 1 | EST | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter - Std. and RAB | 1405 |  |  | 1405 | LF | \$43.00 | \$60,415 |
| 18 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb | 60 |  |  | 60 | LF | \$58.00 | \$3,480 |
| 19 | Flexible Guide Post |  |  |  | 20 | EA | \$41.00 | \$820 |
| 20 | Plastic Line | 1510 |  |  | 1510 | LF | \$4.00 | \$6,040 |
| 21 | Plastic Crosswalk Line | 81 | 2 |  | 162 | SF | \$11.00 | \$1,782 |
| 22 | Plastic Bicycle Lane Symbol |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$410.00 | \$1,640 |
| 23 | Plastic Yield Line Symbol |  |  |  | 8 | EA | \$200.00 | \$1,600 |
| 24 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 1 |  |  |  | 5 | HUND | \$447.00 | \$2,235 |
| 25 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 2 |  |  |  | 2 | HUND | \$310.00 | \$620 |
| 26 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000 |
| 27 | Illumination System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$141,632 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 350 | 4 | 4.5 | 233 | CY | \$18.00 | \$4,200 |
| 29 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B (Piping) | 700 |  | 4.5 | 3,150 | SF | \$2.00 | \$6,300 |
| 30 | Cement Conc. Driveway | 30 | 5 |  | 17 | SY | \$165.00 | \$2,750 |
| 31 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$2,400.00 | \$4,800 |
| 32 | Truncated Domes |  |  |  | 24 | SF | \$46.00 | \$1,104 |
| 33 | Adjust Utility Feature |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$400.00 | \$800 |
| 34 | Adjust Manhole or Catch Basin |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$600.00 | \$600 |
| 35 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 36 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
| 37 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 38 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
| 39 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 40 | Construction Geotextile for Soil Stabilization | 8950 |  |  | 994 | SY | \$1.60 | \$1,591 |
| 41 | Detention Facility (Vault) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$80,000.00 | \$80,000 |
| 42 | LID Feature (Biofiltration Swale/Raingarden) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000 |
| 43 | Stormwater Management (WQ) Structure |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000 |
| 44 | Landscape Plantings |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$214,645 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$654,630 |

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost



Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which,
2. to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
3. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate.
4. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Acquisition of easements and rights of entry.
f. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools
5. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.
6. Based on a deck-only area of approximately 7800 sq. ft. and a unit cost of $\$ 550 / \mathrm{SF}$ average and $\$ 700 / \mathrm{SF}$ high per Appendix 12.3-A1 of the WSDOT Bridge Manual), $\$ 4,300,000$ to $\$ 5,500,000$ can be estimated.

| Site 27a: I-5 Ped/Bike Overpass Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mobilization * |  |  |  | 0 | LS | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 2 | Clearing and Grubbing |  |  |  | 1.5 | AC | \$20,000.00 | \$30,000.00 |
| 3 | Sawcutting |  |  |  | 1200 | LF | \$2.50 | \$3,000.00 |
| 4 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$40,000.00 | \$40,000.00 |
| 5 | Removing Cement Conc. Sidewalk |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$9.00 | \$0.00 |
| 6 | Removing Cement Conc. Curb and Gutter |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$4.50 | \$0.00 |
| 7 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement |  |  |  | 600 | SY | \$4.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| 8 | Removing Raised Pavement Marker |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$4.00 | \$0.00 |
| 9 | Removing Painted Pavement Markings |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$4.00 | \$0.00 |
| 10 | Removing Plastic Pavement Markings |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$4.00 | \$0.00 |
| 11 | Removing Traffic Island |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$16.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$75,400.00 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | 600 | 24 | 1.5 | 800 | CY | \$11.50 | \$9,200.00 |
| 13 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Utility Trenches) | 500 | 3 | 3.5 | 194 | TN | \$16.00 | \$3,111.11 |
| 14 | Embankment Compaction |  |  |  | 0 | CY | \$2.80 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$12,311.11 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Ditch Excavation Incl. Haul |  |  |  | 0 | CY | \$14.00 | \$0.00 |
| 16 | Combination Inlet |  |  |  | 8 | EA | \$410.00 | \$3,280.00 |
| 17 | Quarry Spalls |  |  |  | 0 | CY | \$55.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18 | Schedule A Culv. Pipe 12 In . Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$36.00 | \$0.00 |
| 19 | Schedule A Culv. Pipe 18 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$43.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$3,280.00 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 10 | EA | \$1,100.00 | \$11,000.00 |
| 21 | Catch Basin Type 2-48 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$3,400.00 | \$6,800.00 |
| 22 | Catch Basin Type 2-60 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$0.00 |
| 23 | Catch Basin Type 2-72 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$6,300.00 | \$0.00 |
| 24 | Testing Storm Sewer Pipe |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$2.20 | \$0.00 |
| 25 | CL. IV Reinf. Conc. Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$50.00 | \$0.00 |
| 26 | CL. IV Reinf. Conc. Storm Sewer Pipe 18 In . Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$42.00 | \$0.00 |
| 27 | CL. IV Reinf. Conc. Storm Sewer Pipe 24 In . Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$49.00 | \$0.00 |
| 28 | CL. IV Reinf. Conc. Storm Sewer Pipe 30 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$70.00 | \$0.00 |
| 29 | CL. IV Reinf. Conc. Storm Sewer Pipe 36 In . Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$80.00 | \$0.00 |
| 30 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 600 | LF | \$45.00 | \$27,000.00 |
| 31 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 18 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 200 | LF | \$55.00 | \$11,000.00 |
| 32 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 24 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$54.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$55,800.00 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0.00 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0.00 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul | 4 | 4 | 300 | 178 | CY | \$40.00 | \$7,111.11 |
| 34 | Shoring or Extra Excavation CI. A |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$40,000.00 | \$40,000.00 |
| 35 | Superstructure |  |  |  | 7,800 | SF | \$700.00 | \$5,460,000.00 |
| 36 | Substructure/Foundations (three piers/columns) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,000,000.00 | \$3,000,000.00 |
| 37 | Concrete Stairs and Ramps |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$550,000.00 | \$550,000.00 |
| 38 | Retaining Walls (S.W., S.E., N.E., and N.W. Quadrants) | 200 |  | 10 | 2,000 | SF | \$30.00 | \$60,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$9,117,111.11 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Gravel Base (Road) (12") | 600 | 24 | 1 | 533 | TN | \$30.00 | \$16,000.00 |
| 40 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course (3") | 600 | 24 | 0.25 | 133 | TN | \$48.00 | \$6,400.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$22,400.00 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 | 600 | 24 | 0.5 | 595 | TN | \$201.25 | \$119,676.67 |
| 42 | Planing Bituminous Pavement |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$3.50 | \$0.00 |
| 43 | Compaction Price Adjustment |  |  |  | 0 | CALC | \$3,500.00 | \$0.00 |
| 44 | Longitudinal Joint Seal |  |  |  | 1,200 | LF | \$1.50 | \$1,800.00 |
| 45 | Asphalt Cost Price Adjustment |  |  |  | 0 | CALC | \$550.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$121,476.67 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0.00 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter |  |  |  | 1200 | LF | \$16.00 | \$19,200.00 |
| 47 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$18.00 | \$0.00 |
| 48 | Cement Conc. Pedestrian Curb |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$19.00 | \$0.00 |
| 49 | Flexible Guide Post |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$41.00 | \$0.00 |
| 50 | Paint Line |  |  |  | 600 | LF | \$0.48 | \$288.00 |
| 51 | Temporary Pavement Marking |  |  |  | 0 | LF | \$0.48 | \$0.00 |
| 52 | Plastic Crosswalk Line |  |  |  | 0 | SF | \$5.00 | \$0.00 |
| 53 | Plastic Stop Line |  |  |  | 0 | SF | \$7.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | Tuttle Engineering and Management |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9/7/2021 |

CITY OF MUKILTEO - SIDEWALK SITE ASSESSMENT
(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| 54 | Plastic Bicycle Lane Symbol |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$68.00 | \$272.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | Plastic Traffic Arrow |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$68.00 | \$0.00 |
| 56 | Plastic Traffic Letter |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$68.00 | \$0.00 |
| 57 | Plastic Yield Line Symbol |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$32.00 | \$0.00 |
| 58 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 1 |  |  |  | 1 | HUND | \$290.00 | \$290.00 |
| 59 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 2 |  |  |  | 4 | HUND | \$310.00 | \$1,240.00 |
| 60 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 |
| 61 | Illumination System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 |
| 62 | Conduit Pipe 2 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 500 | LF | \$25.00 | \$12,500.00 |
| 63 | Conduit Pipe 4 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 500 | LF | \$35.00 | \$17,500.00 |
| 64 | Project Temporary Traffic Control * |  |  |  | 0 | LS | \$40.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$154,290.00 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 600 | 3 | 4 | 266.7 | CY | \$9.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| 66 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B (Piping) | 600 |  | 4 | 2,400 | SF | \$0.90 | \$2,160.00 |
| 67 | Licensed Surveying and Recording |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$27,000.00 | \$27,000.00 |
| 68 | Contractor-Provided Construction Surveying * |  |  |  | 0 | LS | \$22,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 69 | Monument Case and Cover |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$420.00 | \$0.00 |
| 70 | Porous Concrete Sidewalk | 600 | 5 |  | 333 | SY | \$65.00 | \$21,666.67 |
| 71 | Cement Conc. Sidewalk |  |  |  | 0 | SY | \$33.00 | \$0.00 |
| 72 | Cement Conc. Driveway | 30 | 5 |  | 17 | SY | \$60.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| 73 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$2,100.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 74 | Truncated Domes |  |  |  | 0 | SF | \$96.00 | \$0.00 |
| 75 | Locking Solid Metal Cover and Frame for Catch Basin |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$580.00 | \$0.00 |
| 76 | Adjust Utility Feature |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$230.00 | \$0.00 |
| 77 | Adjust Manhole or Catch Basin |  |  |  | 0 | EA | \$230.00 | \$0.00 |
| 78 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 0 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 79 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$120,000.00 | \$120,000.00 |
| 80 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 |
| 81 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| 82 | Chain Link Fence Type 1 |  |  |  | 400 | LF | \$14.00 | \$5,600.00 |
| 83 | End, Gate, Corner, and Pull Post |  |  |  | 10 | EA | \$270.00 | \$2,700.00 |
| 84 | Roadside Cleanup (City and WSDOT Right-of-Way) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| 85 | SPCC Plan * |  |  |  | 0 | LS | \$1,500.00 | \$0.00 |
| 86 | Construction Geotextile for Soil Stabilization | 600 | 24 |  | 1,600 | SY | \$1.60 | \$2,560.00 |
| 87 | Detention Facility |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| 88 | LID Feature (Biofiltration Swale/Raingarden) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 |
| 89 | Stormwater Management (WQ) Structure |  |  |  | 1 | EA | \$105,000.00 | \$105,000.00 |
| 90 | Redirectional Land Form of Cable Barrier (Median) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$65,000.00 | \$65,000.00 |
| 91 | Dewater Trench (during utility installations) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$80,000.00 | \$80,000.00 |
| 92 | Wetland Mitigation |  |  |  | 0.5 | AC | \$49,000.00 | \$24,500.00 |
| 93 | Pedestrian Handrail |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$80,000.00 | \$80,000.00 |
| * found on summary page |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$682,986.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$10,245,055.56 |

Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost

Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate.
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis.
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools.
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study
(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 34a: Lincoln St / Potter Street Signal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$1,500 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Gravel Base (Road) |  |  | 1 | 0 | TN | \$35.00 | \$0 |
| 3 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course |  |  | 0.25 | 0 | TN | \$45.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500 |
| 5 | Illumination System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$40,000.00 | \$40,000 |
| 6 | Traffic/Ped Signal System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$375,000.00 | \$375,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$416,500 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 8 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 9 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 10 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 11 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$26,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$444,500 |



Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate.
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate.
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis.
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments.
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction.
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools.
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study
(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 34b: Lincoln St / Potter Street Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Clearing and Grubbing | 4230 |  |  | 0.1 | AC | \$10,000.00 | \$971 |
| 2 | Sawcutting | 590 |  | 6 | 3,540 | LF-IN | \$0.75 | \$2,655 |
| 3 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$48,700.00 | \$48,700 |
| 4 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement | 15,830 |  | 0.5 | 601 | TN | \$17.25 | \$10,366 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$62,693 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | 6750 |  | 1 | 250 | CY | \$23.00 | \$5,750 |
| 6 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Road and Utilities) | 700 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 465 | TN | \$35.00 | \$16,277 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$22,027 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Combination Inlet |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$1,000.00 | \$2,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$2,000 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 17 | EA | \$2,300.00 | \$39,100 |
| 9 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 700 | LF | \$52.00 | \$36,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$75,500 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul | 70 | 4 | 6 | 62 | CY | \$30.00 | \$1,867 |
| 11 | Shoring or Extra Excavation CI. A |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000 |
| 12 | Gravity Block Wall (east side of roundabout) | 70 | 4 |  | 280 | SF | \$48.00 | \$13,440 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$16,307 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course | 20600 |  | 0.25 | 372 | TN | \$48.00 | \$17,853 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$17,853 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 | 18000 |  | 0.33 | 491 | TN | \$201.25 | \$98,733 |
| 15 | Planing Bituminous Pavement | 165 | 20 |  | 367 | SY | \$3.50 | \$1,283 |
| 16 | Longitudinal Joint Seal |  |  |  | 650 | LF | \$1.50 | \$975 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$100,992 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter - Std. and RAB | 1740 |  |  | 1740 | LF | \$43.00 | \$74,820 |
| 18 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb | 80 |  |  | 80 | LF | \$58.00 | \$4,640 |
| 19 | Cement Conc. Pedestrian Curb | 120 |  |  | 120 | LF | \$32.00 | \$3,840 |
| 20 | Flexible Guide Post |  |  |  | 20 | EA | \$41.00 | \$820 |
| 21 | Plastic Line | 1930 |  |  | 1930 | LF | \$4.00 | \$7,720 |
| 22 | Plastic Crosswalk Line | 27 | 2 | 24 | 1,296 | SF | \$11.00 | \$14,256 |
| 23 | Plastic Bicycle Lane Symbol |  |  |  | 6 | EA | \$410.00 | \$2,460 |
| 24 | Plastic Traffic Arrow |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$300.00 | \$600 |
| 25 | Plastic Yield Line Symbol |  |  |  | 16 | EA | \$200.00 | \$3,200 |
| 26 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500 |
| 27 | Illumination System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$35,000.00 | \$35,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$148,856 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 700 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 408 | CY | \$18.00 | \$7,350 |
| 29 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B (Piping) | 1400 |  | 4.5 | 6,300 | SF | \$2.00 | \$12,600 |
| 30 | Cement Conc. Sidewalk (Standard and Stamped) | 10100 |  | 0.33 | 1,122 | SY | \$68.00 | \$76,311 |
| 31 | Cement Conc. Driveway | 50 | 10 | 0.5 | 56 | SY | \$165.00 | \$9,167 |
| 32 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 8 | EA | \$2,400.00 | \$19,200 |
| 33 | Truncated Domes | 128 | 2 |  | 256 | SF | \$46.00 | \$11,776 |
| 34 | Adjust Utility Feature |  |  |  | 5 | EA | \$400.00 | \$2,000 |
| 35 | Adjust Manhole or Catch Basin |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$600.00 | \$1,200 |
| 36 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 37 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
| 38 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 39 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
| 40 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 41 | Construction Geotextile for Soil Stabilization |  |  |  | 150 | SY | \$1.60 | \$240 |
| 42 | Detention Facility |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$65,000.00 | \$65,000 |
| 43 | Stormwater Management (WQ) Structure |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$27,000.00 | \$54,000 |
| 44 | Landscape Plantings |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$321,344 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$767,571 |

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost



Disclaimers:

1. This estimate is prepared as a guide only and is subject to. It has been prepared to a standard of accuracy which, to the best of our knowledge and judgment, is sufficient to satisfy our understanding of the purpose of this estimate
2. Lochner makes no warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of this estimate.
3. This estimate is based on data found within the Washington State Department of Transportation Unit Bid Analysis
4. Costs presented herein represent an opinion based on historical information and include retail sales tax.
5. This estimate does not consider the following:
a. Cost associated with biological surveys, environmental (wetland) mitigations, modeled traffic analysis, land-use planning, geotechnical assessments, surveying, cultural resources, and environmental site assessments
b. Phased construction or out of regular sequence construction
c. Costs associated with groundwater or inclement weather conditions.
d. Financial charges.
e. Assessments from traffic, parks, or schools
6. Cost estimate based on locations defined in the Transpo Group's "Project List for Screening Level 2" spreadsheet.

## Lincoln-Lakeway Multimodal Transportation Study

(Planning-Level Cost Estimate)

| Site 36a: I-5 NB Ramps / King St / Potter St / Intersection Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Length | Width | Depth/Height | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Bid Amount |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Section 1: Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Clearing and Grubbing | 13200 |  |  | 0.3 | AC | \$10,000.00 | \$3,030 |
| 2 | Sawcutting | 220 |  | 6 | 1320 | LF-IN | \$0.75 | \$990 |
| 3 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000 |
| 4 | Removing Asphalt Conc. Pavement | 29000 |  | 0.5 | 1,101 | TN | \$17.25 | \$18,991 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preparation Total: | \$73,011 |
| No. | Section 2: Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | 30000 |  | 1 | 1111 | CY | \$23.00 | \$25,556 |
| 6 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul (Road and Utilities) | 250 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 166 | TN | \$35.00 | \$5,813 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grading Total: | \$31,369 |
| No. | Section 3: Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Combination Inlet |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$1,000.00 | \$2,000 |
| 8 | Quarry Spalls |  |  |  | 20 | CY | \$55.00 | \$1,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage Total: | \$3,100 |
| No. | Section 4: Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Catch Basin Type 1L |  |  |  | 9 | EA | \$2,300.00 | \$20,700 |
| 10 | Sched. A Storm Sewer Pipe 12 In. Diam. |  |  |  | 250 | LF | \$52.00 | \$13,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Storm Sewer Total: | \$33,700 |
| No. | Section 5: Sanitary Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 6: Water Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water Lines Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 7: Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Structures Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 8: Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Gravel Base (Road) | 7000 |  | 1 | 531 | TN | \$30.00 | \$15,944 |
| 12 | Crushed Surfacing Top Course | 26375 |  | 0.25 | 476 | TN | \$48.00 | \$22,858 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surfacing Total: | \$38,803 |
| No. | Section 9: Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | HMA CL. 1/2 In. PG 58H-22 | 22000 |  | 0.33 | 600 | TN | \$201.25 | \$120,674 |
| 14 | Planing Bituminous Pavement | 220 | 20 |  | 489 | SY | \$3.50 | \$1,711 |
| 15 | Longitudinal Joint Seal |  |  |  | 220 | LF | \$1.50 | \$330 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hot Mix Asphalt Total: | \$122,715 |
| No. | Section 10: Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | See Cost Summary |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erosion Control Total: | \$0 |
| No. | Section 11: Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb and Gutter - Std. and RAB | 1750 |  |  | 1750 | LF | \$43.00 | \$75,250 |
| 17 | Cement Conc. Traffic Curb |  |  |  | 40 | LF | \$58.00 | \$2,320 |
| 18 | Cement Conc. Pedestrian Curb |  |  |  | 30 | LF | \$32.00 | \$960 |
| 19 | Flexible Guide Post |  |  |  | 10 | EA | \$41.00 | \$410 |
| 20 | Plastic Line | 2340 |  |  | 2340 | LF | \$4.00 | \$9,360 |
| 21 | Plastic Crosswalk Line | 108 | 2 |  | 216 | SF | \$11.00 | \$2,376 |
| 22 | Plastic Yield Line Symbol |  |  |  | 20 | EA | \$200.00 | \$4,000 |
| 23 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 1 |  |  |  | 1 | HUND | \$447.00 | \$447 |
| 24 | Raised Pavement Marker Type 2 |  |  |  | 0.2 | HUND | \$310.00 | \$62 |
| 25 | Permanent Signing |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000 |
| 26 | Illumination System No. 1 |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Total: | \$198,185 |
| No. | Section 12: Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Structure Excavation Class B Incl. Haul (Piping) | 250 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 81 | CY | \$18.00 | \$1,458 |
| 28 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B (Piping) | 300 |  | 4 | 1,200 | SF | \$2.00 | \$2,400 |
| 29 | Cement Conc. Sidewalk (Standard and Stamped) | 9970 |  |  | 1,108 | SY | \$68.00 | \$75,329 |
| 30 | Cement Conc. Driveway | 70 | 8 |  | 62 | SY | \$165.00 | \$10,267 |
| 31 | Cement Conc. Curb Ramp (Various Types) |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$2,400.00 | \$9,600 |
| 32 | Truncated Domes | 6 | 2 | 4 | 48 | SF | \$46.00 | \$2,208 |
| 33 | Adjust Utility Feature |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$400.00 | \$1,600 |
| 34 | Adjust Manhole or Catch Basin |  |  |  | 4 | EA | \$600.00 | \$2,400 |
| 35 | Pothole Existing Utilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 36 | Force Account Utility Relocation |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000 |
| 37 | Force Account Unanticipated Site Work |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| 38 | Repair Existing Public and Private Facilities |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
| 39 | Roadside Cleanup |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| 40 | Construction Geotextile for Soil Stabilization |  |  |  | 778 | SY | \$1.60 | \$1,244 |
| 41 | Detention Facility (Vault) |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$130,000.00 | \$130,000 |
| 42 | Stormwater Management (WQ) Structure |  |  |  | 2 | EA | \$50,000.00 | \$100,000 |
| 43 | Landscape Plantings |  |  |  | 1 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Items Total: | \$484,006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction Subtotal: | \$984,889 |


[^0]:    Source: Transpo Group, 2021
    Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition.
    Vehicle queues reported from 95th percentile queue lengths using Synchro 10th edition and HCM 6th Edition methodology.
    Public input from Lincoln Lakeway Project Outreach, ADA Transition Plan Outreach, and Engage Bellingham
    Pedestrian Master Plan available at: https://cob.org/services/planning/transportation-planning/pedestrian-master-planning
    Bicycle Master Plan available at: https://cob.org/services/planning/transportation-planning/bike-master-planning
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